

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE CONTENTS OF THIS FILE

Submissions by the public in compliance with the Commission Rules and Operating Procedures (ROPs), Rule 4.3, are distributed to the Commission and uploaded online. Please note that “compliance” means that the submission complies with deadline, delivery method (hard copy and/or electronic) AND the number of copies. Please review the Commission ROPs to ensure that you meet the submission requirements. The ROPs can be accessed at <http://planning.lacity.org>, by selecting “Commissions & Hearings” and selecting the specific Commission.

All compliant submissions may be accessed as follows:

- **“Initial Submissions”**: Compliant submissions received no later than by end of day Monday of the week prior to the meeting, which are not integrated by reference or exhibit in the Staff Report, will be appended at the end of the Staff Report. The Staff Report is linked to the case number on the specific meeting agenda.
- **“Secondary Submissions”**: Submissions received after the Initial Submission deadline up to 48-hours prior to the Commission meeting are contained in this file and bookmarked by the case number.
- **“Day of Hearing Submissions”**: Submissions after the Secondary Submission deadline up to and including the day of the Commission meeting will be uploaded to this file within two business days after the Commission meeting.

Material which does not comply with the submission rules is not distributed to the Commission.

ENABLE BOOKMARS ONLINE:

**If you are using Explorer, you need will need to enable the Acrobat  toolbar to see the bookmarks on the left side of the screen.

If you are using Chrome, the bookmarks are on the upper right-side of the screen. If you do not want to use the bookmarks, simply scroll through the file.

If you have any questions, please contact the Commission Office at (213) 978-1300.

SECONDARY SUBMISSIONS



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Fwd: ZA-2017-3384-ZAD-1A & ZA-2017-3386-ZA-1A

3 messages

Maya Zaitzevsky <maya.zaitzevsky@lacity.org>
 To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Wed, Jun 17, 2020 at 8:00 AM

Etta,

Quianna forwarded me this letter in support of the appeals.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Quiana Williams** <quiana.williams@lacity.org>
 Date: Tue, Jun 16, 2020 at 12:42 PM
 Subject: Fwd: 5443 E. Nordyke St. Proposal for construction of a Home
 To: Vanessa Soto <vanessa.soto@lacity.org>, Maya Zaitzevsky <maya.zaitzevsky@lacity.org>
 Cc: Tracy Williams <tracy.d.williams@lacity.org>

Hello Vanessa and Maya,

I received this letter of opposition late yesterday for Case Nos. ZA-2019-3384-ZAD and ZA-2019-3386-ZAD. I am not certain how/if this should be included in the administrative record. I attempted to save the email correspondence in the Strikeforce folder, but no longer have access to the path: N:\DS_ZA\OZA\Strikeforce 2019\Strikeforce LOD's\ZA-2017-3384-ZAD\Correspondence.

Regards,
 Quiana

LOS ANGELES
CITY PLANNING

Quiana Williams
 City Planning Associate
Los Angeles City Planning
 200 N. Spring St., Room 621, Los Angeles, CA 90012
 T: (213) 978-1326 | Planning4LA.org



----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Christopher Caleb** <chrisaroncaleb@yahoo.com>
 Date: Mon, Jun 15, 2020 at 4:46 PM
 Subject: Re: 5443 E. Nordyke St. Proposal for construction of a Home
 To: **Quiana Williams** <quiana.williams@lacity.org>

Here is the text, in case it doesn't open or something else. I know this is too late, but this means everything to my future on this property and my family. thanks.

Proposed developments on 1864 & 1900 N Ave 55

I, Christopher Caleb (5460 Nordyke St.) am writing this letter representing my elderly parents (Eugene B. Caleb - age 93, & Janice L. Caleb age 79) who own the lots below the proposed construction site. We are in absolute opposition of said development for the reasons stated in this document. A lack of knowledge pertains to building codes is common to most us. Legal documents, municipal codes and zoning laws are difficult to interpret to say the least and we feel that very point is being exploited by taking advantage of confusion of land owners regardless of the

effects imposed due to waivers thus allowing the illegal to become legal. Through variances (waivers) which allow developers to bypass standard safety protocols and allow them to build on well known, documented sub-standard ground is a danger to us who inhabit the adjacent, lower lots, not to mention decrease our property value through no fault of our own. My family has witnessed through the 51 years of occupying this property that this SHALE hillside is no stranger to flooding, landslides and ground degradation through the loss of many pine and Cypress trees who's wooden stumps are a constant reminder of this land instability. The dead roots of these trees helped to hold the shale in place during a rainy season and with the added weight of multiple houses, it only deepens stress of said hill.

The street - which used to be gravel - is in a high fire, liquefaction zone & not wide or sturdy enough to allow for fire & emergency access much less multiple home construction as well as multiple pending developments. This is giving them permission to destroy multiple properties for development despite legally hazardous road construction, regardless of compliance to normal building codes and safety protocols. These homes will absolutely slide down the hill into our home under the right rainy conditions on shale sleds.

The assessment of the geologist or inspector of the location for construction has falsely deemed it to be bedrock where the piles for the foundation are planned to be when it is in fact Shale. There is no bedrock for approximately 30 feet down. This shale is angled downward, towards our house. At one point, our entire home slid more than a few feet down the incline, nearly cracking our house in half after 2 weeks of continual rainfall. The hill also slid down onto the driveway/road (considered to be Ave. 55) which is one of the 'wavered' codes being overlooked for this proposed dwellings allowing them to skip building a retaining wall to hold our hillside together. It is downright unethical and it puts us and our neighbors directly in the path of danger.

My parents worked their entire lives for this home and have had many, many problems with this unstable hillside. Approving this construction is illegal and wrong. Both of which have military and Police dept. service behind them have earned better than these greedy developments. Their years of experience in this property should neither be ignored or overlooked.

On Thursday, November 14, 2019, 7:02:20 PM PST, Christopher Caleb <chrisaroncaleb@yahoo.com> wrote:

I have attached a letter stating our reasons why the property should not be embellished and codes should not be waived: Thank you for any attention given us.

--



Maya E. Zaitzevsky
Principal City Planner
Los Angeles City Planning

201 N. Figueroa Street, 4th floor
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Planning4LA.org
T: (213) 482-7082



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>
To: Maya Zaitzevsky <maya.zaitzevsky@lacity.org>

Wed, Jun 17, 2020 at 8:03 AM

I will include this in the 48 hour correspondence folder for the Commissioners.



Etta Armstrong
Commission Executive Assistant I
**Central Los Angeles Area Planning
Commission / South Los Angeles Area
Planning Commission / East Los
Angeles Area Planning Commission**
200 North Spring Street, Room 272
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Planning4LA.org
Telephone: (213) 978-1300
Direct: (213) 978-1128



[Quoted text hidden]

Maya Zaitzevsky <maya.zaitzevsky@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Wed, Jun 17, 2020 at 8:03 AM

Thank you!

[Quoted text hidden]



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Fwd: ZA-2017-3384-ZAD-1A & ZA-2017-3386-ZA-1A

3 messages

Maya Zaitzevsky <maya.zaitzevsky@lacity.org>
 To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Wed, Jun 17, 2020 at 8:00 AM

Etta,

Quianna forwarded me this letter in support of the appeals.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Quiana Williams** <quiana.williams@lacity.org>
 Date: Tue, Jun 16, 2020 at 12:42 PM
 Subject: Fwd: 5443 E. Nordyke St. Proposal for construction of a Home
 To: Vanessa Soto <vanessa.soto@lacity.org>, Maya Zaitzevsky <maya.zaitzevsky@lacity.org>
 Cc: Tracy Williams <tracy.d.williams@lacity.org>

Hello Vanessa and Maya,

I received this letter of opposition late yesterday for Case Nos. ZA-2019-3384-ZAD and ZA-2019-3386-ZAD. I am not certain how/if this should be included in the administrative record. I attempted to save the email correspondence in the Strikeforce folder, but no longer have access to the path: N:\DS_ZA\OZA\Strikeforce 2019\Strikeforce LOD's\ZA-2017-3384-ZAD\Correspondence.

Regards,
 Quiana



Quiana Williams
 City Planning Associate
Los Angeles City Planning
 200 N. Spring St., Room 621, Los Angeles, CA 90012
 T: (213) 978-1326 | Planning4LA.org



----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Christopher Caleb** <chrisaroncaleb@yahoo.com>
 Date: Mon, Jun 15, 2020 at 4:46 PM
 Subject: Re: 5443 E. Nordyke St. Proposal for construction of a Home
 To: **Quiana Williams** <quiana.williams@lacity.org>

Here is the text, in case it doesn't open or something else. I know this is too late, but this means everything to my future on this property and my family. thanks.

Proposed developments on 1864 & 1900 N Ave 55

I, Christopher Caleb (5460 Nordyke St.) am writing this letter representing my elderly parents (Eugene B. Caleb - age 93, & Janice L. Caleb age 79) who own the lots below the proposed construction site. We are in absolute opposition of said development for the reasons stated in this document. A lack of knowledge pertains to building codes is common to most us. Legal documents, municipal codes and zoning laws are difficult to interpret to say the least and we feel that very point is being exploited by taking advantage of confusion of land owners regardless of the

effects imposed due to waivers thus allowing the illegal to become legal. Through variances (waivers) which allow developers to bypass standard safety protocols and allow them to build on well known, documented sub-standard ground is a danger to us who inhabit the adjacent, lower lots, not to mention decrease our property value through no fault of our own. My family has witnessed through the 51 years of occupying this property that this SHALE hillside is no stranger to flooding, landslides and ground degradation through the loss of many pine and Cypress trees who's wooden stumps are a constant reminder of this land instability. The dead roots of these trees helped to hold the shale in place during a rainy season and with the added weight of multiple houses, it only deepens stress of said hill.

The street - which used to be gravel - is in a high fire, liquefaction zone & not wide or sturdy enough to allow for fire & emergency access much less multiple home construction as well as multiple pending developments. This is giving them permission to destroy multiple properties for development despite legally hazardous road construction, regardless of compliance to normal building codes and safety protocols. These homes will absolutely slide down the hill into our home under the right rainy conditions on shale sleds.

The assessment of the geologist or inspector of the location for construction has falsely deemed it to be bedrock where the piles for the foundation are planned to be when it is in fact Shale. There is no bedrock for approximately 30 feet down. This shale is angled downward, towards our house. At one point, our entire home slid more than a few feet down the incline, nearly cracking our house in half after 2 weeks of continual rainfall. The hill also slid down onto the driveway/road (considered to be Ave. 55) which is one of the 'wavered' codes being overlooked for this proposed dwellings allowing them to skip building a retaining wall to hold our hillside together. It is downright unethical and it puts us and our neighbors directly in the path of danger.

My parents worked their entire lives for this home and have had many, many problems with this unstable hillside. Approving this construction is illegal and wrong. Both of which have military and Police dept. service behind them have earned better than these greedy developments. Their years of experience in this property should neither be ignored or overlooked.

On Thursday, November 14, 2019, 7:02:20 PM PST, Christopher Caleb <chrisaroncaleb@yahoo.com> wrote:

I have attached a letter stating our reasons why the property should not be embellished and codes should not be waived: Thank you for any attention given us.

--



Maya E. Zaitzevsky
Principal City Planner
Los Angeles City Planning

201 N. Figueroa Street, 4th floor
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Planning4LA.org
T: (213) 482-7082



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>
To: Maya Zaitzevsky <maya.zaitzevsky@lacity.org>

Wed, Jun 17, 2020 at 8:03 AM

I will include this in the 48 hour correspondence folder for the Commissioners.



Etta Armstrong
Commission Executive Assistant I
**Central Los Angeles Area Planning
Commission / South Los Angeles Area
Planning Commission / East Los
Angeles Area Planning Commission**
200 North Spring Street, Room 272
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Planning4LA.org
Telephone: (213) 978-1300
Direct: (213) 978-1128



[Quoted text hidden]

Maya Zaitzevsky <maya.zaitzevsky@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Wed, Jun 17, 2020 at 8:03 AM

Thank you!

[Quoted text hidden]

DAY OF HEARING SUBMISSIONS