

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE CONTENTS OF THIS FILE

Submissions by the public in compliance with the Commission Rules and Operating Procedures (ROPs), Rule 4.3, are distributed to the Commission and uploaded online. Please note that “compliance” means that the submission complies with deadline, delivery method (hard copy and/or electronic) AND the number of copies. Please review the Commission ROPs to ensure that you meet the submission requirements. The ROPs can be accessed at <http://planning.lacity.org>, by selecting “Commissions & Hearings” and selecting the specific Commission.

All compliant submissions may be accessed as follows:

- **“Initial Submissions”**: Compliant submissions received no later than by end of day Monday of the week prior to the meeting, which are not integrated by reference or exhibit in the Staff Report, will be appended at the end of the Staff Report. The Staff Report is linked to the case number on the specific meeting agenda.
- **“Secondary Submissions”**: Submissions received after the Initial Submission deadline up to 48-hours prior to the Commission meeting are contained in this file and bookmarked by the case number.
- **“Day of Hearing Submissions”**: Submissions after the Secondary Submission deadline up to and including the day of the Commission meeting will be uploaded to this file within two business days after the Commission meeting.

Material which does not comply with the submission rules is not distributed to the Commission.

ENABLE BOOKMARS ONLINE:

**If you are using Explorer, you need will need to enable the Acrobat  toolbar to see the bookmarks on the left side of the screen.

If you are using Chrome, the bookmarks are on the upper right-side of the screen. If you do not want to use the bookmarks, simply scroll through the file.

If you have any questions, please contact the Commission Office at (213) 978-1300.

DAY OF HEARING SUBMISSIONS



Planning APC South LA <apcsouthla@lacity.org>

11/17/20 South LA APC Meeting: Public Comment re District Square LLC1 message

Steve Mayer <mayer@iname.com>
To: apcsouthla@lacity.org

Tue, Nov 17, 2020 at 2:03 PM

Meeting Date: November 17, 2020

Agenda Item – District Square LLC

If possible, please read into the record, during public comment

Good afternoon, my name is Steve Mayer, and I would like to offer four observations:

(1) If I were a Commissioner (and the City Attorney and the Applicant permit), I would consider continuing the hearing until more definitive facts and data are provided by Staff as to the HCA as well as the other issues

Do you have the facts to make the findings? By the way, where are the findings?

(2) If you follow the Judge's order, I would advise the Appellants to appeal today's decision to PLUM

(3) I would also advise the Appellants to refine the arguments, based on what the Judge wrote. He provided a road map.

(4) I would also advise that you, as Commissioners, to the extent possible, formally order the City to appeal the Write of Mandate, and that the Appeal be litigated by an outside law firm.

In closing, the Appellants were not named as "Real Parties In Interest" in the CEQA legal action. That is tremendous unfair and places those individuals who are trying to help the City at a perpetual disadvantage.

Steve Mayer

apcsouthla@lacity.org