

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE CONTENTS OF THIS FILE

Submissions by the public in compliance with the Commission Rules and Operating Procedures (ROPs), Rule 4.3, are distributed to the Commission and uploaded online. Please note that “compliance” means that the submission complies with deadline, delivery method (hard copy and/or electronic) AND the number of copies. Please review the Commission ROPs to ensure that you meet the submission requirements. The ROPs can be accessed at <http://planning.lacity.org>, by selecting “Commissions & Hearings” and selecting the specific Commission.

All compliant submissions may be accessed as follows:

- **“Initial Submissions”**: Compliant submissions received no later than by end of day Monday of the week prior to the meeting, which are not integrated by reference or exhibit in the Staff Report, will be appended at the end of the Staff Report. The Staff Report is linked to the case number on the specific meeting agenda.
- **“Secondary Submissions”**: Submissions received after the Initial Submission deadline up to 48-hours prior to the Commission meeting are contained in this file and bookmarked by the case number.
- **“Day of Hearing Submissions”**: Submissions after the Secondary Submission deadline up to and including the day of the Commission meeting will be uploaded to this file within two business days after the Commission meeting.

Material which does not comply with the submission rules is not distributed to the Commission.

ENABLE BOOKMARS ONLINE:

**If you are using Explorer, you need will need to enable the Acrobat  toolbar to see the bookmarks on the left side of the screen.

If you are using Chrome, the bookmarks are on the upper right-side of the screen. If you do not want to use the bookmarks, simply scroll through the file.

If you have any questions, please contact the Commission Office at (213) 978-1300.

SECONDARY SUBMISSIONS



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Jenna Cobb <jenna@activesgv.org>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 10:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Jenna Cobb
91106



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Dani Pravder <danny@homegrownrecording.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 10:52 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Danny Pravder
90043



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Kiersten Stanley <kierstenstanley@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 10:52 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full-steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Kiersten Stanley
Homeowner, Studio City



Against Item #5

1 message

Jacob Wasserman <jacoblwasserman@gmail.com>
To: Planning APC West LA <apcwestla@lacity.org>

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 10:53 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you not approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. This is a half-mile detour compared to current access routes, and they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a downgrade to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is no chance their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please do not approve Item #5.

Thank you,
Jacob Wasserman



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Alan Palma <palma13live@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 10:55 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Alan Palma
91601



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Jonathan Chue <jonathan.chue@yahoo.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 10:56 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Jonathan Chue
90016



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Sam Shapiro-Kline <sshapiro@kline@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 10:57 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Samuel Shapiro-Kline
90403



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Lisette Rodriguez <creator153@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:04 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Lisette Rodriguez
91106



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Brent Daugherty <brent@doorty.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:07 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

Give all the bikers, scooters, and pedestrians an alternative to cars and you will reduce car traffic too!

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Brent Daugherty
90018



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Sophie Nenner <sophie.nenner@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:11 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Sophie Nenner
90232



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Miguel Angel Martinez Jr. <miguelmjr94@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:13 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

This pedestrian bridge is not an improvement but a downgrade. LAX is eliminating the current, direct sidewalk access and replacing it with a half-mile detour. This is part of a broader road-widening project that will make the airport more hostile to pedestrians and public transit users, all while the essential People Mover project is years behind schedule. The city is pushing forward with this flawed plan despite the high risk of it not being finished in time and further worsening traffic for everyone.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.

Miguel Angel
90011



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Cord Thomas <cord.thomas@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:13 AM

To: Planning APC West LA <apcwestla@lacity.org>

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Today, thousands of passengers, LAX employees, TSA agents and others walk, bicycle and scooter **safely** to and from the airport - why make your own customers and employees lives worse by forcing them through this detour.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.

Cord Thomas
90045



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Yonatan Ahituv <ahituvyonatan@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:17 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Yonatan Ahituv
90024



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Anton Neumann <anton.p.neumann@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:19 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Anton Neumann
91030



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Dan Silver <dsilverla@me.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:19 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you

Dan Silver
222 S Figueroa St 1611
LA CA 90012

Sent from my iPhone



Please vote no on Item #5!

1 message

Nathan Schilling <nschilling10@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:20 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you not approve the item.

This will unnecessarily make pedestrian access around the airport worse. I don't often walk around LAX, but the fact I essentially cannot because of poor infrastructure is bad. The bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you,
Nathan Schilling
90278



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Daniel Candia <danielcandia0427@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:32 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Daniel Candia
90024



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Sarah Back <sarahback1@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:38 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Sarah Back
90039



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Zennon Ulyate-Crow (zennonuc) <zennonuc@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:50 AM

To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.

All the Best,

Zennon Ulyate-Crow
90290



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Adam Faruqi <affaruqi@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 11:50 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

To be quite honest, the plan is nonsensical and pain idiotic. It will make access to the airport more difficult for everyone not using the people mover. Why spend billions of dollars to make something worse???

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Adam Faruqi
Los Angeles, 90027



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Isaac Millan <9millanisaac9@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 12:08 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
[YOUR NAME]
[YOUR ZIP CODE]



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Leo Shahbazian <leoshahbazian@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 12:19 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a ***half mile detour*** compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. It seems highly unlikely that their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car. This is going to be an embarrassment for all of LA, especially considering most people from other countries don't want to drive everywhere...

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Leo Shahbazian
92507
LAX flyer



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Violet Carne <violetcarne@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 12:25 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Violet Carne
90006



Please Vote NO on Item #5

1 message

Christopher Yeh <chrisyeh96@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 12:28 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

As a resident of LA County who does not own a car, my primary means of transportation are public transit, biking, and walking. I avoid driving when possible both for exercise and because I care about reducing my environmental footprint and roadway injuries.

I am extremely disappointed by LAX/LAWA's project to build more unnecessary roads, making it increasingly difficult for both visitors and residents to access LAX by walking / biking.

The proposed pedestrian bridge is a COSTLY DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access. This is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and they are removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. The entire ATMP project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Instead of roadway projects that serve only the wealthy who can afford private vehicles, please instead prioritize the LAX people mover which will serve everyone, cut emissions, and reduce roadway injuries.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Christopher Yeh
resident of 91101



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Aryan Ray <aryanray2006@icloud.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 12:35 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Aryan Ray



Vote no on Item #5

1 message

Alex Kennedy <avkenned@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 12:41 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Alex Kennedy
90014



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Michael Keshish <michael.keshish@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 12:50 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Michael
91040



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Morgan Martin <jmorganmartin@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 1:15 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

According to the LAX and the city's own studies, the ATMP project will make accessing LAX more difficult for every mode of transportation. From its conception, it was a "necessary evil", which was only necessitated by the Concourse 0 and Terminal 9 expansion projects. Now that both of those projects are on indefinite hold because the ridership out of LAX simply isn't high enough to demand them, proceeding with the ATMP project is no longer a necessary evil; it is just evil.

Any claims that this project will benefit anyone but the contractors who profit off it is simply gaslighting the public. It is an insult to our intelligence, and it is infuriating.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

I have been giddily awaiting the day the APM finally allows for me and millions of others to take public transit to and from the airport in a reasonably efficient manner, and I have been continually disappointed with every vague update that has been released for the past 3 years. Everyone involved from LAWA and the city is too ashamed to even admit their failures. It is pathetic that updates from a credit rating agency are the most transparent and consistent way the public gets information on the current status of the APM project.

The city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover.

There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and in the meantime, there is no discussion on how much worse traffic will be DURING the construction. It will be much worse for cars, but the increased traffic and detours in the area will also make things worse for people arriving at LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Morgan Martin
90405



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Rubin, Renee <Renee.Rubin@angelcitybrewery.com>
To: "apcwestla@lacity.org" <apcwestla@lacity.org>

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 1:47 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Renee Rubin
90014

--

Renée Rubin | Local Brands & Tap Rooms

Sr. Operations Manager

Boston Beer Company

213.631.4267

This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email. Please notify the sender immediately by email if you have received this message by mistake and delete this email from your system. Thank you.



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Jason Shuieh <jasonshuieh@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 1:53 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Jason Shuieh
90805



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Allen N <anatian@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 1:58 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Allen Natian
90731



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

contact.nate@icloud.com <contact.nate@icloud.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 2:47 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to express strong opposition to Item 5 and respectfully request that it not be approved. This project, which includes the proposed pedestrian bridge and the broader ATMP road "improvements," is deeply flawed and will have significant negative impacts on pedestrians, transit riders, traffic, local businesses, and surrounding neighborhoods.

1. Pedestrian Access is Severely Degraded

The primary "benefit" of the pedestrian bridge is illusory. It is proposed only because the project removes the existing sidewalk along the west side of Sepulveda Boulevard, forcing pedestrians into a half-mile detour compared to current access routes. Rather than improving walkability, it makes pedestrian access more indirect, less convenient, and less safe. The bridge would require stairs and elevators that are prone to outages, creating accessibility barriers for travelers with luggage, parents with strollers, seniors, and people with disabilities. Bridges of this type also tend to feel isolated and unsafe, particularly at night.

2. Worsened Traffic and Induced Congestion

The ATMP road widening component of this project will not solve traffic issues. Decades of traffic research demonstrate that adding lanes induces more car travel, ultimately worsening congestion rather than alleviating it. The project will make the corridor more hostile to anyone not in a car while simultaneously increasing traffic for those who are. The promised benefits to vehicle flow are temporary at best, and long-term traffic conditions are likely to deteriorate further.

3. Undermines Transit and the People Mover

This project directly undermines multimodal transportation goals. Angelenos have invested in clean, efficient public transportation to LAX, including the Automated People Mover (APM). The People Mover is over three years delayed and significantly over budget. Hiring the same contractors responsible for those delays to oversee this road project is alarming and signals a high likelihood of cost overruns and schedule slippage. Expanding road capacity incentivizes driving and will reduce ridership on the People Mover and Metro connections, further weakening the region's transit investment.

4. Harm to Local Businesses and Neighborhoods

Construction of this magnitude will have a prolonged, disruptive impact on local businesses and residents. Noise, dust, lane closures, and detours will reduce customer access and impede deliveries. Surrounding streets will experience increased traffic from diversions. The project prioritizes moving cars quickly through the area at the expense of community livability and economic vitality.

5. Accessibility and Safety Concerns

Even aside from inconvenience, the proposed bridge raises serious accessibility and safety issues. Elevators and stairs are prone to failure, creating barriers for those with mobility challenges. Longer, indirect pedestrian routes and elevated crossings often feel unsafe, which may discourage walking altogether. The removal of at-grade sidewalks forces all pedestrians into infrastructure that is isolated from the real street environment and community oversight.

6. Environmental and Health Impacts

Expanding roadways increases vehicle miles traveled, air pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions. Nearby residents, pedestrians, and workers will experience worse air quality and noise levels during construction and once traffic inevitably rises. This conflicts with the city's climate, public health, and Vision Zero commitments.

7. Unrealistic Timeline and Olympic Risk

There is no credible scenario in which this road widening project will be completed before the 2028 Olympics. Utility relocations, airport security constraints, construction complexity, and the history of delays in similar projects make timely completion highly unlikely. Meanwhile, construction impacts will burden neighborhoods, businesses, and travelers for years.

8. Alternatives Exist but Are Ignored

Instead of building a half-mile pedestrian bridge and widening streets, safer and more effective alternatives exist:

- Maintaining continuous at-grade sidewalks on both sides of Sepulveda.

- Improving crossings and pedestrian safety.
- Coordinating with Metro and the People Mover to prioritize multimodal connections.

Conclusion

This project is a downgrade for Angelenos and tourists alike, a threat to transit investments, a short-sighted traffic solution, a disruption to neighborhoods and local businesses, and a public safety and accessibility risk.

For all of these reasons, I strongly urge you to **reject Item 5** and explore alternatives that truly enhance access, safety, and mobility for all users of the LAX area.

Thank you.

Nate Apuna
90028



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

AJ <amenoartemis@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 3:24 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.

AJ cho

Sent via [Wildhero](https://www.wildhero.com) - email that plants trees.

November 17, 2025

Attn: West Los Angeles Area Planning Commissioners
Los Angeles City Planning
Office of Zoning Administration

Re: Case Number: ZA-2024-3173-CUB-1A

Hello Commissioners,

I urge the commissioners to deny this appeal. The suggestion of relocating all Al Fresco dining to 314 Sunset and reverting 318 Sunset back to a customer parking lot is not a part of the current application and therefore should not be considered or included in any decision. For such an arrangement to be properly reviewed, a new application should be filed, one that includes the 314 Sunset address along with specific proposed actions. Since the current application pointedly excludes 314 Sunset, a ruling for the case should not stipulate or include any condition which involves 314 Sunset, which the verbally outlined settlement does.

Although it appears the business has a good relationship with many employees and numerous customers, its relationships with the city and with its previously conditioned responsibilities are quite different. Those relationships have proven to be troubled and the business' history with regards to regulations and conditions suggests they have been compliance indifferent at best, and compliance adverse at worst.

As noted by AZA Fargo in his July 15 decision, "It has taken the applicant...eight years to comply...(p.14)," "...demonstrating a disregard for the conditions of approval...(p.15)," "the applicant has a record of failing to comply...(p.16)," "...cannot reasonably expect that the applicant will comply...(p.16)," "...it likely would be difficult to attain compliance with conditions of approval...(p.16)" and "...raises concerns about the...willingness of the applicant to follow state law...(p.17)." Delayed complying, and even not complying, appear to be the business' pattern. Granting this appeal while securing only a promise of their implementing the suggested settlement seems doomed for failure. A new application is needed, one where, if approved, conditions could be properly imposed and monitored for compliance.

Moreover, there remain unresolved issues from the original application that the proposed settlement does not address, one of which is valet parking. Yes, the commissioners' 2016 Letter of Determination mentions the word "attendant." But every time "attendant" is used, it is immediately preceded by the words "valet parking." Ignoring the "valet parking" descriptor seems misguided as the commissioners clearly intended that the applicant provide free valet service to help minimize their impact on the surrounding residential neighborhood and our extremely challenging parking issues. This condition has yet to be fulfilled.

I ask you to please confirm the "denied" ruling issued on the original case and to reject this appeal.

Thank you,
Hubert Hodgin
Venice, CA

DAY OF HEARING SUBMISSIONS



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Anthony Aviguetero <aaviguet@icloud.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Tue, Nov 18, 2025 at 7:36 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Anthony Aviguetero
90010



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Allon Percus <Allon.Percus@cgu.edu>

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 10:40 PM

To: "apcwestla@lacity.org" <apcwestla@lacity.org>

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.

Allon Percus

90049



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Cian Ormond <co@cianormond.com>

Tue, Nov 18, 2025 at 8:39 AM

Reply-To: co@cianormond.com

To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the Item.

In proceeding with this Item, LAX will remove the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda, creating a massive (half mile!!) detour for pedestrians and wheelchair users. This bridge is an active detriment to pedestrian access into LAX. This is money that would be better spent improving access to LAX for everyone, not just drivers, or in other locations. The entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Have a look at laxgridlock.com.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.

Cian Ormond

90066



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Eric Weinstein <eric.u.weinstein@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Tue, Nov 18, 2025 at 6:56 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Eric Weinstein
90405



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Iden Baghdadchi <bagiden@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 5:27 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Iden Baghdadchi
90027



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Isaac Millan <9millanisaac9@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Tue, Nov 18, 2025 at 2:52 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Isaac Millan
90245



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Yusef Abouremeleh <yusef.abouremeleh@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 4:29 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to Item #5 and urge you **NOT** to approve this proposal.

The proposed pedestrian bridge is unnecessary and represents a significant downgrade to pedestrian access at LAX. Currently, pedestrians have direct routes into the airport. This project introduces a **half-mile detour** and removes the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda, making access more difficult and less safe. Far from improving mobility, this plan will make LAX increasingly hostile to anyone traveling without a car—and ironically, it will worsen traffic for those in cars. For more details, please visit laxgridlock.com.

Additionally, the city is moving forward with an extensive road-widening project despite the fact that the people mover is already **over three years delayed**. The same contractors responsible for those delays are now tasked with this project. There is virtually **no chance** these road changes will be completed before the Olympics. This approach prioritizes cars at the expense of public transportation and other sustainable modes of travel, creating long-term harm for visitors and residents alike.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that you **DO NOT APPROVE Item #5**.

Thank you for your consideration.

Thank you.
Yusef Abouremeleh
90010



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

JOAN DIETTE <jdiette@aol.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Tue, Nov 18, 2025 at 10:09 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Joan Diette
Westchester



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

John Farren <farrenj@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 5:28 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
John Farren
90405



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

julie smith-clementi <julieclementi@icloud.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 5:56 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Julie Smith-Clementi
90291



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

janyonan@gmail.com <janyonan@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Tue, Nov 18, 2025 at 12:31 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Jan Yonan
90064



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Kevin Holliday <kevin74@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Tue, Nov 18, 2025 at 11:18 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

As a frequent LAX user and a resident of West Hollywood, I strongly urge you to reconsider this pedestrian bridge proposal. The only reason this bridge is being presented as “necessary” is that LAX is moving forward with plans that **eliminate existing pedestrian access into the airport**.

What’s not being clearly stated is that this new bridge would require a **half-mile detour** compared to the current pedestrian routes, and the project removes the **west-side sidewalk along Sepulveda** entirely. This is not an improvement — it is a **downgrade** in pedestrian access to LAX.

The broader ATMP roadway “improvements” will only make it more hostile for anyone trying to reach the airport without a car, while simultaneously making traffic worse for those *in* cars. For details, please refer to laxgridlock.com.

At the same time, the City continues charging ahead with this massive road-widening project even though the **people mover is more than three years delayed**, and the same contractor responsible for those delays has been hired for this road project. There is **no realistic chance** the roadway expansion will be completed before the Olympics, and pushing forward now undermines access for travelers who want to use public transit or any mode other than driving.

This project moves us backwards. I ask that you **not approve this item** and instead reconsider the pedestrian and mobility impacts before proceeding. Please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.

Kevin Holliday
West Hollywood, CA 90069



Vote NO on Item #5!

Karen Meyer-Roux <kmeyerroux@gmail.com>
To: "apcwestla@lacity.org" <apcwestla@lacity.org>

Wed, Nov 19, 2025 at 7:47 AM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.

Karen Meyer-Roux

90049



Item #5. Please vote no

1 message

kent Strumpell <kentstrum@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 4:47 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Cordially

Kent Strumpell
[6483 Nancy St.](http://6483%20Nancy%20St.%20Los%20Angeles%20CA%2090045)
[Los Angeles, CA 90045](http://Los%20Angeles%20CA%2090045)
kentstrum@gmail.com
310-527-1618



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

peter carian <carianpjc@gmail.com>
To: apcwestla@lacity.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 8:22 PM

Dear Commissioners,
I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed seems to be that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

My wife is in a wheelchair, and we really appreciate handicap access and common-sense pathways. We have encountered countless times where we went up "blind" alleys or had to re-trace steps because of a lack of empathy on the part of architects and construction crews. I feel we should be able to roll out of LAX in a well-marked, linear fashion into the community of Westchester and to the Century Blvd hotels and restaurants

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on an un-needed road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is going to change the transportation landscape significantly. This road widening project will not be done by the Olympics, and is hostile to people coming and going to LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Peter and Claudia Carian
90304



Vote NO on Item #5!

1 message

Google Account <wesley0chu@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 19, 2025 at 3:03 AM

To: "apcwestla@lacity.org" <apcwestla@lacity.org>

Dear LAX Commissioners,

I oppose Item #5 and ask that you NOT approve the item.

The only reason this pedestrian bridge is needed is that LAX is hellbent on destroying pedestrian access into the airport. What they're not telling you is that this is a *half mile detour* compared to current access routes, and that they're removing the sidewalk on the west side of Sepulveda. This bridge is a DOWNGRADE to pedestrian access into LAX, and the entire ATMP road "improvements" project will make getting to LAX hostile to anyone outside of a car (and make traffic worse for those inside cars). Please look at laxgridlock.com.

Furthermore, the city is pushing forward full steam ahead on this absurd road widening project despite the fact that the people mover is over 3+ years delayed and counting, and they even hired the same people responsible for building the people mover. There is NO CHANCE their road widening project is done by the Olympics, and this is hostile to people arriving to LAX and leaving LAX who wish to use public transportation or any mode other than a car.

For these reasons, please DO NOT APPROVE Item #5.

Thank you.
Wes Chuang
L.A. 90024



VIDEO - Gjusta PARKING reality. ZA-2024-3173-CUB-1A

1 message

Alexandra K <thereallion@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Alexandra K <thereallion@yahoo.com>
To: Planning APC West LA <apcwestla@lacity.org>
Cc: Sienna Kuo <sienna.kuo@lacity.org>

Tue, Nov 18, 2025 at 10:27 PM

Hello Commissioners,

I was at the Nov 5, 2025 meeting regarding the Gjusta appeal for their alcohol license and late night hours.

I am opposed to them having a license and staying open late primarily because they have not shown themselves to be good neighbors or to tell the truth about parking provided. Our neighborhood parking is all taken up by the restaurant employees and visitors.

Please watch the attached video that I made on Nov 7, 2 days after the last planning commission meeting.

The video is linked here from Dropbox. and also a YouTube link, so please take a look to see the reality of what is going on over there.

<https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fi/a1t19zmzv1yrqi6cjawaa/GjustaParking.mov?rlkey=hi3abzg2rp1815g1o0pg09tdz&st=5novseeg&dl=0>

<https://youtu.be/VTri5TGWkfU>

You will see that even after hearing the urgent complaints from the neighbors, they have absolutely no interest in complying with providing the necessary parking.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

<https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fi/a1t19zmzv1yrqi6cjawaa/GjustaParking.mov?rlkey=hi3abzg2rp1815g1o0pg09tdz&st=5novseeg&dl=0>

<https://youtu.be/VTri5TGWkfU>

Sincerely,
Alexandra Koromzay



ZA-2024-3173-CUB-1A

1 message

Ingrid Mueller <ingridinvenice@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 18, 2025 at 9:39 PM

To: Planning APC West LA <apcwestla@lacity.org>

Respected commissioners,

DENY CUB for GJUSTA!

As a constant walker in/through about Venice for over three/3 decades, this commercial area was once busy BUT peacefully quiet.

If this restaurant continues with a CUB, thus providing drinking and nuisance until 11pm...well, for sure, there goes the neighborhood!

Plenty of drinking holes are inside spaces within a tight circle.

Please respect those hundreds of immediate, long-term neighbors' rights to peaceful enjoyment of their homes.

Sincerely, indeed,
Ingrid Mueller, suddenly 82,
Landmark Lincoln Place Apts.
since 1988



Liquor License: Case ZA-2024-3173-CUB-1A

1 message

Kendel McCarley <kendel@parheliatech.com>

Wed, Nov 19, 2025 at 7:42 AM

To: sienna.kuo@lacity.org, apcwestla@lacity.org

Gjusta has established a history of misleading the commissioners regarding their compliance with current zoning. They've broken every promise to reduce their negative impact on the surrounding neighborhood and have continued to not provide off street parking for their customers, employees, and their own company operated vehicles.

Under the current ownership, Gjusta should not be entrusted with a liquor license.

Thanks

--

Kendel McCarley
Parhelio Technology, LLC

PLEASE SHARE WITH COMMISSIONERS

Please deny #6. ZA-2024-3173-CUB-1A

11/19/2025

Hello Commissioners,

We urge you to reject this appeal. We support Zoning Administrator Tim Fargo's very thorough Letter of Determination issued on July 15, 2025, denying ZA-2024-3173-CUB. Planning approvals are treasury. You cannot ignore the law **OR** reward a serial violator who has continuously flaunted the law since opening Gjusta at this location without permits in 2014, as ZA Fargo documented.

The issues before you are legal - it is not about good food or supporters. The West Los Angeles Areal Planning Commission is a quasi-judicial body appointed by the Mayor of Los Angeles. The WLAAPC **cannot ignore the law**. Neither can Council member Traci Park or the Venice Neighborhood Council. Any attempt to do so would fly in the face of the requirement of all parties to comply with the law generally, and the requirement for developers to apply for, and comply with required permits and "conditions of approval" of their planning entitlements specifically.

Additionally, approval of ZA-2024-3173-CUB-1A would promote an illegal and unfair advantage in business; deny good-faith operators an equal opportunity to compete in business; and actively encourage similar non-compliance by other business operators to gain an unfair business advantages and unjustified enrichment. **You cannot condone or approve of that.**

It is important to understand just how brazen Mr. Camaj's ongoing business tactics are.

Currently, Gjusta has 21 LADBS Code Enforcement violations. Many are for "Any Problems That Only Occur from 5:00pm to 7:00 am (at night) or on Weekends" or "CUB or CUX violations." Neighbors are impacted by noise, traffic, circulation, and parking problems that interfere with their peaceful enjoyment of private property (rights) in the surrounding Oakwood residential community. Approval of a Type 47 with extended evening hours at Gjusta would further exacerbate these problems, as many neighbors confirmed in their opposition to an earlier Type 47 application by Mr. Camaj.

In 2020, Mr. Camaj applied to the Alcohol Beverage Control agency for a Type 47 license (#572586) when he did not have a Certificate of Occupancy for a restaurant (Gjusta). ABC's Metro Division confirmed at that time that they received 55 valid protest letters from community members opposing a type 47 license at Gjusta. Hubert Hodgins and Margaret Molloy appealed #572586. Mr. Camaj appeared before an ABC Administrative Judge to oppose the appeal when he did not even have a Certificate of Occupancy to legally operate a restaurant. On September 21, 2020, Administrative Law Judge D. issued an order for Hamtramck Hospitality, LLC (Dba: Gjusta, 320 Sunset Avenue, Venice, CA 90291-2632): "Order: The type 47 on-sale general eating place license for Hamtramck Hospitality, LLC, is denied."

Today, Mr. Camaj submitted ZA-2024-3173-CUB as an application for a CUB with a Type 47 license for “full line of alcohol” for Gjusta at 318, 320, 322, 324 East Sunset Avenue. However, residents provided “substantial evidence” in written submissions and oral testimony at the November 5, 2025, hearing of this appeal, that Mr. Camaj already illegally converted 314 Sunset, the adjacent property to the west (owned by the same property owner), from an “Artist-in-Residence” residential use to a 100% commercial use, in yet another unpermitted extension of “Gjusta.” Mr. Camaj did this while he has a history of years of violations at this location **and** is under DCP, WLAAPC, and ABC review! The illegal conversion of 314 Sunset involves an unpermitted change of use and unpermitted change of intensification of use of that property and likely violates the state Mello Act. You cannot include 314 Sunset in any proposed approval of ZA-2024-3173-CUB-1A, and you cannot condone or ignore that illegal conversion, because required findings must include the entire “Gjusta” operation, and evidence shows that the entire “Gjusta” operation encompasses 314 Sunset. **You must deny this appeal.**

Appreciatively,

Dr. Naomi Nightingale

Sarah Mahir

Margaret Molloy

109-5A143-83		
+	Parcel Profile Report:	1
-	Permit Information found:	3
+	318 E SUNSET AVE 90291	
+	320 E SUNSET AVE 90291	
+	324 E SUNSET AVE 90291	
+	Code Enforcement Information:	21
+	Soft-story Retrofit Program Information:	1



Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 / www.VeniceNC.org
Email: info@VeniceNC.org / Phone or Fax: 310.606.2015



BY EMAIL

maya.zaitzevsky@lacity.org

November 9, 2014

Maya Zaitzevsky
Zoning Administrator
L.A. Department of City Planning
200 N. Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Project: 320 Sunset Ave.

Case Nos: ZA-2013-1317-CEX and DIR-2013-1314-VSO (5-2-13), ZA-2013-3376-CDP-CUB-SPP (10-17-13) and ENV-2013-3377-MND (326 Sunset Ave, 10-24-13)

Description: Pursuant to the provisions of Section 12.20.2 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code, a Coastal Development Permit authorizing the conversion, construction, use, and maintenance of 5,040 square feet of office use into 5,040 square feet of restaurant use with 245 square feet of accessory retail space; pursuant to Section 12.24-W,1, a Conditional Use to permit the sale and dispensing of a full line of alcoholic beverages for on-site consumption and the sale of beer and wine only for off-site consumption, in conjunction with a 5,040 square-foot restaurant with a service floor area of 900 square feet, seating for 90 patrons total (25 indoors and 65 within an outdoor patio on private property), and hours of operation from 6 a.m. to 1 a.m., daily; and, Pursuant to Section 11.5.7-C, a determination of Specific Plan Project Permit Compliance with the Venice Coastal Zone Specific Plan for the construction, use, and maintenance of a new restaurant. Pursuant to Section 21082.1(c)(3) of the California Public Resources Code, adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the above referenced project.

Dear Maya,

Please be advised that at a regularly held public meeting of the Venice Neighborhood Council (VNC) Board of Officers, the following Motion was approved:

MOTION: The VNC Board recommends denial of the project at 320 Sunset Ave.

BOARD ACTION: APPROVED 12-6-1, and 1 recusal, August 19, 2014

LUPC Staff Report available on the VNC website:

www.venicenc.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/320-Sunset_LUPCStaffReport_August192014_BoardMtg.pdf



Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 / www.VeniceNC.org
Email: info@VeniceNC.org / Phone or Fax: 310.606.2015



Please provide us a copy of your determination letter via email to president@venicenc.org and chair-lupc@venicenc.org, in addition to mailing it to the address indicated in the letterhead above, and please assure that this letter from the VNC is placed in all case files for the project, including the files for Appeals, if any.

Thank you, and please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions regarding this Venice Coastal Development Project recommendation from the Venice Neighborhood Council.

Yours truly,

Mike Newhouse
President
Venice Neighborhood Council

Cc: Los Angeles Department of City Planning:
Michael LoGrande: michael.logrande@lacity.org
Alan Bell: alan.bell@lacity.org
Lisa Webber: lisa.webber@lacity.org
Daniel Scott: dan.scott@lacity.org
Linn Wyatt: linn.wyatt@lacity.org
Shana Bonstin: shana.bonstin@lacity.org
Kevin Jones: kevin.jones@lacity.org
JoJo Pewsawang: jojo.pewsawang@lacity.org

California Coastal Commission:
Jack Ainsworth: john.ainsworth@coastal.ca.gov
Teresa Henry: teresa.henry@coastal.ca.gov
Chuck Posner: cposner@coastal.ca.gov
Al Padilla: al.padilla@coastal.ca.gov

Council District 11:
Councilmember Mike Bonin: mike.bonin@lacity.org
Tricia Keane: tricia.keane@lacity.org
Debbie Dyner Harris: debbie.dynerharris@lacity.org
Chris Robertson: chris.robertson@lacity.org
Cecilia Castillo: cecilia.castillo@lacity.org

Venice Neighborhood Council:
Venice Neighborhood Council Board: board@venicenc.org
Robin Rudisill, LUPC Chair: chair-LUPC@venicenc.org
Land Use & Planning Committee: LUPC@venicenc.org

Applicant:
Stephen Vitalich: steve@svarchitects.net



Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 / www.VeniceNC.org
Email: info@VeniceNC.org / Phone or Fax: 310.606.2015



October 21, 2015

BY EMAIL

james.k.williams@lacity.org

Honorable Commissioners
West L.A. Area Planning Commission
c/o James K. Williams
Commission Executive Assistant
City Hall, Room 532
200 N. Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re. 320 Sunset Ave Appeal: ZA-2013-3376-CDP-CUB-SPP-1A

Dear Commissioners,

Please be advised that at a regularly held public meeting of the Venice Neighborhood Council (VNC) Board of Officers on October 20, 2015, the following Motion was approved by a 13-0-1 vote:

MOTION:

The VNC Board recommends to the West L.A. Area Planning Commission that they consider the numerous procedural due process issues in their decision on this Appeal, including:

1. Significant and ongoing ex-parte communications
2. Inappropriate and insufficient Exhibit A plans
3. That, in spite of the significance of the changes to it, the project was not sent back to the community to review through the Public City Hearing process
4. That the ZA assigned was not changed to another ZA when the project changed significantly, as is usually done when a case has another Public City Hearing due to significant project changes
5. Insufficient community outreach

Thank you, and please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions regarding this letter of support.

Yours truly,

Mike Newhouse
President
Venice Neighborhood Council



Venice Neighborhood Council

PO Box 550, Venice, CA 90294 / www.VeniceNC.org
Email: info@VeniceNC.org / Phone or Fax: 310.606.2015



CC:

L.A. Department of City Planning:

Michael.Logrande@lacity.org

Lisa.Webber@lacity.org

Faisal.Roble@lacity.org

David.Weintraub@lacity.org

Simon.Pastucha@lacity.org

Linn.Wyatt@lacity.org

Kevin.Jones@lacity.org

APCWestLA@lacity.org

California Coastal Commission:

Charles.Lester@coastal.ca.gov

John.Ainsworth@coastal.ca.gov

Steve.Hudson@coastal.ca.gov

Teresa.Henry@coastal.ca.gov

Chuck.Posner@coastal.ca.gov

Al.Padilla@coastal.ca.gov

Zach.Rehm@coastal.ca.gov

Council District 11:

Councilmember.Bonin@lacity.org

Tricia.Keane@lacity.org

Thuy.Hua@lacity.org

Debbie.DynerHarris@lacity.org

Jesus.D.Orozco@lacity.org

Venice Neighborhood Council:

Board@venicenc.org

LUPC@venicenc.org