

LETTER NO. 1

September 11, 2000

City of Los Angeles
Bureau of Engineering
Environmental Group
Ara Kasparian, Ph.D.
[Interdepartmental Memorandum]

Response 1.1

The Department of Public Works, Bureau of Engineering has been added to the list of interest parties. This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 1.2

Wastewater generation potential of the entire proposed Project is described in Section IV.J.2, Sewer.

Response 1.3

Existing sewer capacity in the Project area and Project construction activities associated with sewer lines are described in Section IV.J.2, Sewer.

Response 1.4

Flooding potential and stormwater drainage facilities for the Project area are described in Section IV.D, Drainage and Surface Water Quality.

Response 1.5

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 2

October 5, 2000

City of Los Angeles
Community Redevelopment Agency
Jerry Scharlin
354 South Spring Street, Suite 800
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Response 2.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 2.2

Project conformance with the *Central Business District Redevelopment Plan, South Park Development Strategies and Design Guidelines, Downtown Strategic Plan, and the Figueroa Corridor Economic Development Strategy* is discussed in Section IV.A, Land Use.

Response 2.3

As a Responsible Agency, the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) has been provided with advance copies of the “Administrative Draft” documents for the Draft EIR, Mitigation Monitoring Program, and the Traffic Impact Analysis. The “Administrative Draft “ Final EIR will be provided to the CRA at the appropriate time.

Response 2.4

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 3

November 8, 2000

City of Los Angeles
Cultural Affairs Department
Mark Johnston, Public Arts Administrator
433 S. Spring Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90013

Response No. 3.1

The Applicant will pay the appropriate Arts Development Fee under the oversight of the Public Arts Division of the Cultural Affairs Department for all non-residential portions of the Project.

LETTER NO. 4

September 28, 2000

City of Los Angeles
Department of Transportation
Robert T. Takasaki, Senior Transportation Engineer
[*Interdepartmental Memorandum*]

Response 4.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 4.2

As per the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), the traffic study prepared by The Mobility Group analyzed the Project's impacts on both surface streets and the freeway system, including freeway on- and off-ramps in the vicinity of the Project. The traffic study also analyzed the Project access and circulation, as well as impacts to pedestrians, transit, parking, and neighborhoods. The traffic study has been incorporated into the Draft EIR (refer to Section IV.F, Transportation/Circulation).

Response 4.3

The traffic study identifies appropriate mitigation measures to reduce adverse traffic impacts, including street improvements. The traffic study also includes an analysis of the temporary closure of 11th Street during special events. The traffic study has been incorporated into the Draft EIR (refer to Section IV.F, Transportation/Circulation).

Response 4.4

As part of the traffic study, the traffic consultant contacted Caltrans, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA), and other stakeholders in the area for their input on the traffic study. The traffic study also analyzed the feasibility of converting Figueroa Street from a one-way street to a two-way street north of Olympic Boulevard to 9th Street or further north.

Response 4.5

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 5

October 13, 2000

City of Los Angeles
Department of Water and Power
Charles C. Holloway, Supervisor Environmental Assessment
111 North Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90051

Response 5.1

The Project will provide for adequate electrical infrastructure in accordance with LADWP rules and regulations, and will pay its fair share of the required electrical system upgrades that are deemed necessary by LADWP to address cumulative effects to the area.

Response 5.2

The Project will comply with LADWP rules and regulations, including water conservation measures. At least one water main will be upsized with the cost of this improvement borne by the Applicant. Please refer to Section V.J.1, Water.

Response 5.3

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 5.4

The Project requirements described in this comment have been incorporated as mitigation measures in the Draft EIR. Please refer to Section IV.J.3, Solid Waste.

Response 5.5

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 6

September 20, 2000

City of Los Angeles
Fire Department
Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety
Richard A. Warford, Assistant Fire Marshal
[*Interdepartmental Memorandum*]

Response 6.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 6.2

Fire flow information provided by the Fire Department has been incorporated in the Draft EIR. Please refer to Section IV.I.1, Fire. This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 6.3

The locations of fire stations serving the Project site have been identified in the Draft EIR. Please refer to Section IV.I.1, Fire. This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 6.4

The Fire Department requirements described in this comment have been incorporated as mitigation measures in the Draft EIR. Please refer to Section IV.I.1, Fire.

Response 6.5

The Project will comply with all applicable State and local codes and ordinances, as well as the Fire Protection and Fire Prevention Plan and the Safety Plan of the *General Plan of the City of Los Angeles*. This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 6.6

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 7

November 27, 2000

Los Angeles Unified School District
Environmental Health and Safety Branch
Joan Friedman, Environmental Planning Specialist
1449 South San Pedro Street
Los Angeles, California 90015

Response 7.1

The measures recommended in the comment have been incorporated in the Draft EIR as mitigation measures. Please refer to Section IV.I.3, Schools.

LETTER NO. 8

October 13, 2000

South Coast Air Quality Management District
Planning, Rule Development and Area Sources, CEQA Section
Steve Smith, Ph.D.
21865 E. Copley Drive
Diamond Bar, CA 91765

Response 8.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 8.2

The analysis of air quality impacts was conducted as per the AQMD's *CEQA Air Quality Handbook*. Please refer to Section IV.E, Air Quality. As per the guidelines set forth by the Handbook, potential adverse air quality impacts were identified for all phases of the Project and all air pollutant sources related to the Project.

Response 8.3

Feasible mitigation measures to minimize or eliminate significant adverse air quality impacts are recommended in Section IV.E, Air Quality. These include measures recommended in Chapter 11 of the AQMD *CEQA Air Quality Handbook* and the Implementation Handbook for Rule 403 – Fugitive Dust. The air quality analysis includes a discussion of adverse effects, including any that may result from mitigation measures.

Response 8.4

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 9

September 18, 2000

Southern California Association of Governments
Sylvia Patsaouras
818 West Seventh Street, 12th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017-3435

Response 9.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 9.2

The Project's consistency with the *City of Los Angeles General Plan* and all other regional plans is discussed in Section IV.A, Land Use. Where there are inconsistencies, an explanation and rationalization for those inconsistencies is provided in the analysis.

Response 9.3

A side-by-side comparison of SCAG policies with a discussion of the consistency or support of the policy with the Project is provided in Section IV.A, Land Use.

Response 9.4

The Draft EIR will be provided to SCAG for a period of 45 days during the public review period.

LETTER NO. 10

November 7, 2000

State of California
Department of Toxic Substance Control
Southern California Cleanup Operations – Glendale Office
Harlan R. Jeche, Unit Chief

Response 10.1

A Phase I environmental site assessment (ESA) report was prepared for the Project site by SCS Engineers dated September 8, 2000. This report consists of a summarization of various completed Phase I ESAs into a composite report for the entire Project site, which is described in Section IV.G, Hazardous Materials. The report identified numerous subsurface investigations and soil remediation projects within the Project site, indicating that current or historic uses have resulted in the release of hazardous wastes/substances. To date, closures have been granted by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) and/or the Los Angeles Fire Department, with the exception of three remaining properties, as identified in the Draft EIR.

Response 10.2

A mitigation measure has been included in the Draft EIR requires further investigation of the potential soil and/or groundwater contamination on the three sites identified in the Draft EIR, in accordance with the RWQCB.

Response 10.3

A mitigation measure has been included in the Draft EIR to address discovery of soil contamination during construction.

Response 10.4

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 11

October 11, 2000

State of California
Department of Transportation
Transportation Planning Office
Stephen J. Buswell
120 South Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Response 11.1

The information requested in this comment has been incorporated, as appropriate, into the traffic study prepared by The Mobility Group, which is summarized in Section IV.F.1, Traffic. The traffic study did not address year 2020 conditions, but rather, evaluated potential impacts for the year 2008, the anticipated year of Project buildout. The City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) approved the methodology for the traffic study.

Response 11.2

The Applicant will comply with all applicable requirements and secure any necessary permits as required by Caltrans for any work performed in the State right-of-way.

Response 11.3

The Transportation Planning Office of the State Department of Transportation has been included on the distribution list to receive two copies of the Draft EIR.

LETTER NO. 12

October 13, 2000

State of California
Governor's Office of Planning and Research
State Clearinghouse
Scott Morgan
1400 Tenth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Response 12.1

This comment acknowledges the State Clearinghouse's receipt of the Notice of Preparation (NOP) and transmittal of the NOP by the State Clearinghouse to various agencies for review and comment, and provides the State Clearinghouse number for the EIR. This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 13

September 22, 2000

State of California
Native American Heritage Commission
Rob Wood, Associate Governmental Program Analyst
915 Capitol Mall, Room 364
Sacramento, CA 95814

Response 13.1

The South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC) at California State University-Fullerton conducted the recommended records search and reported its written conclusions on October 17, 2000. The record search indicated that no recorded prehistoric archaeological resources exist on the Project site and the likelihood of discovering such resources is remote. As such, no further archaeological survey is required. Further information is included in Section IV.L, Historic Resources; to ensure the protection of any accidentally discovered archaeological resources during construction.

Response 13.2

The Native American Heritage Commission conducted the recommended record search and reported its written conclusions on October 18, 2000. The record search indicated that there is no recorded presence of Native American cultural resources in the immediate Project area. Further recommended contact with Native American individuals and organizations has been made and information will be provided as it becomes available.

Response 13.3

A mitigation measure has been included in Section IV.L, Historic Resources to ensure the protection of any accidentally discovered archaeological resources during construction.

LETTER NO. 14

October 12, 2000

Action for Grassroots Empowerment &
Neighborhood Development Alternatives
(AGENDA)

Anthony Thigpen, Chair
1715 W. Florence Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90047

Response 14.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record. Refer to responses to the comments by the Figueroa Corridor Coalition for Economic Justice (“Coalition”), provided under Response No. 19.

Response 14.2

The topics of air quality, noise pollution, and increased parking constraints are analyzed in Sections IV.E, Air Quality, IV.H, Noise, and IV.F.2, Parking, respectively.

Response 14.3

Mitigation measures have been proposed in the Draft EIR under the appropriate section. Mitigation measures to reduce impacts related to air quality and traffic are included in Sections IV.E, Air Quality, and IV.F.1, Traffic, respectively. Section IV.I.3, Schools recommends mitigation measures to minimize the impact to schools. Transportation improvements are included in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic and IV.F.3, Pedestrian safety. As determined in Section IV.C, Population, Housing, and Employment; of the Draft EIR; the Project would not have a significant impact on housing, population, or housing and therefore no mitigation measures would be required. Impacts to other facilities, including libraries, supermarkets, and health clinics were not determined to be potentially significant and as such were not addressed in the Draft EIR. Other issues not related to CEQA, including job-training and childcare, were also not addressed in the Draft EIR.

Response 14.4

AGENDA and the Coalition have been included on the distribution list to each receive a copy of the Draft EIR and any further public notices regarding the Project.

LETTER NO. 15

October 13, 2000

Central American Resource Center
(CARECEN)
Angela Sanbrano, Executive Director
2845 W. Seventh Street
Los Angeles, CA 90005

Response 15.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record. Refer to Response No. 19 for a response to the comments submitted by the Figueroa Corridor Coalition for Economic Justice (“Coalition”).

Response 15.2

Parking impacts are discussed in Section IV.F.2, Parking. Where Project-related impacts have been identified, mitigation measures to reduce those impacts are included in the appropriate sections of Draft EIR.

Response 15.3

Where Project-related impacts have been identified, mitigation measures to reduce those impacts are included in the appropriate sections of Draft EIR. Refer to Response No. 19 for a response to the comments submitted by the Coalition.

Response 15.4

The environmental review of the Project has been undertaken in accordance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines.

Response 15.5

CARACEN has been included on the distribution list to each receive a copy of the Draft EIR and any further public notices regarding the Project.

LETTER NO. 16

October 13, 2000

Coalition for Safe Practices
Berta "Bert" Saavedra
1401 South Grand Avenue, Leavey Hall #406
Los Angeles, CA 90015

Response 16.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 16.2

Parking, signage, and the proposed temporary closure of 11th Street are addressed in Sections IV.F.2, Parking, IV.B.2, Light and Glare, and IV.F.1, Traffic, respectively. The sale and service of alcoholic beverages will be subject to a Conditional Use Permit, as discussed in Section IV.A, Land Use.

Response 16.3

Traffic and pedestrian safety issues are discussed in Section IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.F.3, Pedestrian Safety, respectively. The visual environment, and specifically signage, is discussed in Sections IV.B.1, Visual Quality, and IV.B.2, Light and Glare. The increase in demand for public services, including fire and police services, is discussed in Sections IV.I.1, Fire, and IV.I.2, Police. Hazardous materials are discussed in Section V.G, Hazardous Materials. The sale and service of alcoholic beverages will be subject to a Conditional Use Permit, as discussed in Section IV.A, Land Use.

Response 16.4

This comment is noted for the administrative record. The Coalition for Safe Practices has been included on the distribution list to receive a copy of the Draft EIR and any further public notices regarding the Project.

LETTER NO. 17

October 12, 2000

Concerned Residents of Pico-Union
Marta Ruther, Director
1143 S. Lake St.
Los Angeles, CA 90006

Response 17.1

Traffic and parking issues are discussed in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.F.2, Parking, respectively. Police services are discussed in Section IV.I.2, Police.

Response 17.2

Traffic issues are discussed in Section IV.F.1, Traffic.

Response 17.3

The Project would implement the policies of the City of Los Angeles *General Plan Framework* and *Central Business District Redevelopment Plan* by locating major entertainment, cultural, and hotel facilities in Downtown. Furthermore, the Project would fulfill the master plan requirements under the Disposition and Development Agreement for the STAPLES Center development. The Project is consistent with the land use designations of the City of Los Angeles *General Plan* as implemented by the *Central City Community Plan*. The Project is also consistent with the relevant land use policies contained in the *Central Business District Redevelopment Plan*, *Downtown Specific Plan*, *South Park Development Strategies and Design Guidelines*, *Figueroa Corridor Economic Development Strategy*, and the City of Los Angeles *General Plan Framework*.

Response 17.4

Please refer to Response 17.2.

Response 17.5

Please refer to Response 17.3.

Response 17.6

Potential zoning amendments are discussed in Section IV.A, Land Use. Temporary street closures, road realignments, and increased traffic volumes are discussed in Section IV.F.1, Traffic. Parking demand is discussed in Section IV.F.2, Parking.

Response 17.7

Traffic and pedestrian circulation are discussed in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.F.3, Pedestrian Safety, respectively. The potential effect of a city-wide bus strike on Project traffic and pedestrian circulation impacts is beyond the scope of this Draft EIR.

Response 17.8

Potential impacts on the visual environment are discussed in Sections IV.B.1, Visual Quality, IV.B.2, Light and Glare, and IV.B.3, Shade/Shadow.

Response 17.9

Increased demands on public service systems, including fire and police services, are discussed in Section IV.I, Public Services. Public facilities (i.e., utilities), including water supply and solid waste disposal, are discussed in Section IV.J, Utilities.

Response 17.10

Potential effects on air quality are discussed in Section IV.E, Air Quality.

Response 17.11

Noise levels from construction activity and increased traffic volumes are discussed in Section IV.H, Noise.

Response 17.12

Off-site historic resources are discussed in Section IV.L, Historic Resources.

Response 17.13

Potential impacts from hazardous materials associated with land uses previously located within the Project site are discussed in Section IV.G, Hazardous Materials.

Response 17.14

This Draft EIR has been prepared in accordance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines. As per CEQA, the Draft EIR includes an analysis of project alternatives and appropriate mitigation measures. Energy conservation measures will be implemented as per State regulations.

Response 17.15

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 18

October 12, 2000

Environmental Defense - Los Angeles Project Office
Jerilyn Lopez Mendoza, Acting Director
10951 W. Pico Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90064

Response 18.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record. Refer to Response No. 19 for a response to the comments submitted by the Figueroa Corridor Coalition for Economic Justice (“Coalition”).

Response 18.2

Pedestrian safety has been analyzed as part of the Draft EIR. Please refer to Section IV.F.3, Pedestrian Safety.

LETTER NO. 19

October 12, 2000

Figueroa Corridor Coalition for Economic Justice
Sandra McNeill, Coordinator
2636 Kenwood Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90007

Response 19.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 19.2

This comment reiterates the findings of the Initial Study as included in the Notice of Preparation. This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 19.3

Potential parking impacts are addressed in Section IV.F.2, Parking.

Response 19.4

This comment is noted for the administrative record. Impacts have been reduced to the extent feasible via the inclusion of project design features and mitigation measures. Please refer to the appropriate section of the Draft EIR.

Response 19.5

Environmental impacts associated with the development of STAPLES Center (i.e., existing conditions) were addressed in a prior action by the Community Redevelopment Agency of the City of Los Angeles in the certification of and adoption of conditions of approval for the *Final EIR for the Los Angeles Sports and Entertainment Complex* (SCH No. 96091061), adopted in 1997.

Response 19.6

Existing environmental conditions are carefully addressed in various sections of the Draft EIR, and include an analysis of cumulative impacts under each category of relevant impact.

Response 19.7

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 19.8

The Figueroa Corridor Coalition for Economic Justice and all other NOP respondents will continue to receive all relevant notifications regarding this EIR and the Project's planning process. This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 20

October 10, 2000

Health Care Consortium of Central Los Angeles (HCCLA)
Pri de Silva
3375 S. Hoover Avenue, Suite H201
Los Angeles, CA 90007

Response 20.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record. Refer to Response No. 19 for a response to the comments submitted by the Figueroa Corridor Coalition for Economic Justice (“Coalition”). Air quality, noise pollution, and parking are addressed in the Draft EIR. Please refer to Sections IV.E, Air Quality, IV.H, Noise, and IV.F.2, Parking, respectively. The Coalition, HCCLA, and several other community organizations have been included on the distribution list to each receive a copy of the Draft EIR and any further public notices regarding the Project.

LETTER NO. 21

October 10, 2000

Los Angeles Alliance for a New Economy
(LAANE)
Madeline Janis-Aparicio
548 S. Spring Street, Suite 630
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Response 21.1

Employment impacts are discussed in Section IV.C, Population, Housing, and Employment, however, CEQA indicates that the economic or social effects of a project shall not be treated as significant effects on the environment. Therefore, the issues raised in this comment are not specifically addressed in the Draft EIR.

Response 21.2

This comment is noted for the administrative record. Refer to Response No. 19 for a response to the comments submitted by the Figueroa Corridor Coalition for Economic Justice (“Coalition”). Recreational opportunities and access to schools are discussed in Sections IV.I.3, Schools, and IV.I.4, Parks and Recreation, respectively. Transportation options, including transit, is discussed in Section IV.F.1, Traffic. Pedestrian safety is discussed in Section IV.F.3, Pedestrian Safety.

Response 21.3

The Coalition, LAANE, and several other community organizations have been included on the distribution list to each receive a copy of the Draft EIR and any further public notices regarding the Project. Employment projections for the Project are provided in Section IV.C, Population, Housing, and Employment.

Response 21.4

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 22

October 9, 2000

Maternal and Child Health Access
Ken Montenegro
Lynn Kersey
1010 S. Flower St., #404
Los Angeles, CA 90015

Response 22.1

Effects on housing supply are discussed in Section IV.C, Population, Housing, and Employment, however, CEQA indicates that the economic or social effects of a project shall not be treated as significant effects on the environment. Therefore, the issues regarding affordable housing raised in this comment are not specifically addressed in the Draft EIR. This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Response 22.2

There are no existing residential uses within the Project site. The site is currently developed with surface parking lots, a below grade warehouse, and mechanical buildings. Therefore, the Project would not result in the displacement of any current residents or residential uses.

Response 22.3

Traffic issues are addressed in Section IV.F.1, Traffic.

Response 22.4

This comment is noted for the administrative record. Maternal and Child Health Care Access has been included on the distribution list to each receive a copy of the Draft EIR and any further public notices regarding the Project.

LETTER NO. 23

October 10, 2000

Strategic Actions for a Just Economy
Gilda Haas
2636 Kenwood Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90007

Response 23.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record. Refer to Response No. 19 for a response to the comments submitted by the Figueroa Corridor Coalition for Economic Justice.

Response 23.2

Residents and community organizations have been provided with the opportunity to participate in the EIR preparation process as required by CEQA. In compliance with CEQA Section 21080.4, a Notice of Preparation (NOP) was prepared by the City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning and was received by the State Clearinghouse, responsible agencies, and other interested parties on September 14, 2000. The 30-day response period for the NOP ended on October 14, 2000. All comments received during the NOP response period have been considered in the preparation of the Draft EIR. Furthermore, all respondents to the NOP have been included on a distribution list to receive any future public notices.

LETTER NO. 24

September 13, 2000

Fashion Institute of Design and Merchandising
Dr. Carol Rookstool
919 S. Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90015

Response 24.1

The Project does not include a full-service supermarket, a grocery store, or a drugstore. However, as the Project would help to revitalize the Downtown Center and would include up to 800 residential units, it could be anticipated that market conditions would warrant the development of a supermarket and/or grocery and drugstore. Please refer to Response 17.3 for a discussion of the Project's consistency with applicable adopted land use policies. This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 25

October 13, 2000

FLM Enterprises, Inc.
Moise E. Hendeles
4601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 205
Los Angeles, CA 90010

Response 25.1

Parking issues are discussed in Section IV.F.2, Parking.

LETTER NO. 26

October 8, 2000

Hironari Koshima
950 S. Flower St., Apt. 1217
Los Angeles, CA 90015

Response 26.1

All issues determined to be a “probable environmental effect of the proposed project” have been addressed in the Draft EIR. Please refer to the appropriate section for further analysis. Impacts to existing views are discussed in Section IV.B.1, Visual Quality. Noise levels during construction and operation are discussed in Section IV.H, Noise.

LETTER NO. 27

September 14, 2000

Wendi Sani
[no address]

Response 27.1

Please refer to Response No. 24.1.

LETTER NO. 28

September 21, 2000

Vogue Tyres
Warren Dodson
1323 S. Flower Street
Los Angeles, CA 90015

Response 28.1

This comment is noted for the administrative record.

LETTER NO. 29

[No Date]

Jose Aguilar
1496 West 25th Street
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 29.1

I am very concerned about the environmental impacts of the proposed Los Angeles Sports and Entertainment District. I am opposed to the proposed development because the existing Staples Center has affected the life of my family, friends and myself, creating lots of problems for us since its construction. Among the created problems we have experienced for example: more traffic, more noise, lack of street parking.

Response 29.1

The City of Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency certified the Final EIR for STAPLES Center in 1997. Traffic, parking, and noise impacts resulting from the Project are addressed in the Draft EIR for the Project. Please refer to Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.H, Noise, respectively.

Comment 29.2

[English Translation] I'm afraid that in enlarging the STAPLES Center, there will be more disturbances.

Response 29.2

The Draft EIR addresses security issues in Section IV.I.2, Police.

Comment 29.3

I believe that, as it is currently planned, the proposed expansion can only make these problems worse. I understand the project is headed by the same developer that has already failed to address many of the problems currently caused by Staples.

Response 29.3

The City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning is the Lead Agency under CEQA and is responsible for adopting mitigation measures to reduce significant impacts.

Comment 29.4

These are some of the reasons why I am asking you to consult with my neighbors, and me about the impact the future expansion will have on us. As a start, please add me to all appropriate mailing lists and let me know about upcoming public meetings. Please take into consideration translation and interpretation for any of these matters. Thank you for your attention to our concerns.

Response 29.4

The commenter has been included on the distribution list to receive public notices regarding the Project, including the Notice of Availability (NOA) of the Draft EIR and notice of any public meetings. The comment concerning translation and interpretation is noted for the administrative record and will be taken into consideration by the decision-makers.

LETTER NO. 30

[No Date]

Maria Aleman
845 West Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 30.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 30.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 30.2

[English Translation] No parking. No Safety. Too much noise. Too much traffic.

Response 30.2

Noise, traffic, parking, and security issues are addressed in Sections IV.H, Noise, IV.F.1, Traffic, IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 30.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 30.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 30.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 30.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 31

[No Date]

Marco Antonio Arenas
916 South Georgia Street, #106
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 31.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 31.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 31.2

[English Translation] I don't have street parking from my car when there is an event at STAPLES Center, affecting the safety of my two small girls of 3 years and 1-1/2 years. Thus, we are forced to stay at our home and we can't even leave to go to the market. The names of my daughters are: Iyali Sarahi and Yamileth Arenas Hernades. My wife: Maria del Rosario Arenas.

Response 31.2

Parking, pedestrian safety, and security are addressed in Sections IV.F.2, Parking, IV.F.3, Pedestrian Safety, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 31.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 31.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 31.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 31.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 32

[No Date]

Miguel Ariza-Bravo
916 Georgia Street, #109
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 32.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 32.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 32.2

[English Translation] My family works and the noise from the center affect us. My window is facing STAPLES, and one night after an event at about 2:00 AM, a broken bottle was thrown at my window. There is also too much helicopter noise, and we don't have anywhere to park. I don't agree with what they are doing because it's not right.

Response 32.2

Noise and security issues are addressed in the Draft EIR, in Sections IV.H, Noise, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively. Parking impacts are addressed in Section IV.F.2, Parking. The Project does not include the construction of a heliport or helistop and, therefore, would not result in a direct increase in helicopter noise beyond existing background levels. Therefore, this issue is not addressed in the Draft EIR.

Comment 32.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 32.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 32.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 32.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 33

[*No Date*]

Christina Ayala
945 West Olympic Boulevard, #207
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 33.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 33.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 33.2

[English Translation] I don't want there to be more problems because we are affected by parking problems, too much noise, vandalism, and too much traffic.

Response 33.2

Traffic and parking are discussed in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.F.2, Parking, respectively. Noise impacts are addressed in Section IV.H, Noise. Although the Draft EIR does not specifically address vandalism, security issues are addressed in Section IV.I.2, Police. Furthermore, a mitigation measure has been recommended in Section IV.B.1, Visual Quality, to reduce graffiti on temporary construction barricades.

Comment 33.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 33.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 33.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 33.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 34

[*No Date*]

Gerardo Ayala
916 James Wood Boulevard, #307
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 34.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 34.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Comment 34.2

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 34.2

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 34.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

Response 34.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 35

[No Date]

Maria Bastodanos
916 South Georgia Street, #206
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 35.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 35.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 35.2

[English Translation] 1) We have parking problems that we never had before STAPLES Center. 2) The events usually end around midnight, and there is too much noise, and we get up very early to go to work. 3) The STAPLES Center has embellished Los Angeles, but it has changed our quality of life.

Response 35.2

Parking and noise impacts are discussed in Sections IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.H, Noise, respectively.

Comment 35.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 35.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 35.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 35.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 36

[*No Date*]

Maria Caluillo
845 Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 36.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 36.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 36.2

[English Translation] When I return home around 6:00 PM there is no parking for my car when there are events. There is too much noise and bad television reception. The truth is, it affects us a lot...

Response 36.2

Noise and parking are addressed in Sections IV.H, Noise, and IV.F.2, Parking, respectively. The Project would not interfere with local television reception and is not addressed in the Draft EIR.

Comment 36.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 36.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 36.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 36.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 37

[No Date]

Hector Estrada Campos
845 West Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 37.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 37.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 37.2

[English Translation] [No] nearly store or laundry. Also, cars don't respect traffic lights and they don't fix the places we live and they don't pay attention to us, and they want to raise rents. –Don't respect traffic lights and [pedestrians].

Response 37.2

Please refer to Response No. 24.1 for a discussion of the market issue. Traffic and pedestrian safety are addressed in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.F.3, Pedestrian Safety, respectively. Housing prices are not addressed in the Draft EIR as social and economic effects are not addressed by CEQA. Housing impacts are discussed in Section IV.C, Population, Housing, and Employment.

Comment 37.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 37.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 37.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 37.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 38

[*No Date*]

Guillermino Cernas
845 West Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 38.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 38.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 38.2

[English Translation] During events, people living nearby can't find parking and there are no stores or laundries close-by, or any parks for the many children to play.

Response 38.2

Parking and parks are addressed in Sections IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.I.4, Parks and Recreation, respectively. Please refer to Response No. 24.1 for discussion of the market issue.

Comment 38.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 38.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 38.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 38.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 39

[No Date]

Juan Corral
916 James Wood Boulevard, #100
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 39.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 39.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 39.2

[English Translation] Noise. Parking. Traffic. Crime.

Response 39.2

Noise, traffic, parking, and security issues are addressed in Sections IV.H, Noise, IV.F.1, Traffic, IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 39.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 39.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 39.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 39.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 40

[*No Date*]

Auseneio Crisento
916 South Georgia Street, #305
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 40.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 40.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 40.2

[English Translation] For example, too many vandals, too many people during event days, no parking, experiences of vandalism from the Lakers.

Response 40.2

Parking and noise are discussed in Sections IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.H, Noise, respectively. Security issues are discussed in Section IV.I.2, Police.

Comment 40.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 40.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 40.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 40.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 41

[*No Date*]

Carlos Duran
916 South Georgia Street
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 41.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 41.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 41.2

During events – there is much traffic. No [illegible] – parking [illegible]. Police protection after Lakers' games.

Response 41.2

Traffic, parking, and security issues are addressed in the Draft EIR, in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 41.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 41.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 41.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 41.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 42

[*No Date*]

Marcelina Estrada
845 West Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 42.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 42.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 42.2

[English Translation] We don't have a market or laundry close by. Cars don't obey the traffic lights and the police do nothing. There is much crime in the streets.

Response 42.2

Please refer to Response No. 24.1 for a discussion of the availability of a market. Housing prices are not addressed in the Draft EIR as social and economic effects are not addressed by CEQA. Housing impacts are discussed in Section IV.C, Population, Housing, and Employment. Security issues are addressed in Section IV.I.2, Police, of the Draft EIR.

Comment 42.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 42.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 42.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 42.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 43

[*No Date*]

Dolores Gonzalez
845 West Olympic Boulevard, #404
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 43.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 43.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 43.2

[English Translation] Noise. Families who visit us can't find a place to park. There are no markets close by. It is hard to return home from the market when there are events.

Response 43.2

Parking and noise are addressed in Sections IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.H, Noise, respectively. Please refer to Response No. 24.2 for a discussion of the market issue.

Comment 43.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 43.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 43.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 43.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 44

[*No Date*]

Jorge Gonzalez
916 James Wood Boulevard, #104
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 44.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 44.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 44.2

[English Translation] People who come to events overturn cars, urinate in the parking places, and bring much trash.

Response 44.2

Event security is addressed in Section IV.I.2, Police.

Comment 44.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 44.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 44.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 44.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 45

[*No Date*]

Salvador Guerrero
916 South Georgia Street, #306
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 45.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 45.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 45.2

[English Translation] Fans loiter by buildings smoking marijuana. People living at nearby buildings can't park. There is much destruction by fans when the teams win.

Response 45.2

Security issues are discussed in Section IV.I.2, Police. Parking is discussed in Section IV.F.2, Parking.

Comment 45.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 45.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 45.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 45.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 46

[No Date]

Delfina Hernandez
916 Georgia Street, #107
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 46.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 46.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 46.2

[English Translation] They didn't let me enter my building. The police patrolling my street (9th and Figueroa) even pushed me (motorcycle police). The only thing we can look forward to is more noise, more discrimination, and less peace for our families.

Response 46.2

Noise and security issues are addressed in Sections IV.H, Noise, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively. This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Comment 46.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 46.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 46.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 46.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 47

[*No Date*]

Francisco Hernandez
845 West Olympic Boulevard, #103
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 47.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 47.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 47.2

[English Translation] Every time there is an event there is too much noise, it is difficult to return home, there's no parking, and too many drunks.

Response 47.2

Parking and noise are discussed in Sections IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.H, Noise, respectively. Security issues are discussed in Section IV.I.2, Police.

Comment 47.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 47.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 47.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 47.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 48

[*No Date*]

Josefina Hernandez
916 James Wood Boulevard, #106
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 48.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 48.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 48.2

[English Translation] We are worried that we don't have parking. There is too much traffic and noise in the surrounding community where we live.

Response 48.2

Noise, traffic, and parking are addressed in Sections IV.H, Noise, IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.F.2, Parking, respectively.

Comment 48.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 48.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 48.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 48.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 49

[No Date]

Jesus Felipe Hosking
845 West Olympic Boulevard, #105
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 49.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 49.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 49.2

[English Translation] The only market is gone. No parking.

Response 49.2

Parking is addressed in Section IV.F.2, Parking. Please refer to Response No. 24.1 for a discussion of the market issue.

Comment 49.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 49.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 49.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 49.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 50

[*No Date*]

Juan Huerta
916 James Wood Boulevard, #303
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 50.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 50.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 50.2

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 50.2

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 50.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 50.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 51

[No Date]

Santiago Huerto
916 James Wood Boulevard, #306
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 51.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 51.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 51.2

[English Translation] The problem that everyone living close to the STAPLES Center has is that they can't park their cars. What we want here is that they remove the street rules [illegible] or leave things as they were before.

Response 51.2

Parking is addressed in Section IV.F.2, Parking.

Comment 51.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 51.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 51.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 51.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 52

[No Date]

Lucrecia Leon
Corner of Georgia Street and Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, California
[Form Letter]

Comment 52.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 52.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 52.2

[English Translation] Things like the National Convention protests and the disturbances during the Lakers championship disturb us. People are drunk and there is much crime. We live with much fear because we can't go outside every time there is an event. Much violence..

Response 52.2

Security and event management are discussed in Section IV.I.2, Police.

Comment 52.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 52.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 52.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 52.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 53

[No Date]

Lucia López
916 West 9th Street, Apt. 107
Los Angeles, California 90015

Comment 53.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 53.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 53.2

[English Translation] There is no parking, and at times, we have to pay to park. There is too much traffic and disturbances, and it is very dangerous for my children.

Response 53.2

Please refer to Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, IV.F.2, Parking, IV.F.3, Pedestrian Safety, and IV.I.2, Police, of the Draft EIR.

Comment 53.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 53.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 53.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 53.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 54

[*No Date*]

René López
916 Georgia Street, #302
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 54.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 54.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 54.2

[English Translation] Our building has been parking and parking on one side of the street has been eliminated. They should let us park when there are no events. They don't let us park from 6:00 to 12:00 daily.

Response 54.2

Please refer to Section IV.F.2, Parking, of the Draft EIR.

Comment 54.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 54.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 54.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 54.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 55

[No Date]

Luisa Luna
[Address illegible]
[Form Letter]

Comment 55.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 55.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 55.2

[illegible]

Response 55.2

[illegible; No response possible]

Comment 55.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 55.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 55.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 55.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 56

[*No Date*]

Bertha Martinez
1573 West 37th Place
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 56.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 56.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 56.2

[English Translation] Too much traffic – the safety of the children in the community during sport events, concerts, or conferences at the STAPLES Center.

Response 56.2

Traffic and pedestrian safety are discussed in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.F.3, Pedestrian Safety, respectively.

Comment 56.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 56.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 56.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 56.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 57

[*No Date*]

Diego Mendez
916 James Wood Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 57.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 57.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 57.2

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 57.2

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 57.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 57.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 58

[*No Date*]

Jeana Mercado
845 West Olympic Boulevard, #104
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 58.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 58.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 58.2

[English Translation] Noise and traffic are excessive. No parking for local dwellers and their guests. Many drunks, pan-handlers and too much music during concerts.

Response 58.2

Noise, traffic, and parking are addressed in Sections IV.H, Noise, IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.F.2, Parking, respectively. Although public intoxication and panhandling are not specifically addressed in the Draft EIR, security issues are addressed in Section IV.I.2, Police.

Comment 58.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 58.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 58.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 58.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 59

[*No Date*]

Seberiana Ortiz
845 West Olympic Boulevard, #203
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 59.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 59.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 59.2

[*English Translation*] *Too much noise with events, no parking for our cars, and we get tickets very often. The only market...[illegible]*

Response 59.2

Parking and noise are discussed in Sections IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.H, Noise, respectively. Please refer to Response No. 24.1 for a response to the market issue.

Comment 59.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 59.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 59.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 59.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 60

[*No Date*]

Seberiana Ortiz
845 West Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 60.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 60.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 60.2

[English Translation] We don't have a laundry near by. Also, our bathrooms are very bad, with stopped-up sinks. They don't do anything to fix them.

Response 60.2

Please refer to Response No. 24.1 for a discussion of the market issue. Wastewater systems are addressed in Section IV.J.2, Sewer.

Comment 60.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 60.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 60.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 60.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 61

[No Date]

Amador Perez
916 South Georgia Street, #311
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 61.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 61.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 61.2

[English Translation] We have many problems parking our cars, and we've received many tickets. We want more safety and security when there are sport events and a lot of traffic. We want to be given a new, safe place to rent that is safe, and at the same price that we have here.

Response 61.2

Traffic, parking, and security are addressed in the Draft EIR in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively. Housing effects are discussed in Section IV.C, Population, Housing, and Employment. No existing housing will be removed as part of the Project; therefore, relocation is not addressed in the Draft EIR.

Comment 61.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 61.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 61.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 61.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 62

[*No Date*]

Matias Perez
944 Francisco Street
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 62.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 62.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 62.2

[English Translation] Principal Problems: Lack of parking; no market or laundry nearby; no nearby school to educate children.

Response 62.2

Parking and schools are addressed in Sections IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.I.3, Schools, respectively. Please refer to Response No. 24.1 for a discussion of the market issue.

Comment 62.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 62.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 62.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 62.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 63

[No Date]

Andres and Patricia Pineda
845 West Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 63.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 63.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 63.2

[English Translation] Where there are events, there is too much noise and traffic, causing us many problems. Instead of enlarging STAPLES, why not put in a pharmacy, laundry, or markets closer?

Response 63.2

Traffic and noise are discussed in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.H, Noise, respectively. Please refer to Section II.A, Project Objectives, for a discussion of the Applicant's and the City's objectives for the Project.

Comment 63.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 63.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 63.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 63.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 64

[No Date]

Gilberto Ramón
916 South Georgia Street, #309
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 64.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 64.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 64.2

[English Translation] I can't leave home during events because there is too much traffic, car vandalism, people drinking before and after events, and too much helicopter noise.

Response 64.2

Traffic and security issues are addressed in the Draft EIR, in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively. Please refer to Response No. 32.2 for a response to the helicopter noise issue.

Comment 64.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 64.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 64.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 64.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 65

[*No Date*]

Guadalupe Ramos
845 Francisco Street, Apt. 402
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 65.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 65.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 65.2

[English Translation] Too much noise, traffic, and demonstrations impede us returning home, for many streets and arteries become closed.

Response 65.2

Traffic and noise are discussed in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.H, Noise, respectively. Although the Draft EIR does not specifically address impacts from demonstrations, security issues are addressed in Section IV.I.2, Police.

Comment 65.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 65.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 65.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 65.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 66

[No Date]

Ricardo Rivera
916 South Georgia Street, #103
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 66.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 66.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 66.2

[English Translation] What worries us is when they use these sites for political events, such as the Democratic Convention, or when there are important athletic events. We don't want bars or other things. We want peace and relaxation around the neighborhood we live in.

Response 66.2

Security issues are addressed in Section IV.I.2, Police.

Comment 66.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 66.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 66.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 66.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 67

[No Date]

Alejandro Rodriguez
916 James Wood Boulevard, #210
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 67.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 67.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 67.2

[English Translation] First, the parking. My children and nieces can't visit me because there are tickets of \$60. Many panhandlers, too much traffic or noise, too much trash and broken bottles by the parking places.

Response 67.2

Noise, traffic, parking, and security issues are addressed in Sections IV.H, Noise, IV.F.1, Traffic, IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 67.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 67.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 67.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 67.4

Please refer to ResponSe No. 29.1.

LETTER NO. 68

[*No Date*]

Gabriel Rubio
845 West Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 68.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 68.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 68.2

[English Translation] I'm affected by the parking and I've received a lot of tickets. Little safety, too much traffic.

Response 68.2

Traffic, parking, and security issues are addressed in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 68.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 68.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 68.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 68.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 69

[No Date]

Antonia Ruiz
916 South Georgia Street, #205
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 69.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 69.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 69.2

[English Translation] Too much noise and too little parking. Too much traffic. I have 7 children who go to school, and when there are events, there is so much noise that they can't sleep. There is also too much helicopter noise and noise from people leaving the events. There is also discrimination.

Response 69.2

Noise, traffic, and parking issues are addressed in the Draft EIR in Sections IV.H, Noise, IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.F.2, Parking, respectively. Please refer to Response No. 32.2 for a discussion of helicopter noise. The comment concerning discrimination is noted for the administrative record.

Comment 69.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 69.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 69.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 69.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 70

[*No Date*]

Teresa Salado
916 Georgia Street, #108
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 70.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 70.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 70.2

[*English Translation*] *I have a daughter, and she can't sleep with the helicopter noise and when events end.*

Response 70.2

Please refer to Response No. 32.2 for a response to the helicopter noise issue. Other noise issues are addressed in Section IV.H, Noise, of the Draft EIR.

Comment 70.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 70.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 70.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 70.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 71

[*No Date*]

Isabel Salvador
916 Georgia Street
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 71.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 71.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 71.2

[English Translation] Lack of parking. Much disruption during events. Many disruptive people on our streets, and even our apartments.

Response 71.2

Parking and security issues are addressed in Sections IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 71.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 71.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 71.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 71.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 72

[*No Date*]

Margarita Sánchez
845 West Olympic Boulevard, #306
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 72.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 72.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 72.2

[English Translation] Too much traffic gives us little peace and the buses can't pass to take my incapacitated son. Too much noise from cars and people.

Response 72.2

Traffic and transit are addressed in Section IV.F.1, Traffic. Noise is addressed in Section IV.H, Noise.

Comment 72.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 72.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 72.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 72.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 73

October 19, 2000

Agapito Torres
916 South Georgia Street, #307
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 73.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 73.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 73.2

[English Translation] I have lived here for many years, but now, there is too much traffic and vandalism when there are events and too much crime.

Response 73.2

Traffic and security are discussed in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.I.2, Police.

Comment 73.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 73.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 73.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 73.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 74

[*No Date*]
Teresa M. Torres and [*illegible*]
916 South Georgia Street, #310
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 74.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 74.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 74.2

[English Translation] My family and I have been living in this building for 20 years and in this area for 30 years. If they send us to live in another area, that will be alright. There is too much noise, traffic, and our market has left. We suffered a lot during the construction. We can't live or sleep like before.

Response 74.2

Please refer to Response No. 61.2 for a discussion of relocation issues. Traffic and noise are addressed in the Draft EIR, in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.H, Noise, respectively. Please refer to Response No. 24.1 for a discussion of the market issue. This comment is noted for the administrative record.

Comment 74.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 74.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 74.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 74.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 75

[*No Date*]

Vicente Vasquez
916 James Wood Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 75.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 75.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 75.2

[English Translation] Lack of parking. Too much traffic. During sport events, many loved fans don't let us sleep. People drinking beer and making too much noise don't let us rest. We would like to be able to rest peacefully...

Response 75.2

Noise, traffic, parking, and security issues are addressed in Sections IV.H, Noise, IV.F.1, Traffic, IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 75.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 75.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 75.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 75.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 76

[No Date]

Villado Vasquez
680 South Witmer #303
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 76.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 76.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 76.2

[English Translation] It's a big problem because it affects our community that is very poor – in every sense – too much noise. Why don't they put this site in Beverly Hills? –because they want the rich people to have tranquility.

Response 76.2

Social and economic effects are not considered as significant environmental impacts under CEQA. Noise issues are addressed in Section IV.H, Noise. No alternative sites are available for this Project in the City of Beverly Hills. Furthermore, an alternative site would not achieve the Project objectives to create a major regional retail/entertainment center and mixed-use district that will complement STAPLES Center and serve as a catalyst for downtown and the Los Angeles Convention Center.

Comment 76.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 76.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 76.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 76.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 77

[No Date]

Maria Velasquez
916 James Wood, #105
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 77.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 77.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 77.2

[English Translation] We also have many parking problems.

Response 77.2

Parking is addressed in Section IV.F.2, Parking, of the Draft EIR.

Comment 77.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 77.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 77.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 77.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 78

[*No Date*]

Jesus Zavala
916 James Wood Boulevard, H-310
Los Angeles, California 90015
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 78.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 78.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 78.2

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 78.2

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 78.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 78.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 79

[No Date]

Alicia [*Surname illegible*]
916 South Georgia Street, #321
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 79.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 79.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 79.2

[English Translation] Breaking of bottles around our buildings when events end, too much noise, traffic, drugs in cars, shouting, and we can't park our cars, especially during rain.

Response 79.2

Parking, noise, and security issues are addressed in the Draft EIR, in Sections IV.F.2, Parking, IV.H, Noise, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 79.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 79.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 79.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 79.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 80

[*No Date*]

Celerino [*No Surname*]
Corner of Olympic and Figueroa
Los Angeles, California
[*Form Letter*]

Comment 80.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 80.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 80.2

[English Translation] I do not approve with the expansion project for STAPLES Center. The project has affected us with increasing traffic and noise at night. My family and I can't sleep. Also, the parties bring much crime and scandal.

Response 80.2

Noise, traffic, and security issues are addressed in Sections IV.H, Noise, IV.F.1, Traffic, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 80.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 80.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 80.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 80.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 81

[No Date]

Dolores [*Surname illegible*]
916 James Wood Boulevard, #206
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 81.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 81.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 81.2

[English Translation] Parking. Traffic. Vandalism.

Response 81.2

Traffic, parking, and security issues are addressed in Sections IV.F.1, Traffic, IV.F.2, Parking, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 81.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 81.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 81.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 81.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 82

[No Date]

Jose *[Surname illegible]*
916 South Georgia Street, *[Number illegible]*
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 82.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 82.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 82.2

[English Translation] [Illegible] -- Noise...crime...worry...

Response 82.2

Please note that this comment is largely illegible. However, noise and security issues are addressed in Sections IV.H, Noise, and IV.I.2, Police, respectively.

Comment 82.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 82.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 82.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 82.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.

LETTER NO. 83

[No Date]

Patty [*Surname illegible*]
845 West Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90015
[Form Letter]

Comment 83.1

Please refer to Comment No. 29.1.

Response 83.1

Please refer to Response No. 29.1.

Comment 83.2

[English Translation] There are no markets close by, the rent is too high and they don't repair our apartments. One day on my street, there was an accident and the police did nothing. There is a lack of safety.

Response 83.2

Please refer to Response No. 42.2.

Comment 83.3

Please refer to Comment No. 29.3.

Response 83.3

Please refer to Response No. 29.3.

Comment 83.4

Please refer to Comment No. 29.4.

Response 83.4

Please refer to Response No. 29.4.