

LETTER NO. 103

Dated: 2/23/01

Marcos Oceguera
[No Address]

COMMENT 103.1

Many of my neighbors and I have been meeting with Staples Center executives in search of solution to the problems created since the construction of the current area.

The way things look like at the present time in our neighborhoods, this new project will complicate existing problems, such as:

Move us away from our neighborhood and Just for a parking lot. I think that they shouldn't extend the STAPLES Center. There will be noise [sic] and more traffic on the street.

We base these arguments in that so far, the problems created with the construction of the Staples Center have not been solved for the benefit of our community. Without proper Planning for the new project, these problems will worsen.

Some of us requested an extension for the Environmental Impact Report comment period, so we could understand, analyze it, and make better and more assertive comments to this report, which is very technical, hard to understand, and difficult to respond.

RESPONSE 103.1

Existing conditions, such as those associated with operation of STAPLES Center, were carefully considered in the planning of the Los Angeles Sports and Entertainment District Project and also contributed to the assessment of identifying the potential impacts of the Project and mitigation measures. It is important to note that although the STAPLES Center is owned by the Project Applicant, it is separate and distinct from the proposed Project, consistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15378(c) which states, "[t]he term project refers to the activity which is being approved and which may be subject to several discretionary approvals by governmental agencies." STAPLES Center underwent its own environmental review process and the Final EIR for that project was certified by the City in 1997.

As was stated in the Draft EIR in Section IV.A. Land Use, there are no residences located on the project site. Refer to Response to Comment 15.5 for discussions regarding land use. The Project would not result in the removal or relocation of any residences. The potential impacts of the

proposed project on traffic congestion were analyzed in the Draft EIR in Section IV.F.1. Traffic. Refer to Responses to Comments 15.19 and 15.20 for discussions regarding neighborhood traffic issues. The Project includes provisions for the funding of a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan. Regarding affordable housing, refer to Responses to Comments 15.7 through 15.16 and 15.36. The Draft EIR was circulated for a 45 day public review period in compliance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15105 and standard City practices. Regarding requests for extension of the Draft EIR public review period, refer to Response to Comment 15.2.