## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	on		Page	
Volu	me I of	· III		
I.		MMARY	I-1	
	٨	Introduction	Т 1	
	A. B.	Brief Summary of the Proposed Action		
	Б. С.	Location and Boundaries		
	D.	Areas of Controversy and Issues to be Resolved		
	E.	Summary of Environmental Impacts		
	L.	1. Earth		
		2. Air		
		3. Water		
		4. Plant Life		
		5. Animal Life		
		6. Jurisdictional Resources		
		7. Noise		
		8. Transportation and Circulation		
		9. Public Services		
		10. Utilities	I-47	
		11. Safety	I-51	
		12. Aesthetic Resources/View	I-52	
		13. Cultural Resources	I-54	
	F. Description of Alternatives to the Proposed Project			
		1. Alternative 1 - No Project/No Build Alternative		
		2. Alternative 2 – Alternative Site Discussion		
		3. Alternative 3 – Stoney Hill Ridge Development Only Alternative		
		4. Environmentally Superior Alternative	I-58	
II.	PRO	OJECT DESCRIPTION	II-1	
	A.	Statement of Objectives		
	В.	Location and Boundaries		
	C.	Project History and Background		
	D.	Project Characteristics		
III.	GEI	NERAL DESCRIPTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	III-1	
	A.	Overview of Environmental Setting		
	4 1.	1. Project Site and Surrounding Areas	III-1	
		2. Plans and Policies		
	В.	Related Projects		

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

Sectio	<u>n</u>		Page
IV.	EN	VIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	IV-1
	Α.	Earth	IV.A-1
	В.	Air Quality	
	C.	Water	
	D.	Plant Life	
	E.	Animal Life	
	F.	Noise	
	G.	Light*	
	H.	Land Use	
	I.	Natural Resources*	
	J.	Risk of Upset*	
	K.	Population*	
	L.	Housing*	
	M.	Right-of-Way and Access*	IV.M-1
	N.	Transportation and Circulation	IV.N-1
	Ο.	Public Services	
		1. Fire	
		2. Police	IV.O-21
		3. Schools	
		4. Park and Recreation	
		5. Libraries	
	P.	Energy Conservation	
	Q.	Utilities	
	٠.	1. Power	•
		2. Natural Gas	
		3. Water Distribution	•
		4. Sanitary Sewers	•
		5. Storm Water Drainage	
		6. Solid Waste*	
	R.	Safety	•
	S.	Aesthetic Resources/View	
	T.	Cultural Resources	
V.	GR	OWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS	V-1
VI.	AL	ΓERNATIVES	VI-1
VII.	IMI	PACTS DETERMINED TO BE INSIGNIFICANT	VII-1
VIII.	OR	GANIZATIONS AND PERSONS CONTACTED, REFERENCES	VIII-1
IX.	ESA	AC ACTION, NOTICE OF PREPARATION AND RESPONSES	IX-1

\*Impacts determined not to be significant are addressed in this EIR under Section VII, Impacts Determined to be Insignificant, and have been omitted from the Impact Section of this report.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

### Section

### X. APPENDICES

### Volume II of III

A. Geotechnical Assessment (through Appendix E)

### Volume III of III

- A. Geotechnical Assessment (from Appendix F)
- B. Air Quality Assessment Data
- C. Psomas Report
  - 1. Sewer Study
  - 2. Water Study
  - 3. Hydrology Study
- D. Biota
- E. Noise Data
- F. Traffic Analysis Report
- G. Phase I Archaeological Survey/Paleontological Records Search Results
- H. Initial Study and NOP Comment Letters

## LIST OF FIGURES

I-1       Project Location and Boundaries       I         II-1       Regional Location       II         II-2       Site Vicinity       II         II-3       Originally Approved Mountaingate Master Plan       II         II-4       Currently Developed Areas of the Mountaingate Community       II	I-3 I-4 I-7 I-8 I-9 -10
II-1 Regional Location	I-3 I-4 I-7 I-8 I-9 -10
II-2 Site VicinityII II-3 Originally Approved Mountaingate Master PlanII	I-4 I-7 I-8 I-9 -10
II-3 Originally Approved Mountaingate Master PlanII	I-7 I-8 I-9 -10
	I-8 I-9 -10 -15
11-4 Currently Developed Areas of the Wountaingale Community	I-9 -10 -15
II-5 Currently Developed Areas of the Mountaingate Community	-10 -15
	-10 -15
(with the 1990 Development Proposal)	-15
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1-3
III-1 Location of Related ProjectsIII	
IV.A-1 On-Site Geotechnical and Soil Information	
IV.A-2 Soil Placement LocationsIV-A	
IV.A-3 Regional Fault LocationsIV.A-	
IV.C-1 Existing Bundy Canyon HydrologyIV.C	
IV.C-2 Proposed Hydrology and Storm Drain SystemIV.C-	-10
IV.D-1 Locations of Plant Communities, Coast Live Oaks	
and Western SycamoresIV.D	
IV.F-1 Noise Attenuation by BarriersIV.F	₹-5
IV.F-2 Staging Areas for Construction EquipmentIV.F-	-12
IV.F-3 Noise Levels of Typical Construction EquipmentIV.F-	-13
IV.H-1 Plan Amendment and Zone Change MapIV.H-	
IV.N-1 Location of Study IntersectionsIV.N	
IV.O.1-1 Location of Fire and Secondary Access Road on Landfill	
IV.O.4-1 Park and Recreation Facilities	
IV.O.4-2 Proposed Open SpaceIV.O-	
IV.Q.3-1 Proposed Water Line SystemIV.Q-	
IV.Q.4-1 Proposed Sanitary Sewer SystemIV.Q-:	
IV.S-1 Existing View 1: Sepulveda Pass Area	
IV.S-2 Existing View 2: Mandeville Canyon Area	

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
II-1	Land Use Characteristics	II 11
IV.A-1	Local Fault Distance and Maximum Earthquake Magnitude	
IV.A-1 IV.B-1	Ambient Pollutant Concentrations Registered	V.A-10
1 V . D-1	in the Northwest Coast of LA County Area	IV R-0
IV.B-2	Existing Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	
IV.B-2	Estimated Construction Emissions	
IV.B-4	Estimated Day to Day Project Emissions	
IV.B-5	Predicted Future Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	
IV.C-1	Existing Site Development Area Hydrology	
IV.C-2	Comparison of Pre- and Post-Development Site Hydrology	IV.C-12
IV.D-1	Plant Communities and Acreage Within the Project Site	
IV.D-2	Oak Trees on the Project Site	
IV.D-3	Direct Impacts to Vegetation on the Project Site	
IV.F-1	Outside to Inside Noise Attenuation	
IV.F-2	Los Angeles Land Use Compatibility Guidelines	
	for Exterior Noise Levels	IV.F-6
IV.F-3	Existing Off-Site Roadway Noise Levels	
IV.F-4	With Project Off-Site Roadway Noise Levels	
IV.N-1	Level of Service as a Function of CMA Values	
IV.N-2	Critical Movement Analysis (2000) Summary	IV.N-6
IV.N-3	Daily Trip Generation Adjustment Factors - Residential Developments	IV.N-9
IV.N-4	Directional Trip Distribution	IV.N-10
IV.N-5	Related Projects Trip Generation	IV.N-12
IV.N-6	Summary of Critical Movement Analysis - Future (2005) Traffic	
	Conditions Without and With Project	
IV.N-7	Project Freeway Volumes on San Diego Freeway	IV.N-15
IV.N-8	Summary of Critical Movement Analysis - Future (2001) Traffic	
	Conditions With Project Plus Mitigation	
IV.O.3-1	Schools Serving the Proposed Project Area	
IV.O.3-2	Increase in Student Enrollment Due to Additional Residential Units	IV.O-32
IV.O.3-3	Cumulative Increase in Student Enrollment Due to Additional Residential Units	
		IV.O-33
IV.O.4-1	Parks and Recreational Facilities Located Within a Two-Mile	
	Radius of the Proposed Project Site	
IV.O.4-2	Parkland Standards	
IV.Q.1-1	Projected Electricity Consumption for the Proposed Project	
IV.Q.1-2	Projected Electricity Consumption for Cumulative Projects	
IV.Q.2-1	Projected Natural Gas Consumption for the Proposed Project	
IV.Q.2-2	Projected Natural Gas Consumption for Cumulative Projects	
IV.Q.3-1	Project-Related Water Demand	
IV.Q.3-2	Cumulative Water Demand	
IV.Q.4-1	Project-Related Wastewater Generation	
IV.Q.4-2	Cumulative Wastewater Generation	1V.Q-25

#### INTRODUCTION

This section of the Draft EIR discusses power resources within the project area. This section also analyzes the ability of the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (DWP) to meet project demands.

#### **POWER**

## **Environmental Setting**

Electrical service to the proposed project site would be provided by the DWP. Currently, electrical service to the project area is provided by a 34.5-kilovolt (kV) distribution system. Electrical power for this system originates from the DWP's Station K (RS-K), located at 1840 Centinela Avenue. This receiving station has a capacity of 375 Mega Volt Amperes (MVA). Currently, there are no known system deficiencies within the station's service area. Electrical service to the project area is provided in accordance with DWP rules and regulations.

## **Energy Conservation**

Energy consumption of new buildings in California is regulated by the State Building Energy Efficiency Standards, contained in Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations. The efficiency standards apply to new construction of both residential and non-residential buildings and regulate energy consumed for heating, cooling ventilation, water heating, and lighting. The building efficiency standards are enforced through the local building permit process. Local government agencies may adopt and enforce energy standards for new buildings, provided that these standards meet or exceed those provided in Title 24 of the State's Code of Regulations.

Charles C. Holloway, Supervisor of Environmental Assessment and EMF, City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Correspondence with Impact Sciences Inc., January 8, 1998 and update May 29, 2002.

## **Environmental Impact Analysis**

## **Threshold of Significance**

According to the L.A. CEQA *Thresholds Guide*, the determination of significance for energy use shall be made on a case-by-case basis, considering the following factors:<sup>2</sup>

- The extent to which the project would require new (off-site) energy supply facilities and distribution infrastructure, or capacity enhancing alterations to existing facilities.
- Whether and when the needed infrastructure was anticipated by adopted plans.
- The degree to which the project design and/or operations incorporate energy conservation measures, particularly, those that go beyond City requirements.

For purposes of this EIR, the proposed project would result in a significant impact to the environment if any of the following situations occurred:

- The need for new power system; and/or
- Significant alterations to an existing system.

#### **Project Impacts**

**Table IV.Q.1-1** indicates the projected annual electricity consumption from the proposed project. The calculations shown in the table are based on the assumption that all 29 homes are occupied and in operation. The estimated total electricity consumption from the proposed project is approximately 163,169 kwh per year.

Table IV.Q.1-1
Projected Electricity Consumption for the Proposed Project

Proposed Use	Size	Consumption Factor	<b>Total Consumption</b>
Residences	29	5,626.5 kwh/unit/year	163,169 kwh

Source: Los Angeles Department of Water and Power Website, www.ladwp.com

Generally, DWP power service systems are flexible, and can be readily altered to meet demand requirements. Electrical service to the project site would be provided in accordance with DWP rules and

.

L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide, City of Los Angeles, Environmental Affairs Department, May 14, 1998, p. K.4-3.

regulations, and initial installation is not anticipated to disrupt supply to existing uses in the project area. However, new customer transformer facilities on the project site would be required by the DWP, the cost of which would be borne by the project applicant. Project design would be required to comply with sections of the State Building Energy Efficiency Standards, contained in Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations. Therefore, the proposed project would result in less than significant impacts on power consumption.

## **Cumulative Impacts**

Construction of the proposed project along with other projects located in the general vicinity would increase the demand for electrical power. **Table IV.Q.1-2** provides an estimate of the increased demand.

Table IV.Q.1-2
Projected Electricity Consumption for Cumulative Projects

Proposed Use	Size	Consumption Factor	<b>Total Consumption</b>
Residences	476 du	5,626.5 kwh/unit/year	2,678,214 kwh/year
Office	48,000 sq.ft.	12.95 kwh/sq.ft./year	621,600 kwh/year
Retail	47,499 sq.ft.	13.55 kwh/sq.ft./year	643,611 kwh/year
Restaurant	26,544 sq.ft.	47.45 kwh/sq.ft./year	1,259,512 kwh/year
TOTAL	NA	NA	5,202,938 kwh/year

Source: South Coast Air Quality Management District, CEQA Air Quality Handbook, April 1993, Table A9-11-A, Electricity Usage Rates as well as the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power Website, www.ladwp.com

As shown, related projects will cause an additional demand estimated at 5,202,938 kwh/year, which may create the need for additional improvements. According to DWP, the extent and cost of distribution improvements cannot be determined at this time.<sup>3</sup> However, the DWP is capable of providing the needed services from cumulative projects, and each project would be required to incorporate energy conservation features into its design. As such, impacts to the DWP for power services by the proposed project and the related project would not be cumulatively considerable and so are not considered by this EIR to be significant.

-

<sup>3</sup> DWP letter to Los Angeles Department of City Planning, in response to NOP, dated April 25, 2000.

## **Mitigation Measures**

Although impacts to electrical service are not considered significant, the following mitigation measure is recommended by this EIR to ensure that the project minimizes power resource impacts to the extent feasible.

1. Prior to issuance of each building permit, the project applicant shall submit plans to the City 's Building and Safety Department demonstrating that each of the project's buildings will comply with the State Energy Conservation Standards for New Residential Buildings (Title 24, part 6, Article 2, California Administrative Code).

## **Adverse Effects**

No adverse impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed project.