TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Section			
Volui	me I of	· III		
I.		MMARY	I-1	
	٨	Introduction	Т 1	
	A. B.	Brief Summary of the Proposed Action		
	Б. С.	Location and Boundaries		
	D.	Areas of Controversy and Issues to be Resolved		
	E.	Summary of Environmental Impacts		
	L.	1. Earth		
		2. Air		
		3. Water		
		4. Plant Life		
		5. Animal Life		
		6. Jurisdictional Resources		
		7. Noise		
		8. Transportation and Circulation		
		9. Public Services		
		10. Utilities	I-47	
		11. Safety	I-51	
		12. Aesthetic Resources/View	I-52	
		13. Cultural Resources	I-54	
	F.	Description of Alternatives to the Proposed Project		
		1. Alternative 1 - No Project/No Build Alternative		
		2. Alternative 2 – Alternative Site Discussion		
		3. Alternative 3 – Stoney Hill Ridge Development Only Alternative		
		4. Environmentally Superior Alternative	I-58	
II.	PRO	OJECT DESCRIPTION	II-1	
	A.	Statement of Objectives	II-1	
	В.	Location and Boundaries		
	C.	Project History and Background		
	D.	Project CharacteristicsII		
III.	GEI	NERAL DESCRIPTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	III-1	
	A. Overview of Environmental Setting			
	4 1.	1. Project Site and Surrounding Areas	III-1	
		2. Plans and Policies		
	В.	Related Projects		

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section	<u>n</u>		<u> Page</u>	
IV.	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSISIV-1			
	A.	Earth	IV.A-1	
	В.	Air Quality		
	C.	Water		
	D.	Plant Life		
	E.	Animal Life	IV.E-1	
	F.	Noise	IV.F-1	
	G.	Light*	IV.G-1	
	H.	Land Use	IV.H-1	
	I.	Natural Resources*	IV.I-1	
	J.	Risk of Upset*	IV.J-1	
	K.	Population*	IV.K-1	
	L.	Housing*		
	Μ.	Right-of-Way and Access*		
	N.	Transportation and Circulation		
	O.	Public Services		
		1. Fire		
		2. Police		
		3. Schools		
		4. Park and Recreation		
	ъ	5. Libraries		
	P.	Energy Conservation		
	Q.	Utilities		
		1. Power		
		2. Natural Gas.	•	
		3. Water Distribution	•	
		4. Sanitary Sewers		
		6. Solid Waste*		
	R.	Safety	•	
	S.	Aesthetic Resources/View		
	т.	Cultural Resources.		
	1.	Cultural Resources	V . 1 - 1	
V.	GR	OWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS	V-1	
VI.	AL	ALTERNATIVESVI-1		
VII.	IMF	PACTS DETERMINED TO BE INSIGNIFICANT	VII-1	
VIII.	OR	GANIZATIONS AND PERSONS CONTACTED, REFERENCES	VIII-1	
IX.	ESA	AC ACTION, NOTICE OF PREPARATION AND RESPONSES	IX-1	
		,		

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section

X. APPENDICES

Volume II of III

A. Geotechnical Assessment (through Appendix E)

Volume III of III

- A. Geotechnical Assessment (from Appendix F)
- B. Air Quality Assessment Data
- C. Psomas Report
 - 1. Sewer Study
 - 2. Water Study
 - 3. Hydrology Study
- D. Biota
- E. Noise Data
- F. Traffic Analysis Report
- G. Phase I Archaeological Survey/Paleontological Records Search Results
- H. Initial Study and NOP Comment Letters

LIST OF FIGURES

I-1 Project Location and Boundaries I II-1 Regional Location II II-2 Site Vicinity II II-3 Originally Approved Mountaingate Master Plan II II-4 Currently Developed Areas of the Mountaingate Community II	I-3 I-4 I-7 I-8 I-9 -10
II-1 Regional Location	I-3 I-4 I-7 I-8 I-9 -10
II-2 Site VicinityII II-3 Originally Approved Mountaingate Master PlanII	I-4 I-7 I-8 I-9 -10
II-3 Originally Approved Mountaingate Master PlanII	I-7 I-8 I-9 -10
	I-8 I-9 -10 -15
11-4 Currently Developed Areas of the Wountaingale Community	I-9 -10 -15
II-5 Currently Developed Areas of the Mountaingate Community	-10 -15
	-10 -15
(with the 1990 Development Proposal)	-15
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1-3
III-1 Location of Related ProjectsIII	
IV.A-1 On-Site Geotechnical and Soil Information	
IV.A-2 Soil Placement LocationsIV-A	
IV.A-3 Regional Fault LocationsIV.A-	
IV.C-1 Existing Bundy Canyon HydrologyIV.C	
IV.C-2 Proposed Hydrology and Storm Drain SystemIV.C-	-10
IV.D-1 Locations of Plant Communities, Coast Live Oaks	
and Western SycamoresIV.D	
IV.F-1 Noise Attenuation by BarriersIV.F	₹-5
IV.F-2 Staging Areas for Construction EquipmentIV.F-	-12
IV.F-3 Noise Levels of Typical Construction EquipmentIV.F-	-13
IV.H-1 Plan Amendment and Zone Change MapIV.H-	
IV.N-1 Location of Study IntersectionsIV.N	
IV.O.1-1 Location of Fire and Secondary Access Road on Landfill	
IV.O.4-1 Park and Recreation Facilities	
IV.O.4-2 Proposed Open SpaceIV.O-	
IV.Q.3-1 Proposed Water Line SystemIV.Q-	
IV.Q.4-1 Proposed Sanitary Sewer SystemIV.Q-:	
IV.S-1 Existing View 1: Sepulveda Pass Area	
IV.S-2 Existing View 2: Mandeville Canyon Area	

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
II-1	Land Use Characteristics	II-11
IV.A-1	Local Fault Distance and Maximum Earthquake Magnitude	
IV.B-1	Ambient Pollutant Concentrations Registered	
14.5	in the Northwest Coast of LA County Area	IV R-9
IV.B-2	Existing Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	IV B-10
IV.B-3	Estimated Construction Emissions	
IV.B-4	Estimated Day to Day Project Emissions	
IV.B-5	Predicted Future Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	
IV.C-1	Existing Site Development Area Hydrology	
IV.C-2	Comparison of Pre- and Post-Development Site Hydrology	
IV.D-1	Plant Communities and Acreage Within the Project Site	
IV.D-2	Oak Trees on the Project Site	
IV.D-3	Direct Impacts to Vegetation on the Project Site	IV.D-15
IV.F-1	Outside to Inside Noise Attenuation	
IV.F-2	Los Angeles Land Use Compatibility Guidelines	
	for Exterior Noise Levels	IV.F-6
IV.F-3	Existing Off-Site Roadway Noise Levels	
IV.F-4	With Project Off-Site Roadway Noise Levels	
IV.N-1	Level of Service as a Function of CMA Values	IV.N-6
IV.N-2	Critical Movement Analysis (2000) Summary	IV.N-6
IV.N-3	Daily Trip Generation Adjustment Factors - Residential Developments	IV.N-9
IV.N-4	Directional Trip Distribution	IV.N-10
IV.N-5	Related Projects Trip Generation	IV.N-12
IV.N-6	Summary of Critical Movement Analysis - Future (2005) Traffic	
	Conditions Without and With Project	
IV.N-7	Project Freeway Volumes on San Diego Freeway	IV.N-15
IV.N-8	Summary of Critical Movement Analysis - Future (2001) Traffic	
	Conditions With Project Plus Mitigation	
IV.O.3-1	Schools Serving the Proposed Project Area	
IV.O.3-2	Increase in Student Enrollment Due to Additional Residential Units	IV.O-32
IV.O.3-3	Cumulative Increase in Student Enrollment Due to	
	Additional Residential Units	IV.O-33
IV.O.4-1	Parks and Recreational Facilities Located Within a Two-Mile	
	Radius of the Proposed Project Site	
IV.O.4-2	Parkland Standards	IV.O-40
IV.Q.1-1	Projected Electricity Consumption for the Proposed Project	IV.Q-3
IV.Q.1-2	Projected Electricity Consumption for Cumulative Projects	
IV.Q.2-1	Projected Natural Gas Consumption for the Proposed Project	
IV.Q.2-2	Projected Natural Gas Consumption for Cumulative Projects	
IV.Q.3-1	Project-Related Water Demand	
IV.Q.3-2	Cumulative Water Demand	
IV.Q.4-1	Project-Related Wastewater Generation	
IV.Q.4-2	Cumulative Wastewater Generation	IV.Q-25

INTRODUCTION

This section of the Draft EIR discusses natural gas service within the project area. This section analyzes the proposed project's impact on the Southern California Gas Company's ability to meet project demands.

NATURAL GAS

Environmental Setting

Natural gas in the project area is provided by the Southern California Gas Company (The Gas Company or TGC). The project site is located in TGC's Pacific Region, which comprises all coastal areas between Long Beach and Ventura. The natural gas supply in the project area originates from an underground storage field located in Playa del Rey, within the City of Los Angeles. TGC has indicated that there are no known system deficiencies or problems with gas supply in the project area and that currently there are no plans for system expansion. ¹

The demand for natural gas is dependent upon the physical growth rate, and temperature changes within a geographic area. According to TGC, the system is flexible and can be modified to meet future growth and demand in the project area. The availability of natural gas is based upon present conditions of gas supply and regulatory policies. As a public utility company, TGC is under the jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission, but can also be affected by actions of federal regulatory agencies. The conditions and availability of gas supply and services are, therefore, dependent on the regulatory actions of these agencies.

Energy Conservation

Natural gas conservation in new buildings is regulated by the State Building Energy Efficiency Standards (Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations). The efficiency standards apply to new construction of both residential and non-residential buildings and regulate energy consumed for heating, cooling ventilation, water heating, and lighting. The building efficiency standards are enforced through the local building permit process. Local government agencies may adopt and enforce energy

¹ Robert Olivas, Pacific Region Engineer, Southern California Gas Company, Correspondence with Impact Sciences, January 15, 1998.

standards for new buildings, provided that these standards meet or exceed those provided in Title 24 of the State's Code of Regulations.

Environmental Impact Analysis

Threshold of Significance

According to the L.A. CEQA *Thresholds Guide*, the determination of significance for energy use shall be made on a case by case basis, considering the following factors:²

- The extent to which the project would require new (off-site) energy supply facilities and distribution infrastructure, or capacity enhancing alterations to existing facilities.
- Whether and when the needed infrastructure was anticipated by adopted plans.
- The degree to which the project design and/or operations incorporate energy conservation measures, particularly, those that go beyond City requirements.

For purposes of this EIR, the proposed project would have a significant impact on the environment if it results in any of the following situations:

- Need for new natural gas system; and/or
- Significant alterations to an existing system.

Project Impacts

Table IV.Q.2-1 indicates the projected monthly natural gas consumption from the proposed uses on the project site. The calculations shown in the table are based on the assumption that all 29 homes are occupied and in operation, under the following conditions, and that the primary uses of gas will be for indoor space heating, food preparation and water heaters.

Table IV.Q.2-1 Projected Natural Gas Consumption for the Proposed Project

Proposed Use	Size	Consumption Factor	Total Consumption
Residences	29	6,665 cubic feet/unit/month	193,285 cubic feet
Total	Per year	12 x Monthly total	2,319,420 cubic feet/year

Source: South Coast Air Quality Management District, CEQA Air Quality Handbook, April 1993, Table A9 12-A, Natural Gas Usage Rates

L.A

² L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide, City of Los Angeles, Environmental Affairs Department, May 14, 1998, p. K4-3.

The estimated total natural gas consumption from the proposed project is approximately 193,285 cubic feet per month (2,319,420 cubic feet per year). According to TGC, the existing system is more than adequate to meet increased load based on the above assumptions.³ The system can also be modified to meet loads that are much larger than the projected gas consumption by the proposed project. Natural gas service to the project site would be in accordance with TGC's policies and extension rules on file with the California Public Utilities Commission. Based on the above, project impacts relating to natural gas consumption are considered to be less than significant.

Cumulative Impacts

The proposed project, along with other related and approved projects in the project's vicinity, would generate an increased monthly demand for gas consumption. **Table IV.Q.2-2** estimates natural gas consumption with buildout of related projects.

Table IV.Q.2-2
Projected Natural Gas Consumption for Cumulative Projects

Proposed Use	Size	Consumption Factor	Total Consumption per Year
Residences	476 du	79,980 cubic feet/unit/year	38,070,480 cubic feet
Office	48,000 sq.ft.	24 cubic feet/sq.ft./year	1,152,000 cubic feet
Restaurant	26,544 sq.ft.	34.8 cubic feet/sq.ft./year	923,731 cubic feet
Retail	47,499 sq.ft.	34.8 cubic feet/sq.ft./year	1,652,965 cubic feet
Total	Per year		41,799,176 cubic feet

Source: South Coast Air Quality Management District, CEQA Air Quality Handbook, April 1993, Table A9 12-A, Natural Gas Usage Rates.

As previously indicated the distribution system in the project area is flexible and can be modified to have adequate supply to meet increased demand as a result of cumulative projects. Each project would also be required to incorporate applicable energy conservation features into its design. As such, impacts to natural gas service by the proposed project and the related project would not be cumulatively considerable and so are not considered by this EIR to be significant.

-

Robert Olivas, Pacific Region Engineer, Southern California Gas Company, Correspondence with Impact Sciences, January 15, 1998 and updated on June 05, 2002.

Mitigation Measures

The proposed project would not result in significant impacts in relation to natural gas supply, as such; no mitigation measures are required. However, the following mitigation measures are recommended to further reduce demand on a natural resource:

- 1. The project applicant shall consult with The Gas Company regarding feasible energy conservation measures.
- 2. Prior to recordation of final maps, the applicant shall provide to the Los Angeles Planning Department, a letter from The Southern California Gas Company which states that natural gas will be provided for the proposed project, and that all applicable energy conservation features have been incorporated into the project design.

Adverse Effects

The proposed project will increase the demand for gas consumption in the project area, but would not have a significant impact, since the current system is adequate and capable of serving the proposed project. Implementation of the above listed mitigation measures would further reduce the less than significant impacts to levels of "no impact". No adverse effects as a result of the proposed project are, therefore, anticipated.