# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	ection			
Volu	me I of	ш		
I.		MMARY	I-1	
	A.	Introduction		
	В.	Brief Summary of the Proposed Action		
	C.	Location and Boundaries		
	D.	Areas of Controversy and Issues to be Resolved		
	E.	Summary of Environmental Impacts		
		1. Earth		
		2. Air		
		3. Water		
		4. Plant Life		
		5. Animal Life		
		6. Jurisdictional Resources		
		7. Noise		
		8. Transportation and Circulation		
		10. Utilities		
		11. Safety		
		12. Aesthetic Resources/View		
		13. Cultural Resources		
	F.	Description of Alternatives to the Proposed Project		
	Γ.	1. Alternative 1 – No Project/No Build Alternative		
		2. Alternative 2 – Alternative Site Discussion		
		3. Alternative 3 – Stoney Hill Ridge Development Only Alternative		
		4. Environmentally Superior Alternative		
II.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION			
	۸	Statement of Objectives	II 1	
	A. B.	Location and Boundaries		
	Б. С.	Project History and Background		
	D.	Project Characteristics		
	D.	Floject Characteristics	11-0	
III.	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING			
	A.	Overview of Environmental Setting	III-1	
		1. Project Site and Surrounding Areas		
		2. Plans and Policies		
	В.	Related Projects		

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

Section	<u>ection</u> <u>Page</u>				
IV.	EN	VIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	IV-1		
	A.	Earth			
	В.	Air Quality			
	C.	Water			
	D.	Plant Life			
	E.	Animal Life			
	F.	Noise			
	G.	Light*			
	Н.	Land Use			
	I.	Natural Resources*			
	J.	Risk of Upset*			
	у. К.	Population*			
	L.	Housing*			
	<u>г</u> . М.	Right-of-Way and Access*			
	N.	Transportation and Circulation			
	O.	Public Services			
	0.	1. Fire			
		2. Police			
		3. Schools			
		4. Park and Recreation			
		5. Libraries.			
	P.	Energy Conservation.			
	Q.	Utilities			
	∀.	1. Power	•		
		2. Natural Gas.	v		
		3. Water Distribution	•		
		4. Sanitary Sewers	•		
		5. Storm Water Drainage			
		6. Solid Waste*			
	R.	Safety	v		
	S.	Aesthetic Resources/View			
	з. Т.	Cultural Resources.			
	1.	Cultural Resources			
V.	GR	OWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS	V-1		
VI.	AL	FERNATIVES	VI-1		
VII.	IMF	PACTS DETERMINED TO BE INSIGNIFICANT	VII-1		
VIII.	OR	GANIZATIONS AND PERSONS CONTACTED, REFERENCES	VIII-1		
IX.		AC ACTION, NOTICE OF PREPARATION AND RESPONSES			

\*Impacts determined not to be significant are addressed in this EIR under Section VII, Impacts Determined to be Insignificant, and have been omitted from the Impact Section of this report.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

### Section

### X. APPENDICES

### Volume II of III

A. Geotechnical Assessment (through Appendix E)

### Volume III of III

- A. Geotechnical Assessment (from Appendix F)
- B. Air Quality Assessment Data
- C. Psomas Report
  - 1. Sewer Study
  - 2. Water Study
  - 3. Hydrology Study
- D. Biota
- E. Noise Data
- F. Traffic Analysis Report
- G. Phase I Archaeological Survey/Paleontological Records Search Results
- H. Initial Study and NOP Comment Letters

# LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
I-1	Project Location and Boundaries	I-3
II-1	Regional Location	
II-2	Site Vicinity	
II-3	Originally Approved Mountaingate Master Plan	
II-4	Currently Developed Areas of the Mountaingate Community	
II-5	Currently Developed Areas of the Mountaingate Community	
11 0	(with the 1990 Development Proposal)	II-9
II-6	Second Revised VTTM 53072	
II-7	Staging Areas for Construction Equipment	
III-1	Location of Related Projects	III-5
IV.A-1	On-Site Geotechnical and Soil Information	IV.A-3
IV.A-2	Soil Placement Locations.	
IV.A-3	Regional Fault Locations	
IV.C-1	Existing Bundy Canyon Hydrology	
IV.C-2	Proposed Hydrology and Storm Drain System	
IV.D-1	Locations of Plant Communities, Coast Live Oaks	
	and Western Sycamores	IV.D-5
IV.F-1	Noise Attenuation by Barriers	
IV.F-2	Staging Areas for Construction Equipment	
IV.F-3	Noise Levels of Typical Construction Equipment	
IV.H-1	Plan Amendment and Zone Change Map	
IV.N-1	Location of Study Intersections	
IV.O.1-1	Location of Fire and Secondary Access Road on Landfill	
IV.O.4-1	Park and Recreation Facilities	
IV.O.4-2	Proposed Open Space	
IV.Q.3-1	Proposed Water Line System	
IV.Q.4-1	Proposed Sanitary Sewer System	
IV.S-1	Existing View 1: Sepulveda Pass Area	
IV.S-2	Existing View 2: Mandeville Canyon Area	

# LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
II-1	Land Use Characteristics	II 11
IV.A-1	Local Fault Distance and Maximum Earthquake Magnitude	
IV.A-1 IV.B-1	Ambient Pollutant Concentrations Registered	V.A-10
1 V . D-1	in the Northwest Coast of LA County Area	IV R-0
IV.B-2	Existing Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	
IV.B-2	Estimated Construction Emissions	
IV.B-3	Estimated Day to Day Project Emissions	
IV.B-5	Predicted Future Carbon Monoxide Concentrations	
IV.C-1	Existing Site Development Area Hydrology	
IV.C-2	Comparison of Pre- and Post-Development Site Hydrology	IV.C-12
IV.D-1	Plant Communities and Acreage Within the Project Site	
IV.D-2	Oak Trees on the Project Site	
IV.D-3	Direct Impacts to Vegetation on the Project Site	
IV.F-1	Outside to Inside Noise Attenuation	
IV.F-2	Los Angeles Land Use Compatibility Guidelines	
	for Exterior Noise Levels	IV.F-6
IV.F-3	Existing Off-Site Roadway Noise Levels	
IV.F-4	With Project Off-Site Roadway Noise Levels	
IV.N-1	Level of Service as a Function of CMA Values	
IV.N-2	Critical Movement Analysis (2000) Summary	IV.N-6
IV.N-3	Daily Trip Generation Adjustment Factors - Residential Developments	IV.N-9
IV.N-4	Directional Trip Distribution	IV.N-10
IV.N-5	Related Projects Trip Generation	IV.N-12
IV.N-6	Summary of Critical Movement Analysis - Future (2005) Traffic	
	Conditions Without and With Project	
IV.N-7	Project Freeway Volumes on San Diego Freeway	IV.N-15
IV.N-8	Summary of Critical Movement Analysis - Future (2001) Traffic	
	Conditions With Project Plus Mitigation	
IV.O.3-1	Schools Serving the Proposed Project Area	
IV.O.3-2	Increase in Student Enrollment Due to Additional Residential Units	IV.O-32
IV.O.3-3	Cumulative Increase in Student Enrollment Due to Additional Residential Units	
		IV.O-33
IV.O.4-1	Parks and Recreational Facilities Located Within a Two-Mile	
	Radius of the Proposed Project Site	
IV.O.4-2	Parkland Standards	
IV.Q.1-1	Projected Electricity Consumption for the Proposed Project	
IV.Q.1-2	Projected Electricity Consumption for Cumulative Projects	
IV.Q.2-1	Projected Natural Gas Consumption for the Proposed Project	
IV.Q.2-2	Projected Natural Gas Consumption for Cumulative Projects	
IV.Q.3-1	Project-Related Water Demand	
IV.Q.3-2	Cumulative Water Demand	
IV.Q.4-1	Project-Related Wastewater Generation	
IV.Q.4-2	Cumulative Wastewater Generation	1V.Q-25

## IX. ESAC ACTION, NOTICE OF PREPARATION AND RESPONSES

In addition to being included in this report, the Environmental Assessment Form (EAF), Notice of Preparation (NOP) and Responses to the NOP are on file with the City of Los Angeles, Department of City Planning, Environmental Review Unit, 200 North Spring Street, Suite 763, Los Angeles, California, 90012. The EAF, the NOP and the Responses to the NOP are provided on the following pages and in **Appendix H**.

## CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

		ENVIRONMENTAL	ASSESSMEN	T FORM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Relate	ed Case:	ON:	<i>F</i>	atias Pg; Bk; I	Council District Ref. No
Land	Use indicated: RANCE REQUIR: Seismic Study A Archaeological /	ED: .rea / Hillside Grading Area / E Paleontological / Historical / 0 t / Housing / Fłood (Map # OFFICE USE ONLY	Ecologically Imp Coastal Zone / State (	ortant Area Sta. Monica N Clearing Hous	_ Vacancy Factor% Mtn. Zone
Betwee APPL Addre	ECT ADDRESS: een Sepulveda Bo ICANT'S NAME:	Sharon Kanlan	and _ Attn: liene Miles)	Commun Mandeville Can Pho City Los Ar	nity Brentwood-Pacific Palisades
Addre The for project	ollowing Exhibits	Nympic Boulevard, Suite 750  are required (3 copies of ea  M. Mtn. Zones): All Exhibits	ich exhibit and	3 Environm	
А. В. С.	physical features 2 Radius Maps use beyond the Coastal building 2 Plot Plans sho topographic line involved to satis	(8-1/2" x 11") showing neals (similar to road maps, Thoma (1" = 100' scale) showing land radius for alcoholic beverage permits; 300' for site plan reviously the location and layout s where grade is over 10%; fy this requirement, and the	as Brothers Ma d use and zoni ge cases); 100 ew applications of proposed d tentative tract	ps, etc.) with ng to 500 fee ' radius line s. evelopment i or parcel ma	project area circled. et (100 feet of additional land (excluding streets) okay for including dimensions; include aps where division of land is
D. E.	screening form, conditional use,	uplicate copy of application for periodic comprehensive go subdivider's statement, etc. more pictures of the project s	eneral plan re	view and zo	one change map, variance,
APPR	RONMENTAL ASS OVED BY: :	SESSMENT			
APPLI RECE	CATION ACCEPT	ED BY:			

	e applicant requests approval of Vesting Tentative Tract Map No. 53072, Haul Route, Lot Averaging, and Zone Cha	inge
Incid	dent to Subdivision as described in the attached Project Description.	
		•
	<del>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </del>	
Exist	sting Conditions:	
Α.	Project Site Area ±449.5 (area within tract) Net and ±451.7 (property to centerline of Gross A	Acr
	abutting streets)	
B.	Existing Zoning RE 40-1-H; [Q] A1-1; [T][Q] A1-1; PF-1-XL	
Ç.	Existing Use of Land _Vacant, undeveloped; Mission Canyon 8 Landfill	
D.	Existing General Plan Requested General Plan	
	Designation Minimum Residential, Open Space Designation No Change Requested	
E.	Number 0 type n/a and age <u>+</u> n/a	
	of structures to be removed as a result of project. If residential dwellings (apts., single-fa-	am
	condos) are being removed indicate the:	
	number of units: n/a and average rent n/a	
	Is there any similar housing at this price range available in the area? If yes, where:	
F.	Number 14 , Trunk Diameter ±8 to 15 inches	ar
	type Coast Live Oak (5); Western Sycamore (9) (see Tree Report Addendum) of existing tree	es.
G.	Number 3 , Trunk Diameter ± 8 to 15 inches	ar
G.	type Coast Live Oak(see Tree Report Addendum) of trees being removed (identify on plot p	-
	of trees being removed (identity on plot p	Jiui
Н.	Slope: State percent of property which is:	
Н.		
H.	1.5% Less than 10% slope If slopes over 10% exist,	
Н.	1.5% Less than 10% slope If slopes over 10% exist, a topographic map will be	
Н.	1.5%Less than 10% slopeIf slopes over 10% exist,0.5%10-15% slopea topographic map will be98%Over 15% sloperequired. Over 50 acres –	
Н.	1.5% Less than 10% slope If slopes over 10% exist, a topographic map will be	
H. I.	1.5%Less than 10% slopeIf slopes over 10% exist, a topographic map will be98%Over 15% sloperequired. Over 50 acres – 1" = 200' is okay.	
	1.5% Less than 10% slope If slopes over 10% exist, a topographic map will be required. Over 50 acres – 1" = 200' is okay.    1.5%   Less than 10% slope   If slopes over 10% exist, a topographic map will be required. Over 50 acres – 1" = 200' is okay.	rdo
H. I.	1.5%       Less than 10% slope       If slopes over 10% exist, a topographic map will be required. Over 50 acres − 1" = 200' is okay.         Observed       Over 15% slope       required. Over 50 acres − 1" = 200' is okay.         Check the applicable boxes and indicate the condition on the Plot Plan:       There are □ natural or man-made drainage channels, □ rights-of-way and/or □ hazar	rdo
	1.5% Less than 10% slope If slopes over 10% exist, a topographic map will be required. Over 50 acres – 1" = 200' is okay.    1.5%   Less than 10% slope   If slopes over 10% exist, a topographic map will be required. Over 50 acres – 1" = 200' is okay.	rdo
I.	1.5%       Less than 10% slope       If slopes over 10% exist, a topographic map will be required. Over 50 acres − 1" = 200' is okay.         Check the applicable boxes and indicate the condition on the Plot Plan: There are □ natural or man-made drainage channels, □ rights-of-way and/or □ hazar pipelines crossing or immediately adjacent to property.       □ rights-of-way and/or □ hazar pipelines crossing or immediately adjacent to property.	rdo
I.	1.5% Less than 10% slope If slopes over 10% exist, a topographic map will be required. Over 50 acres − 1" = 200' is okay.  Check the applicable boxes and indicate the condition on the Plot Plan: There are ☐ natural or man-made drainage channels, ☐ rights-of-way and/or ☐ hazar pipelines crossing or immediately adjacent to property.  None of the above.  Grading: (specify the total amount of dirt being moved)	rdo
I.	1.5% Less than 10% slope  0.5% 10-15% slope  98% Over 15% slope  Check the applicable boxes and indicate the condition on the Plot Plan:  There are ☐ natural or man-made drainage channels, ☐ rights-of-way and/or ☐ hazar pipelines crossing or immediately adjacent to property.  None of the above.  Grading: (specify the total amount of dirt being moved)  0-500 cu. yds.	rdo
I.	1.5% Less than 10% slope If slopes over 10% exist, a topographic map will be required. Over 50 acres − 1" = 200' is okay.  Check the applicable boxes and indicate the condition on the Plot Plan: There are ☐ natural or man-made drainage channels, ☐ rights-of-way and/or ☐ hazar pipelines crossing or immediately adjacent to property.  None of the above.  Grading: (specify the total amount of dirt being moved)	rdo
	1.5% Less than 10% slope  0.5% 10-15% slope  98% Over 15% slope  Check the applicable boxes and indicate the condition on the Plot Plan:  There are ☐ natural or man-made drainage channels, ☐ rights-of-way and/or ☐ hazar pipelines crossing or immediately adjacent to property.  None of the above.  Grading: (specify the total amount of dirt being moved)  0-500 cu. yds.	rdc

<sup>\*</sup>Projects involving import/export of 1000 cubic yards or more are required to complete a <u>Haul Route</u> <u>Form</u> and Haul Route <u>Map</u>.

If project involves more than one phase or substantial expansion or changes of existing uses, please document each portion separately, with the total or project details written below. Describe entire project, not just area in need of zone change, variance, or other alteration.

III.	Resid	dential project (if not residential, do <u>not</u> answer)					
	A.	Number of Dwelling Units-					
		Single Family 29					
		Apartment 0 or Condominium 0					
	B.	Number of Dwelling Units with:					
		One bedroom Not known at this time Two bedrooms Not known at this time					
		Three bedrooms Not known at this time Four or more bedrooms Not known at this time					
	C.	Total number of parking spaces provided Per code					
	D.	List recreational facilities of project Not known at this time					
	Ę.	Approximate price range of units \$ Not known at this time to \$ Not known at this time					
	F.	Number of stories Per code , height Per code ft.					
	G.	Type of appliances and heating (gas, electric, gas/electric, solar) Not known at this time					
		Gas heated swimming pool? Not known at this time					
	Н.	Describe night lighting of the project Illumination from street lights and exteriors/interiors of residences					
		(Include Plan for shielding light from adjacent uses, if available)					
	I.	Percent of total project proposed for: Building <u>±2%</u>					
		Paving <u>+</u> 0.5%					
		Landscaping Not known at this time					
IV.		mercial, Industrial or Other Project (if project is only residential do <u>not</u> answer this section). ribe entire project, not just area in need of zone change, variance, or other alteration.  Type of use _n/a					
	B.	Total number of square feet of floor area n/a					
	C.	Number of units if hotel/motel n/a					
	D.	Number of stories, height _Approxft.					
	E.	Total number of parking spaces provided:					
	F.	Hours of operation Days of operation					
	G.	If fixed seats or beds involved, number					
	H.	Describe night lighting of the project					
		(Include Plan for shielding light from adjacent uses, if available)					
	I.	Number of employees per shift					
	J.	Number of students/patients/patrons Approx.					
	K.	Describe security provisions for project					
	L.	Percent of total project proposed for: Building					
		Paving					
		Landscaping					

٧.	Stationary Noise Clearance - A clearance may be necessary certifying the project's equipment (i.e., air
	conditioning) complies with City Noise Regulations.

Some projects may require a noise study. The EIR staff will inform those affected by this requirement.

#### VI. Selected Information:

A) Circulation: Identify by name all major and secondary highways and freeways within 1,000 feet of the proposed project; give the approximate distance(s):

Adjacent: Canyonback Road (scenic secondary road);

Approximately 500 ft to the north: Mountaingate Drive (scenic secondary road)

- B) Air: All projects that are required to obtain AQMD permits (see AQMD Rules and Regulations) are required to submit written clearance from the AQMD indicating no significant impact will be created by the proposed project.\*
- C) Noise: Projects located within 600 feet of railroad tracks indicate the number of trains per day:\*\*

Day 7 am - 10 pm \_\_n/a Night 10 pm - 7 am \_\_n/a

#### VII. Mitigating Measures:

Feasible alternatives or mitigation measures which would substantially lessen any significant adverse impact which the development may have on the environment.

At this time, no feasible alternatives to the project have been identified. Alternatives would be determined through the environmental review process and scoping meetings with the City of Los Angeles. Mitigation measures would be addressed in an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) to be prepared for the project, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

#### \*\* For information, contact:

Southern Pacific Train Dispatcher 629-6569 Union Pacific Engineering 725-2313 Santa Fe Train Master 267-5546

<sup>\*</sup> Contact the South Coast Air Quality Management District at 572-6418 for further information.

### APPLICANT/CONSULTANT'S AFFIDAVIT

### OWNER MUST SIGN AND BE NOTARIZED:

### IF THERE IS AN AGENT. THE AGENT MUST ALSO SIGN AND BE NOTARIZED

• I. Edward, C. Roonan, Castle & Cooke California Owner (Owner in escrow)* (Please Print)	. Inc.,  • I,  Sharon Kaplan, Psomas ,  Consultant* (Please Print)
Vice President and Treasurer	
Signed: / MWWW-/ / Owner	Signed: // Mann Mon Can Agent
Owner (Owner in escrew)  (Please Print)  Vice President and Treasurer	<u>. inc.,</u>
Signed: Through Owner	and the second s
being duly sworn, state that the statements ar are in all respects true and correct to the best	nd information contained in this Environmental Assessment Form of my knowledge and belief.
State of Californ	nia, County and City of Los Angeles
• Signed: Notary E	• Signed: Two Beat Trutt  **Signed: Two Beat Trutt  Notary
Subscribed and sworn to before me	Subscribed and sworn to before me
this <u>22</u> day of <u>000.</u> , 19 <u>90</u>	this 23 <sup>vd</sup> day of, 19 <u>9</u> 9
(NOTARY or CORPORATE SEAL)	(NOTARY)
• Signed: Notary	HAYDEE LEFONT Commission # 111555 Notary Public California Las Angelia County
Subscribed and sworn to before me	My Carnin & a 1140v 7.2000
this <u>22</u> day of <u>000</u> , 19 <u>99</u>	
(NOTARY or CORPORATE SEAL)	

<sup>\*</sup> If acting for a corporation, include capacity and company name.

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION & findings

#### Background

The applicant, Castle & Cooke California, Inc., is the owner of approximately 449.5 acres (net area) of undeveloped land in the Brentwood-Pacific Palidsades community. This application for a vesting tentative tract map is for development in the Mountaingate planned community. As approved by the City of Los Angeles in 1974 as Tract 29142, the original Mountaingate plan envisioned and authorized the development of 870 dwelling units on the 870-acre site. To date, approximately 300 dwelling units have been constructed in connection with the existing subdivisions within Mountaingate. The proposed final cluster of homes would bring the total number of homes within Mountaingate to 329 dwelling units on the same 870 acres, a reduction of 541 units (or 62%) from the maximum number of units originally approved for the property.

The proposed vesting tentative tract map is for the subdivision of the property into 35 lots, 29 of which will be for the development of single-family homes on estate lots varying between 33,136 to 116,027 square feet in lot area (and 10,162 to 31,821 square feet in pad area). Therefore, approximately 33.6 acres (or 7.5%) of the ±449.5-acre site is proposed to be developed. Two (2) lots are private street lots (continuations of Canyonback Road and Stoney Hill Road) and comprise approximately three (3) acres in area.

#### Zone Change Incident to Subdivision

The proposed subdivision is consistent with current zoning, with the exception of approximately 4.25 acres of agricultural-zoned area that is being proposed for residential development. The applicant requests approval of a Zone Change Incident to Subdivision pursuant to LAMC Section 12.32F for these lots (portions of Lots 11-18, 28, and 29) from a [Q] A-1 zone to a RE 40-1-H zone district. Ordinance Nos. 172,447 (adopted 2/2/99) and 171,002 (adopted 4/3/96) established the existing zoning districts for the property.

The proposed Vesting Tentative Tract Map and Zone Change Incident to Subdivision are consistent with the general plan land use designations, which are both Minimum Residential and Open Space categories. The majority of the proposed residential lots are within the Minimum Residential land use category, with the exception of approximately 4.25 acres which are within the Open Space land use category (potions of Lots 11-18, 28, and 29). The *Brentwood-Pacific Palisades Community Plan* (adopted 2/24/98) states, "In general, land designated as privately owned open space are considered to be in the minimum [residential] density category. Density transfer shall be allowed in areas designated minimum density category as long as the total number of dwelling units indicated in any development is not increased and adequate access is available from two or more directions," (pp. III-2 and III-3). Therefore, the proposed Vesting Tentative Tract Map and Zone Change Incident to Subdivision are consistent with the general plan land use designations.

12/29/99 Page 1 of 3

### Slope Density

The proposed density for the subject site averages 0.06 dwelling units per net acre. Allowable density for this project (29 units) was calculated using the Slope Density formula as defined in LAMC Section 17.05 C and the *Brentwood-Pacific Palisades Community Plan* (adopted 2/24/98). (See Mountaingate Slope Density Calculations Report, December 1999).

### Lot Averaging

Approval of lot averaging is requested with this map for subdivision in the hillside RE 40-1-H zone pursuant to LAMC Section 17.05 H. Approval of Lot Averaging is requested for the following reasons:

- 1. Traffic access, topography, and drainage conditions will safely allow lot averaging. Traffic access is available from two (2) directions: Canyonback Road and Stoney Hill Road to the north and each of the fire access roads to the south. The proposed residential lot layout is designed to take advantage of existing topographical ridgelines and will require less grading than that which would be required by a subdivision of conventional design that does not utilize lot averaging. The proposed detention facility would offset any increase in stormwater runoff. Project development performed in compliance with the requirements of the Bureau of Engineering, all other responsible agencies, and all applicable codes and ordinances would reduce hydrology-related impacts to less than significant. Storm drains have been designed with capacities for a 50-year frequency storm.
- 2. The proposed Lot Averaging is consistent with proper subdivision design. Each of the residential lots meet the minimum lot width of 80 feet for the RE 40-1-H zone and side lines of lots have been designed to be at approximate right angles or radial to streets. Approximate pad areas have been calculated taking into account the appropriate yard setbacks. In addition, not more than 20 percent of the lots (five (5) lots—Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 27) are proposed to have less than the minimum area requirements of 40,000 square feet per lot. In computing the lot average, those portions of the lots exceeding 150 percent of the minimum area requirement (Lots 11, 19, and 28) were excluded and, therefore, only the first 60,000 square feet of each of these three (3) lots were counted in these calculations. The proposed residential lot average for this project is 47,549 square feet and meets the minimum lot average of 40,000 square feet for residential lots in the RE 40-1-H zone.
- 3. The proposed Lot Averaging will result in environmental benefits. The proposed lot design will minimize earthwork, will promote the preservation of the surrounding canyons (Bundy, Kenter, and Mandeville Canyons), and will prevent the removal of any additional trees which would be required by a subdivision of conventional design that does not utilize lot averaging.

12/29/99 Page 2 of 3

### Haul Route

Landform grading will be used to conform as much as possible to existing contours. Grading will be balanced on-site and no import or export of dirt will be required. An approval of haul route is requested with this map because conveyance of excavation from the Canyonback Road area to the Mission Canyon 8 Landfill fill site will require transport on public streets. The proposed haul route is north on Canyonback Road, east on Mountaingate Drive, south on Stoney Hill Road, and south on the fire access road to the fill site.

### Regrading/Widening of Fire Access Lanes

The existing secondary fire/emergency access lanes located within the Mission Canyon 8 Landfill Lot are proposed to be regraded and widened to a variable 20 to 50 feet in width as part of this request.

12/29/29 Page 3 of 3

### CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA



RICHARD L. RIORDAN

March 17, 2000,

EXECUTIVE OFFICES (BTH FLEOR

> ©04 HC₩S 0/RCCT95 (2/3) **560-**(160

Franklin P. Exermard Beaty Circula (213) 360-1163

CORDON B. HAMILTON DEPUTY DYSSTOR (213) 580-1165

ROBERT M. SUTTON SECUTY BIRECTOR (213) SEC-1167

P7X. (213) 980-1175

INFORMATON (213) 560-1172

CASRIELE WILLIAMS
COMMISSION EXCEPTIVE ABBRITANT
(2:3) 590-5034

SEPARTMENT OF

CITY PLANNING

22" N. Fidusion Strest Lon Anceles, CA 90012-2601

CITY PLANNING

COMMISSION

PETER M. WEIL

PRESIDENT

JORGE JACKSON

YISE PRESUDENT

ROTHER M. LANDAU

MARNA SCHNASEL, HOBERT L. SCOTT

EIR Case No.: 99-3251 Project Name: Mountain Gete

Protect Description: Request for approval of Versing Terrative Tract Map No. 53072 to subdivide approximately 449.5 acres into 35 loss, 29 of which are for the development of single-family homes on estate lots varying between 33.136 to 116.027 square-feet. Two (2) lots are private street lots (continuations of Canyonback Road and Stoney Hill Road). Less than 10 percent of the site is proposed for actual development. The project includes a request for approval of a Zone Change incident to Subdivision pursuant to LAMC Section 12.32F. There may also be an accompanying General Plan Amendment necessary to allow implementation of the proposed project and to ensure consistency per AB283 requirements.

Disposition of oak trees will be covered by the VTTM approval, as well as a request for Lot averaging in the hillside RE40-1-H zone pursuant to LAMC Section 17.05FL Lastly, approval of a hand route is requested with this map for conveyance of earthwork emayation.

Project Location: The proposed project is located to the south of Promoctory Road at 2050 Stoney Hill Road in the Santa Monica Monatains area of the Brentwood-Parific Pelisades community

Pleating Area: Brentwood-Pacific Palisades

Council District 11

Due Date: ADE 17, 2000

## PRE-DRAFT REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

The enclosed materials describe a proposed land development project and suggest possible environmental impacts of the project, which lies in an area that has been determined to be of interest to yourself and/or the organization you represent. An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is to be prepared on this project by this office.

We would welcome all comments on the possible environmental impacts of the proposed project in order that we can take into consideration your concerns and/or those of the organization you represent, in the preparation of the EIR. All comments should be in writing and must be submitted to this office by

April 17, 2000

Please direct year responses to:

Irone Paul, Project Coordinator 221 N. Figueroa Street, Room 1500 Los Angeles, CA 90012 (215)580-5555

CON HOWE

Director of Planning

City Planer

Environmental Review Section

Enciosme

