

POLICY SPOTLIGHT — HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES

West Los Angeles

Many public comments focused on Housing Opportunities.
Should we change the existing policies of the Community Plan to better address current needs?

Community Comments	Existing Community Plan Goals & Policies	Your Thoughts
<p><u>AFFORDABLE HOUSING:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• More affordable housing needed, for young adults / elderly citizens• Working families are being displaced by high rents• Affordable housing should be defined as people living on social security or minimum wage, not median income.• Homelessness is becoming more problematic, and needs to be addressed• Micro-Units, Duplexes, and more dense single-family needed <p><u>HOUSING TYPES:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Concerns about Mansionization of single-family homes• Housing Stock is aging• Lack of Family-Sized apartments, need more than studios and one-bedroom apartments• Give homeowners incentives, other than parking, to build ADU's, such as a streamlined process.• Lack of market-rate housing, more density and mixed-use	<p>Policy 1-1.3 Provide for adequate multi-family residential development</p> <p>Policy1-4.1 Promote greater individual choice in type, quality, price and location of housing.</p> <p>Policy 1-4.2 Ensure that new housing opportunities minimize displacement of residents.</p>	<p><i>Do the existing policies address the community's comments?</i></p> <p><i>Which existing policies should be kept?</i></p> <p><i>How can existing policies be more specific to your neighborhood? What's missing?</i></p>



POLICY SPOTLIGHT — MOBILITY: BICYCLE & PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

West Los Angeles

Many public comments focused on Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure .
Should we change the existing policies of the Community Plan to better address current needs?

Community Comments	Existing Community Plan Goals & Policies	Your Thoughts
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• We need more bike lanes along Collector streets• Poor bicycle/scooter and pedestrian infrastructure, especially to get to the Expo Line• Bicycle/scooter and pedestrian infrastructure should be improved simultaneously in order to maintain safety of all modes of transportation• We must have bike lanes on Westwood and Sepulveda, Pico, Olympic, and Bundy. If parking for commercial is affected, then build off-street lots. We will never improve traffic if we don't get folks out of cars• Would like safer infrastructure for scooters	<p>Policy 11-1.1 Encourage non-residential developments to provide employee incentives for utilizing alternatives to the automobile (carpools, vanpools, buses, flexible work schedules, bicycles and walking).</p> <p>Policy 11-1.4 Promote the development of transportation facilities and services that encourage transit ridership, increase vehicle occupancy, and improve pedestrian and bicycle access.</p> <p>Policy 12-1.1 Plan for and encourage funding and construction of bikeways connecting residential neighborhoods to schools, open space areas and employment centers.</p> <p>Policy 12-1.2 Identify bikeways along arterials in the community</p> <p>Policy 12-1.3 Assure that local bicycle facilities are linked with the facilities of neighboring areas of the City.</p> <p>Policy 12-1.4 Encourage the provision of changing rooms, showers and bicycle storage at new and existing non-residential developments and public places.</p> <p>Policy 12-2.1 Encourage the safe utilization of public utility easements and other public rights-of-way along flood control channels, railroad rights-of-way, and streets wherever feasible for the use of pedestrians.</p> <p>Policy 12-2.2 Require the installation of sidewalks with all new roadway construction and substantial reconstruction of existing roadways.</p> <p>Policy 12-2.3 Protect and improve pedestrian - oriented street segments</p>	<p><i>Do the existing policies address the community's comments?</i></p> <p><i>Which existing policies should be kept?</i></p> <p><i>How can existing policies be more specific to your neighborhood? What's missing?</i></p>

POLICY SPOTLIGHT — STREETSCAPES

West Los Angeles

Many public comments focused on Streetscapes.
Should we change the existing policies of the Community Plan to better address current needs?

Community Comments	Existing Community Plan Goals & Policies	Your Thoughts
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• How will “safe and attractive” pedestrian facilities be funded? Our sidewalks / streets are sadly in need of repair. We need much more city investment in infrastructure• We need more bike lanes along Collector Streets• Attractive multi-modal streetscapes• Lack of new pedestrian-oriented development• Streetscapes on major corridors are not green enough	<p>Policy 2-3.1 Establish street identity and character through appropriate sign control, landscaping and streetscape improvements; and require that new development be compatible with the scale of adjacent neighborhoods.</p> <p>Policy 3-2.1 Require that new industrial development be designed to be compatible with adjacent residential neighborhoods. Require urban design techniques, such as appropriate building orientation and scale, landscaping, buffering and increased setbacks in the development of new industrial properties to improve land use compatibility with adjacent uses and to enhance the physical environment.</p> <p>Policy 12-2.2 Require the installation of sidewalks with all new roadway construction and substantial reconstruction of existing roadways.</p> <p>Policy 12-2.3 Protect and improve pedestrian - oriented street segments.</p>	<p><i>Do the existing policies address the community’s comments?</i></p> <p><i>Which existing policies should be kept?</i></p> <p><i>How can existing policies be more specific to your neighborhood? What’s missing?</i></p>



POLICY SPOTLIGHT — URBAN DESIGN AND SCALE

West Los Angeles

Many public comments focused on Urban Design and Scale.
Should we change the existing policies of the Community Plan to better address current needs?

Community Comments	Existing Community Plan Goals & Policies	Your Thoughts
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Encourage better architecture in ways similar to the City of Santa Monica and the City of Pasadena.• Remake dull corridors into pedestrian-oriented passages.• I’m really concerned about the proliferation of giant McMansions in our old Single-family, single-story neighborhood.• FAR’s should be decreased to create more livable apartments.• With increased FAR’s, we get 5-story stucco cubes with no open space or green space (and only un-usable roof decks and stormwater planters).• Need no variances. Keep to the zoning. Stop spot-zoning and ridiculously huge projects.	<p>Policy 1-1.1 Protect existing single family residential neighborhoods from new out-of scale development and other incompatible uses</p> <p>Policy 1-3.1 Require architectural compatibility and adequate landscaping for new multi-family residential development to protect the character and scale of existing residential neighborhoods.</p> <p>Policy 1-3.2 Proposals for change to planned residential density should consider factors of neighborhood character and identity, compatibility of land uses, impacts on livability, public services and facilities, and traffic levels.</p> <p>Policy 2-2.1 Encourage Pedestrian-oriented design in designated areas and in new development</p> <p>Policy 2-3.1 Establish street identity and character through appropriate sign control, landscaping and streetscape improvements; and require that new development be compatible with the scale of adjacent neighborhoods.</p> <p>Policy 2-3.2 Require that commercial projects be designed and developed to achieve a high level of quality, distinctive character and compatibility with surrounding uses and development.</p>	<p><i>Do the existing policies address the community’s comments?</i></p> <p><i>Which existing policies should be kept?</i></p> <p><i>How can existing policies be more specific to your neighborhood? What’s missing?</i></p>