CITY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

ROOM 395, CITY HALL

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

INITIAL STUDY and CHECKLIST (CEQA Guidelines Section 15063)

LEAD CITY AGENCY:	COUNCIL DISTRICT:	DATE:
City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning	14 – José Huizar	March 2020
RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES: Los Angeles Metro		
ENVIRONMENTAL CASE:	RELATED CASES:	
ENV-2019-2314-SCEA	CPC-2019-2313-GPAJ-VZCJ-SPR	
PREVIOUS ACTIONS CASE NO.	DOES have significant changes	from previous actions.
N/A	DOES NOT have significant cha	inges from previous
	actions.	

ENV PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The Project proposes the development of a 5-story, 64.5-foot high mixed-use affordable housing building consisting 63 affordable units and 1 market rate manager's unit, 2,344 square feet of ground floor commercial space, and 50 total automobile parking spaces in a one level subterranean parking garage. The Project Site is approximately 47,239 square feet (1.08 acres) in size and would include approximately 77,945 square feet of building area and a floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.65 to 1. The Project would not require the demolition of any existing structures. However, part of the Project Site contains the Metro Soto Station Plaza, which the Project would be integrated with. Developments within the vicinity of the Project Site consist primarily of single-family and multifamily residences, and commercial uses along E. 1st Street. The Project Site is accessible by E. 1st Street with a street designation of Avenue II, S. Soto Street with a street designation of Avenue II and an alley, and located approximately four blocks east of the US-5 Freeway. To allow for the proposed development, the Project Applicant is requesting the following discretionary approvals: ((1) A General Plan Amendment per Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) Section 11.5.6 to change the Land Use Designation from Low Medium II to Highway Oriented Commercial/Limited Commercial; (2) A JJJ complaint Vesting Zone Change per LAMC Section 12.32(Q) from C2-1-CUGU and RD1.5-1-CUGU to [T][Q]C2-1-1CUGU; (3) Utilizing Developer Incentives per LAMC Section 11.5.11(e), to allow: Rear Yard Reduction to 8' in lieu of 17', FAR Increase to 1.65:1 in lieu of 1.5:1, and Parking at 0.5 Spaces Per Unit, including 40% compact; (4) A Site Plan Review per LAMC Section 16.05; (5) Adoption of the SCEA; and (6) Approval of other permits, ministerial or discretionary, as maybe be necessary.

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING:

The Project Site includes six parcels (APNs 5183-009-904 through -907, -909, and -910). The approximately 47,239 square-foot (1.08-acre) Project Site contains the Metro Soto Station Plaza at the southwest corner of E. 1st Street and S. Soto Street in the Boyle Heights Community Plan Area. The Project Site is surrounded by adjacent residences to the south, residences and commercial uses to the west across an alleyway, residences to the east across S. Soto Street, and residences and commercial uses to the north across E. 1st Street.

PROJECT LOCATION: 111-121	PROJECT LOCATION: 111-121 S. Soto Street and 2316-2328 E. 1 st Street, Los Angeles, CA 90033					
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: STATUS:	Boyle Heights	AREA PLANNING COMMISSION:	CERTFIED NEIGHBORHOOD			
PreliminaryProposed	Does Conform to PlanDoes NOT Conform to Plan	East Los Angeles	COUNCIL: Boyle Heights			
EXISTING ZONING: C2-1-CUGU and RD1.5-1-CUGU	MAX DENSITY ZONING: 121 Dwelling Units	LA River Adjacent: No				

Determination (To be completed by Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- □ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions on the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- □ I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- □ I find the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.
- I find that the Project is a qualified "transit priority project" that satisfies the requirements of Sections 21155 and 21155.2 of the Public Resources Code (PRC), and/or a qualified "residential or mixed use residential project" that satisfies the requirements of Section 21159.28(d) of the PRC, and although the project could have a potentially significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case, because the SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (SCEA) identified measures that either avoid or mitigate to a level of insignificance all potentially significant or significant effects of the Project.

Signature

Title

Phone

Evaluation of Environmental Impacts:

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less that significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of a mitigation measure has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analysis," as described in (5) below, may be cross referenced).
- 5. Earlier analysis must be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR, or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less Than Significant With Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated
- 7. Supporting Information Sources: A sources list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whichever format is selected.
- 9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significant.

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Less Than Significant With Mitigation Measures Incorporated" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Aesthetics	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Public Services
Agriculture & Forestry Resources	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Recreation
Air Quality	Hydrology & Water Quality	Transportation
Biological Resources	Land Use & Planning	Tribal Cultural Resources
Cultural Resources	Mineral Resources	Utilities & Service Systems
Energy	Noise	Wildfire
Geology & Soils	Population & Housing	Mandatory Findings of
		Significance

INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST (To be completed by the Lead City Ager	
Background	
PROPONENT NAME:	
East LA Community Corporation	
APPLICANT ADDRESS:	
2917 E. 1 st Street	
Los Angeles, CA 90033	
AGENCY REQUIRING CHECKLIST:	
Department of City Planning	
PROPOSAL NAME (If Applicable):	
Los Lirios Mixed-Use Project	

	Less Than Significant		
Potentially	with	Less Than	
Significant	Mitigation	Significant	No
Impact	Incorporated	Impact	Impact

PLEASE NOTE THAT EACH AND EVERY RESPONSE IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES INITIAL STUDY AND CHECKLIST IS SUMMARIZED FROM AND BASED UPON THE ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS CONTAINED IN SECTION III OF THIS INITIAL STUDY. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE RESPONSE IN SECTION III FOR A DETAILED DISCUSSION OF CHECKLIST DETERMINATIONS.

I. Exce	AESTHETICS ot as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the project	ct:			
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				X
b.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				X
C.	In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?			X	
d.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			X	
mode timbe Fores Fores	ultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by I to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In deter Irland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer t try and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, in t Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodol esources Board. Would the project:	mining whet o informatior cluding the Fo	her impacts to for compiled by the prest and Range A	orest resource e California De Assessment Pro	es, including partment of ject and the
a.	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?				X
b.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				X
c.	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code				X

	section 51104(g))?		
d.	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non- forest use?		\boxtimes
e.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?		\boxtimes

III. AIR QUALITY

Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

a.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?		X	
b.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?		X	
с.	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		X	
d.	Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?		X	

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
IV.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	•			
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			X	
b.	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				X
c.	Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				X
d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				X
e.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				X
f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				X
٧.	CULTURAL RESOURCES				
a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to § 15064.5?				\boxtimes
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?			X	
C.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries?			X	
VI.	ENERGY				
a.	Result in a potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?			X	
b.	Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?			X	
VII.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS		•		
a.	Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving:				
i.	Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.			X	
ii.	Strong seismic ground shaking?			X	
iii.	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?			\mathbf{X}	
iv.	Landslides?			\mathbf{X}	
b.	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			X	

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
с.	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?			X	
d.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property?			X	
e.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				\boxtimes
f.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?			X	
VIII.	GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	1			
a.	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			X	
b.	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			X	
IX.	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS				
a.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?			\boxtimes	
b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?			X	
c.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?			X	
d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?			X	
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area?				X
f.	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			X	
g.	Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?				\boxtimes
х.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY				
a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or groundwater quality?			X	
b.	Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?			X	
c.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:			X	
i.	Result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site;			X	
		•	•		

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
ii.	Substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site;			X	
iii.	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or			X	
iv.	Impede or redirect flood flows?				X
d.	In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?				X
e.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?			X	
XI.	LAND USE AND PLANNING				
a.	Physically divide an established community?				X
b.	Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			X	
XII.	MINERAL RESOURCES				
a.	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				X
b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				X
XIII.	NOISE				
a.	Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			X	
b.	Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			X	
C.	For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X
XIV.	POPULATION AND HOUSING				
a.	Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			X	
b.	Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X
faciliti	PUBLIC SERVICES d the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated wi ies, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the cor its, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or oth	nstruction of v	which could cause	e significant en	vironmental
a.	Fire protection?			X	
b.	Police protection?			X	
	Schools?			X	
с.		-			J
c. d.	Parks?				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVI.	RECREATION	•			
a.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?			X	X
b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
XVII.	TRANSPORTATION				
a.	Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?			X	
b.	Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3, subdivision (b)?			X	
c.	Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?			X	
d.	Result in inadequate emergency access?			X	
a.	cape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native Ar Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or	merican tribe,	and that is:		
a. b.	Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or		\boxtimes		
	A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section		X		
	supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.		X		
XIX.	supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS				
XIX. a.	supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.				
-	supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, or wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction or relocation of				
a.	supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, or wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects? Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and			X	
a. b.	supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, or wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects? Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years? Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
XX. If loca	XX. WILDFIRE If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones, would the project:					
a.	Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				X	
b.	Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to, pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?				X	
c.	Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?				X	
d.	Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?				\boxtimes	
XXI.	. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE					
a.	Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			X		
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?			X		
C.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			X		

This page intentionally left blank.