POLICY SPOTLIGHT — PROVIDE COMMUNITY SERVING RETAIL

Venice

Many public comments focused on Providing Community Serving Retail.

Should we change the existing policies of the Community Plan to better address current needs?

Community Comments	Existing Community Plan Goals & Policies	Your Thoughts
 Keep big box national chains out There is insufficient support for local businesses Would like to see affordable shopping, Trader Joes and health care options Would like to see local cafes Would like to see museums and live music venues on Abbot Kinney and/or Main Street Missing services and amenities include cultural centers and supermarkets Would like to see food options on the boardwalk Want to see affordable shopping, much of the retail is exclusive and does not meet daily needs Need for more general stores as well as laundromats Rising rents are kicking out local businesses Need local corner markets 	Goal 2 A strong and competitive commercial sector which promotes economic vitality, serves the needs of the community through well designed, safe and accessible areas while preserving the historic, commercial and cultural character of the community. Policy 2-1.3 Ensure the viability of existing neighborhood stores and businesses which support the needs of local residents and are compatible with the neighborhood. Policy 2-1.4 Encourage neighborhood "mom & pop" stores throughout the community at appropriate locations.	Do the existing policies address the community's comments? Which existing policies should be kept? How can existing policies be more specific to your neighborhood? What's missing?

POLICY SPOTLIGHT — INCREASE HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES

Venice

Many public comments focused on Increasing Housing Opportunities.

Should we change the existing policies of the Community Plan to better address current needs?

	Existing Community Plan Goals & Policies	Your Thoughts
ncrease density on major corridors for ncreased housing capacity	Goal 1 A safe, secure, and high quality residential environment for all community residents	Do the existing policies address the community's comments? Which existing policies should be kept?
Ve have very limited housing capacity in enice	 Policy 1-1.1 Designate specific lands to provide for adequate multi-family residential development Policy 1-1.4 Promote the preservation of existing single-family and multi-family neighborhoods 	How can existing policies be more specific to your neighborhood? What's missing?
Address downzoning of Venice Specific Plan that occurred in the past		
 *Fix footnote 6 in the community plan that causes problems with projects that try to achieve higher density Multi-family housing should be built out to 		
	Policy 1-2.2 Encourage multiple-family residential development in commercial zones	
ne maximum	Policy 1-4.1 Promote greater individual choice in	
oo many developers are knocking down luplexes in R2 and RD 1.5 zones to build oxy single family homes	type, quality, price and location of housing	
Eliminate the 3 lot tie allowance in the Dakwood neighborhood which results the construction of more single-family ousing on multi-family zoned lots		
Preserve the existing housing stock, and liscourage the conversion of residential ses to commercial use where appropriate		

^{*}Footnote 6: Residential development on commercial properties are limited to densities no greater than those permitted by the zoning of adjacent residential areas, but not lower than the density permitted in the low-medium housing category, except as regulated by existing specific plans.



POLICY SPOTLIGHT — ADDRESS PARKING ISSUES

Venice

Many public comments focused on Addressing Parking Issues.

Should we change the existing policies of the Community Plan to better address current needs?

Community Comments	Existing Community Plan Goals & Policies	Your Thoughts
 Address lack of parking for multifamily buildings 	Goal 13 A sufficient system of well designed and convenient on-street parking and off-street parking facilities throughout the plan area	Do the existing policies address the community's comments? Which existing policies should be kept? How can existing policies be more specific to your neighborhood? What's missing?
 There is insufficient parking for the entire community 		
 There is a need for restricted parking near beach 	Pol. 13-1.1 Consolidate parking, where appropriate, to eliminate the number of ingress and egress points onto arterial streets, and direct traffic to side streets	
 Venice could benefit from a parking district 		
 The lack of parking on Abbot Kinney is a reason to not spend time there 	Pol. 13-1.3 Increase parking opportunities for both	
 There is an opportunity for angled parking along the old Canal streets, due to their wide width (i.e.,: Market and Main Street). 	Goal 14 Discourage non-residential traffic flow on residential streets and encourage community involvement in determining neighborhood traffic controls	
 A parking structure should be built for the community to use when accessing the beach 		
 Parking structures are better than surface lots 		
 Encourage subterranean parking lot ties to meet parking requirements for projects 	Pol. 14-1.1 The City should initiate a series of neighborhood outreach meetings to identify and discuss existing and anticipated "cut-through" traffic and spillover parking from adjacent commercial areas. Through these neighborhood/community meetings, strategies and programs would be developed for an effective neighborhood protection plan. Availability of funding to pay for implementation of programs would also be discussed at these meetings	
 Parking requirements should be tied to affordability 		
 Reduce on site parking requirements in order to accommodate green space 		
 There is inadequate signage of available parking for beach visitors on weekends resulting in added traffic congestion 		
 Inadequate parking is caused by non- conforming uses 		

POLICY SPOTLIGHT — PROVIDE MORE PARK FACILITIES

Venice

Many public comments focused on Providing More Park Facilities.

Should we change the existing policies of the Community Plan to better address current needs?

Community Comments	Existing Community Plan Goals & Policies	Your Thoughts
 Keep open space on North and South Venice Preserve Ballona Creek as open space Park space is a missing amenity Dog parks are a missing amenity Open space should not be located in medians Would like to see parks near the beach Need more pocket parks We are missing well maintained parks in the community 	Goal 4 Adequate recreation and park facilities which meet the needs of the residents in the plan area Pol. 4-1.1 Preserve and improve the existing recreational facilities and park space Pol. 4-1.2 Better utilization and development of recreational facilities at existing parks Pol. 4-2.1 Flood control channels and other appropriate public lands should be considered for open space and recreational purposes Pol. 4-3.1 Provide local residents and visitors alike adequate access to local recreational facilities Pol. 4-3.2 Ensure that parks are adequately illuminated for safe use at night Goal 5 A community with sufficient open space in balance with new development to serve the recreational, environmental, health and safety needs of the community and to protect environmental and aesthetic resources Pol. 5-1.1 Encourage the retention of passive and visual open space which provides a balance to the urban development of the community Pol. 5-1.2 The City should encourage continuous efforts by Federal, State and County agencies to acquire vacant land for publicly-owned open space	Do the existing policies address the community's comments? Which existing policies should be kept? How can existing policies be more specific to your neighborhood? What's missing?

POLICY SPOTLIGHT — MAINTAINING COMMUNITY CHARACTER

Venice

Many public comments focused on Maintaining Unique Community Character.

Should we change the existing policies of the Community Plan to better address current needs?

Community Comments	Existing Community Plan Goals & Policies	Your Thoughts
 We like the musicians, artists and diversity in the community There should be more regulation on anothering 	 Pol. 1-1.2 Protect the quality of the residential environment and the appearance of communities with attention to site and building design. Pol. 1-3.1 Seek a higher degree of architectural compatibility and landscaping for new infill development to protect the character and scale of existing residential neighborhoods 	Do the existing policies address the community's comments? Which existing policies should be kept? How can existing policies be more specific to your neighborhood? What's missing?
 There should be more regulation on aesthetics Very concerned about gentrification and its displacement of minorities and to neighborhood character Concerned about losing socioeconomic diversity 		
 We like the diverse people and architecture in a unique coastal setting We like the creativity in the community 	Pol. 1-4.2 Ensure that new housing opportunities minimize displacement of residents	
 Love how weird the community is Venice is a vibrant community and we like that Venice has a unique history We like that Venice has a relaxed small beach town feel despite it being urban 	Pol. 2-1.5 Require that commercial projects be designed and developed to achieve a high level of quality, distinctive character and compatibility with surrounding uses and development Pol. 2-3.2 Preserve community character, scale and	
 Venice is inclusive and we want to keep it that way Venice has a great nightlife and dining East of Lincoln has its own ""feel"" and we want to keep that 	Goal 17 Preservation and restoration of cultural resources, neighborhoods and landmarks which have historical and/or cultural significance.	
 You can't apply other community's character in Veniceit should be treated differently 		