





CITY OF LOS ANGELES
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
ROOM 395, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
PROPOSED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

DOCUMENT FILED City Clerk's Office NG-18-077-PL	No. _____	Certified by 	Date: 12-4-18
---	-----------	--	---------------

LEAD CITY AGENCY City of Los Angeles	COUNCIL DISTRICT CD 11 - MIKE BONIN		
PROJECT TITLE ENV-2018-242-MND	CASE NO. DIR-2018-241-SPR		
PROJECT LOCATION 5208-5218 West Centinela Avenue and 6801-6815 South La Cienega Boulevard			
PROJECT DESCRIPTION <p>The project involves the construction, use, and maintenance of a one-story, 4,642 square-foot drive-through fast-food establishment with a maximum height of 21 feet and eight (8) inches and a 289 square-foot uncovered outdoor patio. The drive-through fast-food establishment will contain 117 indoor seats and 12 outdoor seats. The project will include 45 parking spaces and eight (8) bicycle spaces. The project will include the grading of 750 cubic yards cut, 250 cubic yards fill, an export of 500 cubic yards of export.</p> <p>The project requires a Site Plan Review for a change of use to a drive-through fast-food establishment that results in a net increase of 500 or more average daily trips.</p>			
NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT IF OTHER THAN CITY AGENCY Chick-Fil-A, Inc. 15635 Alton Parkway, Suite 350 Irvine Ca, 92618			
FINDING: <p>The City Planning Department of the City of Los Angeles has Proposed that a mitigated negative declaration be adopted for this project because the mitigation measure(s) outlined on the attached page(s) will reduce any potential significant adverse effects to a level of insignificance</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(CONTINUED ON PAGE 2)</p> <p>SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S) FOR ANY MITIGATION MEASURES IMPOSED.</p> <p>Any written comments received during the public review period are attached together with the response of the Lead City Agency. The project decision-maker may adopt the mitigated negative declaration, amend it, or require preparation of an EIR. Any changes made should be supported by substantial evidence in the record and appropriate findings made.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">THE INITIAL STUDY PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT IS ATTACHED.</p>			
NAME OF PERSON PREPARING THIS FORM CHI LIM	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">TITLE City Planning Associate</td> <td style="width: 50%;">TELEPHONE NUMBER (213) 978-1341</td> </tr> </table>	TITLE City Planning Associate	TELEPHONE NUMBER (213) 978-1341
TITLE City Planning Associate	TELEPHONE NUMBER (213) 978-1341		
ADDRESS 200 N. SPRING STREET, 7th FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA. 90012	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">SIGNATURE (Official) </td> <td style="width: 40%;">DATE January 7, 2019</td> </tr> </table>	SIGNATURE (Official) 	DATE January 7, 2019
SIGNATURE (Official) 	DATE January 7, 2019		

XVI-80. Transportation/Traffic

- The project will result in impacts to transportation and/or traffic systems. However, the impact can be reduced to a less than significant level through compliance with the following measure(s):
- LA CIENEGA AND CENTINELA AVENUE – CONVERT THE EXISTING WESTBOUND RIGHT – TURN ONLY LANE INTO A THRU/RIGHT TURN LANE AND CONVERT A THROUGH LANE TO AN ADDITIONAL WESTBOUND LEFT – TURN LANE.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
ROOM 395, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
INITIAL STUDY
and CHECKLIST
(CEQA Guidelines Section 15063)

LEAD CITY AGENCY: City of Los Angeles	COUNCIL DISTRICT: CD 11 - MIKE BONIN	DATE: 12/06/2018
RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES: Department of City Planning		
ENVIRONMENTAL CASE: ENV-2018-242-MND	RELATED CASES: DIR-2018-241-SPR	
PREVIOUS ACTIONS CASE NO.:	<input type="checkbox"/> Does have significant changes from previous actions. <input type="checkbox"/> Does NOT have significant changes from previous actions	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: SITE PLAN REVIEW FOR A DRIVE THROUGH FASTFOOD ESTABLISHMENT WHICH RESULTS IN A NET INCREASE OF 500 OR MORE AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS AS DETERMINED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION.		
ENV PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project involves the construction, use, and maintenance of a one-story, 4,642 square-foot drive-through fast-food establishment with a maximum height of 21 feet and eight (8) inches and a 289 square-foot uncovered outdoor patio. The drive-through fast-food establishment will contain 117 indoor seats and 12 outdoor seats. The project will include 45 parking spaces and eight (8) bicycle spaces. The project will include the grading of 750 cubic yards cut, 250 cubic yards fill, an export of 500 cubic yards of export. The project requires a Site Plan Review for a change of use to a drive-through fast-food establishment that results in a net increase of 500 or more average daily trips.		
ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS: The project site consists of a 36,403 square-foot level parcel of land located at the southwest corner of Centinela Avenue and La Cienega Boulevard. The site is currently a vacant lot with no structures onsite. The site is located within the Westchester – Playa del Rey Community Plan with a land use designation of Community Commercial and is currently zoned C2-1VL. Surrounding properties are predominantly improved with one-story commercial retail and restaurant buildings. Properties to the north across Centinela Avenue are zoned C2-1VL and are improved with a gas station, a restaurant and surface parking. Properties to the west abutting the subject property are zoned C2-1VL and are improved with one-story commercial retail and restaurant buildings. Properties to the south across the alley is zoned C2-1VL and is currently vacant. Properties to the east across La Cienega Boulevard are located in the City of Inglewood and improved with one- and two-story commercial retail and office buildings. The site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Fault Zone. The nearest fault (Newport – Inglewood Fault) is located 1.56 miles from the project site.		
PROJECT LOCATION: 5208-5218 West Centinela Avenue and 6801-6815 South La Cienega Boulevard		
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: WESTCHESTER - PLAYA DEL REY STATUS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Does Conform to Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Does NOT Conform to Plan	AREA PLANNING COMMISSION: WEST LOS ANGELES	CERTIFIED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL: WESTCHESTER/PLAYA DEL REY
EXISTING ZONING: C2-1VL	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY ZONING: N/A	

GENERAL PLAN LAND USE: COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY PLAN DESIGNATION: N/A	LA River Adjacent:
	PROPOSED PROJECT DENSITY: N/A	

Determination (To Be Completed By Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- ☐ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☒ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions on the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☐ I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- ☐ I find the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- ☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Joann Lim

City Planning Associate

(213) 978-1341

Signature

Title

Phone

Evaluation Of Environmental Impacts:

1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).
2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of a mitigation measure has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR, or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
7. Supporting Information Sources: A sources list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/> AESTHETICS <input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES <input type="checkbox"/> AIR QUALITY <input type="checkbox"/> BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES <input type="checkbox"/> CULTURAL RESOURCES <input type="checkbox"/> GEOLOGY AND SOILS	<input type="checkbox"/> GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS <input type="checkbox"/> HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY <input type="checkbox"/> LAND USE AND PLANNING <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL RESOURCES <input type="checkbox"/> NOISE	<input type="checkbox"/> POPULATION AND HOUSING <input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SERVICES <input type="checkbox"/> RECREATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC <input type="checkbox"/> TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES <input type="checkbox"/> UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS	<input type="checkbox"/> MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE
--	--	--	---

INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST (To be completed by the Lead City Agency)	
<i>Background</i>	
PROPONENT NAME: Chick-Fil-A, Inc.	PHONE NUMBER: (310) 802-4261
APPLICANT ADDRESS: 15635 Alton Parkway, Suite 350 Irvine Ca, 92618	
AGENCY REQUIRING CHECKLIST: Department of City Planning	DATE SUBMITTED: 01/16/2018
PROPOSAL NAME (if Applicable):	

Potentially significant impact	Less than significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

I. AESTHETICS				
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			✓
b.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			✓
c.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?		✓	
d.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?		✓	
II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES				
a.	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?			✓
b.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?			✓
c.	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?			✓
d.	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?			✓
e.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?			✓
III. AIR QUALITY				
a.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?		✓	
b.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?		✓	
c.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?		✓	
d.	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		✓	
e.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?			✓
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES				
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			✓
b.	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			✓
c.	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?			✓
d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?			✓
e.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?			✓
f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?			✓
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES				

Potentially significant impact	Less than significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?			✓
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?			✓
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?		✓	
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?		✓	

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.			✓
b.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Strong seismic ground shaking?		✓	
c.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?			✓
d.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Landslides?			✓
e.	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?		✓	
f.	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?		✓	
g.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?			✓
h.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?			✓

VII. GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

a.	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?		✓	
b.	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?		✓	

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

a.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?		✓	
b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?			✓
c.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?			✓
d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?			✓
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?		✓	
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?		✓	
g.	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			✓

Potentially significant impact	Less than significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

h.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				✓
----	---	--	--	--	---

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				✓
b.	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?			✓	
c.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			✓	
d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?			✓	
e.	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			✓	
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			✓	
g.	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				✓
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				✓
i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				✓
j.	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				✓

X. LAND USE AND PLANNING

a.	Physically divide an established community?				✓
b.	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				✓
c.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				✓

XI. MINERAL RESOURCES

a.	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				✓
b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				✓

XII. NOISE

a.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			✓	
b.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			✓	
c.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			✓	
d.	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			✓	

Potentially significant impact	Less than significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?			✓	
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?			✓	
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING					
a.	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				✓
b.	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				✓
c.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				✓
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES					
a.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection?				✓
b.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Police protection?				✓
c.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Schools?				✓
d.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Parks?				✓
e.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Other public facilities?				✓
XV. RECREATION					
a.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				✓
b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				✓
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC					
a.	Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?		✓		

Potentially significant impact	Less than significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

b.	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?			✓	
c.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				✓
d.	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?			✓	
e.	Result in inadequate emergency access?				✓
f.	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				✓

XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES

a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is: Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or			✓	
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is: A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.			✓	

XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?			✓	
b.	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				✓
c.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			✓	
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?			✓	
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?			✓	
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?			✓	
g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?			✓	

XIX. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			✓	
----	---	--	--	---	--

Potentially significant impact	Less than significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?			✓	
c.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			✓	

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080, 21083.05, 21095, Pub. Resources Code; *Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka* (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; *Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency* (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; *San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco* (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

DISCUSSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

The Environmental Impact Assessment includes the use of official City of Los Angeles and other government source reference materials related to various environmental impact categories (e.g., Hydrology, Air Quality, Biology, Cultural Resources, etc.). The State of California, Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology - Seismic Hazard Maps and reports, are used to identify potential future significant seismic events; including probable magnitudes, liquefaction, and landslide hazards. Based on applicant information provided in the Master Land Use Application and Environmental Assessment Form, impact evaluations were based on stated facts contained therein, including but not limited to, reference materials indicated above, field investigation of the project site, and any other reliable reference materials known at the time.

Project specific impacts were evaluated based on all relevant facts indicated in the Environmental Assessment Form and expressed through the applicant's project description and supportive materials. Both the Initial Study Checklist and Checklist Explanations, in conjunction with the City of Los Angeles's Adopted Thresholds Guide and CEQA Guidelines, were used to reach reasonable conclusions on environmental impacts as mandated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The project as identified in the project description may cause potentially significant impacts on the environment without mitigation. Therefore, this environmental analysis concludes that a Mitigated Negative Declaration shall be issued to avoid and mitigate all potential adverse impacts on the environment by the imposition of mitigation measures and/or conditions contained and expressed in this document; the environmental case file known as **ENV-2018-242-MND** and the associated case(s), **DIR-2018-241-SPR** . Finally, based on the fact that these impacts can be feasibly mitigated to less than significant, and based on the findings and thresholds for Mandatory Findings of Significance as described in the California Environmental Quality Act, section 15065, the overall project impact(s) on the environment (after mitigation) **will not:**

- Substantially degrade environmental quality.
- Substantially reduce fish or wildlife habitat.
- Cause a fish or wildlife habitat to drop below self sustaining levels.
- Threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community.
- Reduce number, or restrict range of a rare, threatened, or endangered species.
- Eliminate important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory.
- Achieve short-term goals to the disadvantage of long-term goals.
- Result in environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- Result in environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

All supporting documents and references are contained in the Environmental Case File referenced above and may be viewed in the EIR Unit, Room 763, City Hall.

For City information, addresses and phone numbers: visit the City's website at <http://www.lacity.org> ; City Planning - and Zoning Information Mapping Automated System (ZIMAS) cityplanning.lacity.org/ or EIR Unit, City Hall, 200 N Spring Street, Room 763. Seismic Hazard Maps - <http://gmw.consrv.ca.gov/shmp/> Engineering/Infrastructure/Topographic Maps/Parcel Information - <http://boemaps.eng.ci.la.ca.us/index01.htm> or City's main website under the heading "Navigate LA".

PREPARED BY:	TITLE:	TELEPHONE NO.:	DATE:
CHI LIM	City Planning Associate	(213) 978-1341	11/29/2018

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

APPENDIX A: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS EXPLANATION TABLE

I. AESTHETICS

a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista. A scenic vista refers to views of focal points or panoramic views of broader geographic areas that have visual interest. A focal point view would consist of a view of a notable object, building, or setting. Diminishment of a scenic vista would occur if the bulk or design of a building or development contrasts enough with a visually interesting view, so that the quality of the view is permanently affected. The project is not located on or near any scenic vista. No impact would occur.	
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially damage a scenic resource, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway. The project is not located on or near any scenic resource. No impact would occur.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the project site and its surroundings. Significant impacts to the visual character of a site and its surroundings are generally based on the removal of features with aesthetic value, the introduction of contrasting urban features into a local area, and the degree to which the elements of the proposed project detract from the visual character of an area. The project site is currently vacant and located in a highly urbanized area. The project involves the construction, use, and maintenance of a new one-story, 4,642 square-foot drive-through fast-food establishment. Accordingly, the proposed project would not degrade the existing visual character or quality of the project site and its surroundings. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less than significant impact on visual quality.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if light and glare substantially altered the character of off-site areas surrounding the site or interfered with the performance of an off-site activity. Light impacts are typically associated with the use of artificial light during the evening and night-time hours. Glare may be a daytime occurrence caused by the reflection of sunlight or artificial light from highly polished surfaces, such as window glass and reflective cladding materials, and may interfere with the safe operation of a motor vehicle on adjacent streets.</p> <p>Daytime glare is common in urban areas and is typically associated with mid- to high-rise buildings with exterior façades largely or entirely comprised of highly reflective glass or mirror-like materials. Nighttime glare is primarily associated with bright point-source lighting that contrasts with existing low ambient light conditions. Due to the urbanized nature of the area, a moderate level of ambient nighttime light already exists. Nighttime lighting sources include street lights, vehicle headlights, and interior and exterior building illumination. The proposed project would include nighttime security lighting primarily along the perimeter of the project site. However, the security lighting would be night-friendly LEDs and would not substantially change existing ambient nighttime lighting conditions. The proposed project does not include any elements or features that would create substantial new sources of glare. Therefore, light and glare impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
----	------------------------------	--	--

II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES

a.	NO IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would convert valued farmland to non-agricultural uses. The project site is currently a vacant lot with no structures on-site. No Farmland, agricultural uses, or related operations are present within the project site or surrounding area. Due to its urban setting, the project site and surrounding area are not included in the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency. Therefore, the proposed project would not convert any Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance to non-agricultural use, and no impact would</p>	
----	-----------	---	--

Impact?		Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		occur.	
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project conflicted with existing agricultural zoning or agricultural parcels enrolled under a Williamson Act Contract. The project site is not zoned for agricultural use or under a Williamson Act Contract. The project site is currently zoned C2-1VL. As the project site and surrounding area do not contain farmland of any type, the proposed project would not conflict with a Williamson Act Contract. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
c.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project conflicted with existing zoning for, or caused rezoning of forest land or timberland or result in the loss of forest land or in the conversion of forest land to non-forest use. The project site and the surrounding area are not zoned for forest land or timberland. As identified above, the project site is currently zoned C2-1VL. Accordingly, the proposed project would not conflict with forest land or timberland zoning or result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
d.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project conflicted with existing zoning for, or caused rezoning of forest land or timberland or result in the loss of forest land or in the conversion of forest land to non-forest use. The project site and the surrounding area are not zoned for forest land or timberland. As identified above, the project site is currently zoned C2-1VL. Accordingly, the proposed project would not conflict with forest land or timberland zoning or result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
e.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project caused the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use or Forest Land to Non-Forest Use. The project site does not contain farmland, forestland, or timberland. Therefore, no impacts would occur.	
III. AIR QUALITY			

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) is the agency primarily responsible for comprehensive air pollution control in the South Coast Air Basin (Basin) and reducing emissions from area and point stationary, mobile, and indirect sources. SCAQMD prepared the 2012 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) to meet federal and state ambient air quality standards. A significant air quality impact may occur if a project is inconsistent with the AQMP or would in some way represent a substantial hindrance to employing the policies or obtaining the goals of that plan. The proposed project would not conflict with or will the implementation of the AQMP and SCAQMD rules. The proposed project is also subject to the City's Green Building Program Ordinance (Ord. No. 179,890), which was adopted to reduce the use of natural resources, create healthier living environments, and minimize the negative impacts of development on local, regional and global ecosystems. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Project construction and operation emissions can be estimated using California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), a statewide land use emissions computer model designed to quantify potential criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with both construction and operations from land use projects. According to the CalEEMod model results for similar types of projects, Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission) for the proposed project would not exceed the SCAQMD thresholds for the criteria pollutants Reactive Organic Compounds (ROG), Nitrogen Oxides (NOx), Carbon Monoxide (CO), Sulfur Dioxide (SO2), and Respirable Particulate Matter (PM10 and PM2.5). The project is estimated to generate less than the SCAQMD threshold of 75 pounds per day (lbs/day) for ROG, 100 lbs/day for NOx, 550 lbs/day for CO, 150 lbs per day for SO2, 150 lbs/day for PM10, and 55 lbs/day for PM2.5. Additionally, the project output is also below the significance thresholds for</p>	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	<p>these criteria pollutants with regard to Overall Operational Emissions. The project is estimated to generate less than the SCAQMD threshold of 55 pounds per day (lbs/day) for ROG, 55 lbs/day for NOx, 550 lbs/day for CO, 150 lbs per day for SO2, 150 lbs/day for PM10, and 55 lbs/day for PM2.5. Motor vehicles that access the project site would be the predominant source of long-term project emissions. Additional emissions would be generated by area sources, such as energy use and landscape maintenance activities. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
c.	<p>LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT</p> <p>The project will produce fugitive dust and mobile source emissions as a result of construction activity. The proposed project and the entire Los Angeles metropolitan area are located within the South Coast Air Basin, which is characterized by relatively poor air quality. The Basin is currently classified as a federal and State non-attainment area for Ozone (O3), Respirable Particulate Matter (PM10 and PM2.5), and lead (Pb) and a federal attainment/maintenance area for Carbon Monoxide (CO). It is classified as a State attainment area for CO, and it currently meets the federal and State standards for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2), Sulfur Oxides (SOX), and lead (Pb). Because the Basin is designated as a State and/or federal nonattainment air basin for O3, PM10, PM2.5, and NO2, there is an on-going regional cumulative impact associated with these pollutants. However, an individual project can emit these pollutants without significantly contributing to this cumulative impact depending on the magnitude of emissions. This magnitude is determined by the project-level significance thresholds established by the SCAQMD. The project would be subject to regulatory compliance measures, such as SCAQMD Rule 403, which reduce the impacts of operational and construction regional emissions. A project of this size would not likely exceed the project-level SCAQMD localized significance thresholds for criteria air pollutants and the impact would be less than significant.</p>	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Based on the City of Los Angeles CEQA Thresholds Guide, a significant impact may occur if a project were to generate pollutant concentrations to a degree that would significantly affect sensitive receptors. The SCAQMD identifies the following as sensitive receptors: long-term health care facilities, rehabilitation centers, convalescent centers, retirement homes, residences, schools, playgrounds, child care centers, and athletic facilities. The SCAQMD has developed localized significance thresholds (LSTs) that are based on the amount of maximum daily localized construction emissions per day that can be generated by a project that would cause or contribute to adverse localized air quality impacts. These apply to projects that are less than or equal to five acres in size and are only applicable to respirable Particulate Matter (PM10 and PM2.5), Carbon Monoxide (CO), and Nitrogen Oxides (NOx). Nearby sensitive receptors include multi-family residences approximately 450 feet away from the site and La Tijera Elementary School within 1/4 mile of the site. Construction and operation of the project would result in a less than significant impact for both localized and regional air pollution emissions. Therefore, the project would have a less than significant impact on sensitive receptors exposure to substantial pollution concentrations.	
NO IMPACT	Potential sources that may emit odors during construction activities include equipment exhaust and architectural coatings. Odors from these sources would be localized and generally confined to the immediate area surrounding the project site. The proposed project would utilize typical construction techniques, and the odors would be typical of most construction sites and temporary in nature. Construction of the proposed project would not cause an odor nuisance. According to the SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook, land uses and industrial operations that are associated with odor complaints include agricultural uses, wastewater treatment plants, food processing plants, chemical plants, composting, refineries, landfills, dairies and fiberglass molding. The proposed project does not include these land uses or industrial operations. Therefore, the proposed project will not	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

create new objectionable odors during operation and will have no impacts.

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in the loss or destruction of individuals of a species or through the degradation of sensitive habitat. The subject property is located within an urbanized area and is currently a vacant lot. No endangered and/or threatened species are located within the property, and no such species has been observed on the property. As such, the project would not adversely affect endangered and/or threatened species either directly or indirectly through habitat modification. No impact would occur.	
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if any riparian habitat or natural community would be lost or destroyed as a result of urban development. The subject property does not contain any riparian habitat and does not contain any streams or water courses necessary to support riparian habitat. As such, the project would not have any effect on riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) or the United States Fish and Wildlife Services (USFWS). No impact would occur.	
c.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if federally protected wetlands would be modified or removed by a project. The subject property does not contain any federally protected wetlands, wetland resources, or other waters of the United States as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The property is located in an urbanized area and does not include any federally protected wetlands. As such, the project would not have any effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means. No impact would occur.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

d.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project would interfere with, or remove access to, a migratory wildlife corridor or impede use of native wildlife nursery sites. Due to the urbanized nature of the subject property and surrounding area, the lack of a major water body, and the limited number of trees, the subject property does not support habitat for native resident or migratory species or contain native nurseries. Therefore, the project would not interfere with wildlife movement or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. No impact would occur.	
e.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project would be inconsistent with local regulations pertaining to biological resources. The project would not conflict with any policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as the City of Los Angeles Protected Tree Ordinance (No. 177,404). The subject property does not contain locally-protected biological resources, such as oak trees, Southern California black walnut, western sycamore or California bay trees. The project would be required to comply with the provisions of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the California Fish and Game Code (CFGF). Both the MBTA and CFGF protects migratory birds that may use trees on or adjacent to the property for nesting and may be disturbed during construction of the project. Therefore, the project would not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as tree preservation policy or ordinance (e.g., oak trees or California walnut woodlands). No impact would occur.	
f.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project conflicted with any draft or adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan. The subject property and its vicinity are not part of any such area. Therefore, the proposed project would not conflict with the provisions of any adopted conservation plan. No impact would occur.	

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially alter the environmental context of, or removed identified historical resources. The property is currently vacant and no such resources exist. No impact would occur.	
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if a known or unknown archaeological resource would be removed, altered, or destroyed as a result of the proposed development. Section 15064.5 of the State CEQA Guidelines defines significant archaeological resources as resources that meet the criteria for historical resources or resources that constitute unique archaeological resources. A significant impact could occur if a project would significantly affect archaeological resources that fall under either of these categories. The project requires no excavation. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if excavation or construction activities associated with the project would disturb paleontological or unique geological features. The project requires no excavation. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if previously interred human remains would be disturbed during excavation of the project site. The project requires no excavation. Therefore, no impact would occur.	

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

a.	NO IMPACT	The project is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. No impact would occur.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project would cause personal injury or death or resulted in property damage as a result of seismic ground shaking. The entire Southern California region is susceptible to strong ground shaking from severe earthquakes. Seismic activities are associated with a number of nearby faults (e.g., Hollywood, Raymond, Verdugo, Newport-Inglewood, Santa Monica, Sierra Madre, and San Andreas Faults), as well as blind thrust faults (e.g., Elysian Park, Puente Hills, and Compton). Consequently, construction of the proposed project could expose people and structures to strong seismic ground	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	<p>shaking. However, the proposed project would be designed and constructed in accordance with State and local building codes to reduce the potential for exposure of people or structures to seismic risks to the maximum extent possible. Compliance with such requirements would reduce seismic ground shaking impacts to the maximum extent practicable with current engineering practices. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
c. NO IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the project would cause personal injury or death or resulted in property damage as a result of liquefaction. According to the Zone Information and Map Access System (ZIMAS), the subject property is not located within a Liquefiable Area or Potentially Liquefiable Area. Therefore, the project would not cause personal injury or death or resulted in property damage as a result of liquefaction, and no impact would occur.</p>	
d. NO IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be implemented on a site that would be located in a hillside area with unstable geological conditions or soil types that would be susceptible to failure when saturated. According to the Zone Information and Map Access System (ZIMAS), the subject property is not located within a Landslide Area. The project site and surrounding area are relatively flat. Therefore, the proposed project would not expose people or structures to potential effects resulting from landslides, and no impact would occur.</p>	
e. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if construction activities or future uses would result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil. Construction of proposed project would result in ground surface disturbance during site clearance, excavation, and grading, which could create the potential for soil erosion to occur. Nevertheless, construction activities would be performed in accordance with the requirements of the Los Angeles Building Code and the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQBC) through the City's Stormwater Management Division. In addition, the project would be required to develop a Storm Water Pollution</p>	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

	Prevention Plan (SWPPP) which would require implementation of an erosion control plan to reduce the potential for wind or waterborne erosion during the construction process. Furthermore, all onsite grading and site preparation would comply with applicable provisions of Chapter IX, Division 70 of the LAMC, and conditions imposed by the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
--	--	--

f. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if any unstable geological conditions would result in any type of geological failure, including lateral spreading, off-site landslides, liquefaction, or collapse. Development of the proposed project would not have the potential to expose people and structures to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction and landslide. Subsidence and ground collapse generally occur in areas with active groundwater withdrawal or petroleum production. The extraction of groundwater or petroleum from sedimentary source rocks can cause the permanent collapse of the pore space previously occupied by the removed fluid. According to the Safety Element of the City of Los Angeles General Plan Safety Element of the Los Angeles City General Plan, Critical Facilities and Lifeline Systems, Exhibit E. The project site is not identified as being located in an oil field or within an oil drilling area. The proposed project would be required to implement standard construction practices that would ensure that the integrity of the project site and the proposed structures is maintained. Construction will be required by the Department of Building and Safety to comply with the City of Los Angeles Uniform Building Code (UBC) which is designed to assure safe construction and includes building foundation requirements appropriate to site conditions. With the implementation of the Building Code requirements and the Department of Building and Safety's Soils Report Approval Letter when issued, the potential for landslide lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse would be less-than-significant.</p>	
---------------------------------	--	--

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
g. NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be built on expansive soils without proper site preparation or design features to provide adequate foundations for project buildings, thus, posing a hazard to life and property. The project is not located in an area known to have expansive soil. No impact would occur.	
h. NO IMPACT	A project would cause a significant impact if adequate wastewater disposal is not available. The project site is located in a highly urbanized area, where wastewater infrastructure is currently in place. The project would connect to existing sewer lines that serve the project site and would not use septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems. Therefore, no impact would occur.	

VII. GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

a. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>Greenhouse gases (GHG) are those gaseous constituents of the atmosphere, both natural and anthropogenic (human generated), that absorb and emit radiation at specific wavelengths within the spectrum of terrestrial radiation emitted by the earth's surface, the atmosphere itself, and by clouds. The City has adopted the LA Green Plan to provide a citywide plan for achieving the City's GHG emissions targets, for both existing and future generation of GHG emissions. In order to implement the goal of improving energy conservation and efficiency, the Los Angeles City Council has adopted multiple ordinances and updates to establish the current Los Angeles Green Building Code (LAGBC) (Ordinance No. 179,890). The LAGBC requires projects to achieve a 20 percent reduction in potable water use and wastewater generation. As the LAGBC includes applicable provisions of the State's CALGreen Code, a new development project that can demonstrate compliance with the LAGBC is considered consistent with statewide GHG reduction goals and policies including AB32 (California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006). Through required implementation of the LAGBC, the project would be consistent with local and statewide goals and policies aimed at reducing the generation of GHGs. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
---------------------------------	---	--

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The most common contributors to GHG emissions result from transportation and the consumption of fossil fuels. The project is expected to consume additional fossil fuel resources through electrical and natural gas usage, as well as generate additional mobile source emissions by introducing new vehicle trips and a temporary haul route. However, it is not possible to predict the impact on global climate change resulting from this specific and relatively small incremental increase in emissions due to the project's operation. Additionally, the proposed project does not include features which will reduce the consumption of fossil fuels, such as compliance with the Los Angeles Green Building Program and then California Building Code, thereby reducing consumption of fossil fuels in vehicles. Therefore, the project is expected to have a less than significant impact on the effect of GHGs on the environment.	

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. Construction of the proposed project would involve the temporary use of potentially hazardous materials, including vehicle fuels, oils, and transmission fluids. Operation of the project would involve the limited use and storage of common hazardous substances typical of those used in restaurants including solvents and custodial products (e.g., cleaning supplies). No industrial uses or activities are proposed that would result in the use or discharge of unregulated hazardous materials and/or substances, or create a public hazard through transport, use, or disposal. As a restaurant including a drive-through, the proposed project would not involve large quantities of hazardous materials that would require routine transport, use, or disposal. With compliance with applicable standards and regulations and adherence to manufacturer's instructions related to the transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials, the proposed project would not create a significant hazard to the public or</p>	
----	------------------------------	--	--

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
b. NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project created a significant hazard to the public or environment due to a reasonably foreseeable release of hazardous materials. All construction-related activities will be done in conformance with applicable regulations. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
c. NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would result in the release, emission, handling, and disposal of hazardous materials within one-quarter mile of an existing school. The subject property is not located with one-quarter mile of an existing school. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
d. NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project site is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment. The California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) maintains a database (EnviroStor) that provides access to detailed information on hazardous waste permitted sites and corrective action facilities, as well as existing site cleanup information. EnviroStor also provides information on investigation, cleanup, permitting, and/or corrective actions that are planned, being conducted, or have been completed under DTSC's oversight. A review of EnviroStor did not identify any records of hazardous waste facilities on the project site. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
e. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project were located within an airport land use plan area, or within two miles of any public or public use airports, or private air strips and its location would have the potential to result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. The subject property is located approximately 2.3 miles of the Los Angeles International Airport (LAX). The project is located in an Airport Hazard area which imposes a height limitation of 350 feet on the use of the land. The project is proposing a one-story, 4,642	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	square-foot drive-through fast-food establishment with a maximum height of 21 feet and eight (8) inches, and therefore does not exceed the height limitation of 350 feet. Additionally, the project is not located within the airport's flight path and would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
f. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project were located within the vicinity of a private airstrip and its location would have the potential to result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. The subject property is located approximately 2.3 miles of the Los Angeles International Airport (LAX). The project is located in an Airport Hazard area which imposes a height limitation of 350 feet on the use of the land. The project is proposing a one-story, 4,642 square-foot drive-through fast-food establishment with a maximum height of 21 feet and eight (8) inches, and therefore does not exceed the height limitation of 350 feet. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
g. NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project impaired implementation of or physically interfered with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. The subject property is located in close proximity to La Tijera Boulevard , the nearest designated Disaster Route. The project would not require the closure of any public or private streets during construction or operation and would not impede emergency vehicle access to the project site or surrounding area. Additionally, emergency access to and from the project site would be provided in accordance with requirements of the Los Angeles Fire Department (LAFD). Therefore, the proposed project would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, and no impact would occur.	
h. NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project exposed people and structures to high risk of wildfire. The subject property is located in a highly urbanized area of the City. The area surrounding the project site is completely	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

		developed. Additionally, the property it is not located within a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. The project would not expose people or structures to a risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
--	--	---	--

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project discharges water that does not meet the quality standards of agencies which regulate surface water quality and water discharge into storm water drainage systems, or does not comply with all applicable regulations as governed by the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB). The project does not propose to deviate from any requirements of the LARWQCB. No impact would occur.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially deplete groundwater or interferes with groundwater recharge. The proposed project would not require the use of groundwater at the project site. Potable water would be supplied by the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP), which draws its water supplies from distant sources for which it conducts its own assessment and mitigation of potential environmental impacts. Therefore, the project would not require direct additions or withdrawals of groundwater. Excavation to accommodate subterranean levels is not proposed at a depth that would result in the interception of existing aquifers or penetration of the existing water table. In addition, the City's Stormwater and Urban Runoff Pollution Control regulations (Ordinance No. 172,176 and No. 173,494) contain requirements for construction activities and operation of development and redevelopment projects to integrate low impact development practices and standards for stormwater and to maximize open, green and pervious space on all developments and redevelopments consistent with the City's landscape ordinance and other related requirements in the City's Development BMPs Handbook. Conformance would be ensured during the permitting process with the Department of Building & Safety. Therefore, the project would not impact	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	groundwater supplies or groundwater recharge, and project impacts would be less than significant.	
c. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially alter the drainage pattern of an existing stream or river so that erosion or siltation would result. There are no streams or rivers located in the project vicinity. Project construction would temporarily expose on-site soils to surface water runoff. However, compliance with construction-related BMPs and/or the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) would control and minimize erosion and siltation. During project operation, storm water or any runoff irrigation waters would be directed into existing storm drains that are currently receiving surface water runoff under existing conditions. Therefore, alterations to existing drainage patterns within the project site and surrounding area such that it would cause significant on- or off-site erosion or siltation would not occur, and project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
d. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially alter the drainage pattern of an existing stream or river such that flooding would result. As discussed above, there are no streams or rivers located in the project vicinity. During operation of the project, storm water or any runoff irrigation waters would be directed into existing storm drains that are currently receiving surface water runoff under existing conditions. Therefore, alterations to existing drainage patterns within the site and surrounding area such that it would cause significant on- or off-site flooding would not occur, and project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
e. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if runoff water would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm drain systems serving the project site, or if the proposed project would substantially increase the probability that polluted runoff would reach the storm drain system. The City's Stormwater and Urban Runoff Pollution Control regulations (Ordinance No. 172,176 and No. 173,494) contain requirements for construction activities and operation of development and</p>	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	<p>redevelopment projects to integrate low impact development practices and standards for stormwater and other related requirements in the City's Development BMPs Handbook. Such regulations and practices are designed in consideration of existing and planned stormwater drainage systems. Conformance would be ensured during the permitting process with the Department of Building & Safety. Therefore, water runoff during construction activities and operation of the project would not exceed the capacity of existing or planned drainage systems, and project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
f.	<p>LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT</p> <p>A significant impact would occur if a project includes sources of water pollutants that would have the potential to substantially degrade water quality. The project does not include sources of contaminants which could potentially degrade water quality, but nevertheless the project would comply with all federal, state and local regulations governing storm water discharge. Project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
g.	<p>NO IMPACT</p> <p>The project does not include any housing and will not locate housing within a 100-year flood hazard area. No impact would occur. No impact would occur.</p>	
h.	<p>NO IMPACT</p> <p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be located within a 100-year or 500-year floodplain or would impede or redirect flood flows. According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Map, the subject property is located within a Flood Zone; and according to the Safety Element of the City of Los Angeles General Plan Safety Element of the Los Angeles City General Plan, 100-Year & 500-Year Flood Plains, Exhibit F, the subject property is not located within a 100-year or 500-year flood plain and therefore, no impact would occur.</p>	
i.	<p>NO IMPACT</p> <p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be located within an area susceptible to flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam. According to the Safety Element of the City of Los Angeles General Plan, Inundation & Tsunami Hazard Areas, Exhibit G, the subject property is not located within a Potential Inundation Area. Therefore, the</p>	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

		project would not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding, and no impact would occur.	
j.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be located within an area susceptible to flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam. A seiche is an oscillation of a body of water in an enclosed or semi-enclosed basin, such as a reservoir, harbor, or lake. A tsunami is a great sea wave produced by a significant undersea disturbance. Mudflows result from the down slope movement of soil and/or rock under the influence of gravity. According to the Safety Element of the City of Los Angeles General Plan, Inundation & Tsunami Hazard Areas, Exhibit G, the subject property is not located within Areas Potentially Impacted by a Tsunami. Therefore, the project would not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding, and no impact would occur.	

X. LAND USE AND PLANNING

a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be sufficiently large or configured in such a way so as to create a physical barrier or isolated land uses that could interrupt the typical activities or change the land use conditions within an established community. A physical division of an established community is caused by an impediment to through travel or a physical barrier, such as a new freeway with limited access between neighborhoods on either side of the freeway, or major street closures. The proposed project would not involve any street vacation or closure or result in development of new thoroughfares or highways. The project is a new drive-through fast-food establishment use in an urbanized area and would not divide an established community. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if a project is inconsistent with the General Plan or zoning designations currently applicable to the project site, and would cause adverse environmental effects, which the General Plan and zoning ordinance are designed to avoid or mitigate. The site is located within the	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	Westchester – Playa del Rey Community Plan Area. The site is zoned C2-1VL, with a General Plan land use designation of Community Commercial. The proposed project would be comprised of 4,642 square-feet of a drive-through fast-food establishment use. Commercial uses are permitted in C zoned lots. Therefore, the proposed project would conform to the allowable land uses pursuant to the Los Angeles Municipal Code. No impact would occur.	
c. NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project were located within an area governed by a habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan. The subject property is not located within any habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan. Therefore, no impact would occur.	

XI. MINERAL RESOURCES

a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would result in the loss of availability of known mineral resources of regional value or locally-important mineral resource recovery site. The subject property is not classified by the City as containing significant mineral deposits. The property is currently designated for Community Commercial land uses and not as a mineral extraction land use. In addition, the project site is not identified by the City as being located in an oil field or within an oil drilling area. The proposed project would not result in the loss of availability of any known regionally- or locally-valuable mineral resource. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would result in the loss of availability of known mineral resources of regional value or locally-important mineral resource recovery site. The subject property is not classified by the City as containing significant mineral deposits. The property is currently designated for Community Commercial land uses and not as a mineral extraction land use. In addition, the project site is not identified by the City as being located in an oil field or within an oil drilling area. The proposed project would not result in the loss of availability of any known regionally- or locally-valuable mineral resource. Therefore, no impact would	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

occur.

XII. NOISE

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>The City of Los Angeles has established policies and regulations concerning the generation and control of noise that could adversely affect its citizens and noise-sensitive land uses. Construction activity would result in temporary increases in ambient noise levels in the project area on an intermittent basis. Noise levels would fluctuate depending on the construction phase, equipment type and duration of use, distance between the noise source and receptor, and presence or absence of noise attenuation barriers. Construction noise for the project will cause a temporary increase in the ambient noise levels, but will be subject to the LAMC Sections 112.05 (Maximum Noise Level of Powered Equipment or Powered Hand Tools) and 41.40 (Noise Due to Construction, Excavation Work – When Prohibited) regarding construction hours and construction equipment noise thresholds. Construction and demolition shall be restricted to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, and 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on Saturday. The project shall comply with the City of Los Angeles General Plan Noise Element and Ordinance No. 161,574, which prohibits the emission of creation of noise beyond certain levels at adjacent uses unless technically infeasible. Project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>Construction activities can generate varying degrees of vibration, depending on the construction procedures and the type of construction equipment used. The operation of construction equipment generates vibrations that spread through the ground and diminish with distance from the source. Unless heavy construction activities are conducted extremely close (within a few feet) to the neighboring structures, vibrations from construction activities rarely reach the levels that damage structures. By complying with regulations, the project would result in a less-than-significant impact related to construction vibration.</p>	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project caused a substantial permanent increase in noise levels above existing ambient levels. New stationary sources of noise, such as rooftop mechanical HVAC equipment, would be installed on the proposed development. The design of the equipment will be required to comply with LAMC Section 112.02, which prohibits noise from air conditioning, refrigeration, heating, pumping, and filtering equipment from exceeding the ambient noise level on the premises of any other occupied properties by more than 5 dBA. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels. As discussed above, the project may result in significant temporary or periodic increases in noise levels during construction; however such increases would be considered less than significant.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project were located within an airport land use plan area, or within two miles of any public or public use airports, or private air strips and its location would have the potential to result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. The subject property is located approximately 2.3 miles of the Los Angeles International Airport (LAX). The project is located in an Airport Hazard area which imposes a height limitation of 350 feet on the use of the land. The project is proposing a one-story, 4,642 square-foot drive-through fast-food establishment with a maximum height of 21 feet and eight (8) inches, and therefore does not exceed the height limitation of 350 feet. The project is not located within the airport's flight path and would not result in excessive noise levels for people residing or working in the project area. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
f.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project were located within the vicinity of a private airstrip and its location would have the potential to result in excessive noise levels for people residing or working in the project area. The subject property is located approximately 2.3 miles of the Los Angeles International Airport (LAX).	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

	<p>The project is located in an Airport Hazard area which imposes a height limitation of 350 feet on the use of the land. The project is proposing a one-story, 4,642 square-foot drive-through fast-food establishment with a maximum height of 21 feet and eight (8) inches, and therefore does not exceed the height limitation of 350 feet. The project is not located within the airport's flight path and would not result in excessive noise levels for people residing or working in the project area. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
--	---	--

XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING

a. NO IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would induce substantial population growth that would not have otherwise occurred as rapidly or in as great a magnitude. The project does not include the construction of a structure or a use which would induce any population growth. No impact would occur.</p>	
b. NO IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would displace a substantial quantity of housing units. The project site currently contains no housing, therefore proposed project would not result in the displacement of any housing units. No impact would occur.</p>	
c. NO IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would displace a substantial number of people. The project site currently contains no housing, therefore proposed project would not result in the displacement of any people. No impact would occur.</p>	

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES

a. NO IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would include substantial employment or population growth, which could generate a demand for fire services that would exceed the capacity of the fire district. The project does not include any construction or alteration to the existing environment, or use which would include substantial employment or population growth, which could generate a demand for fire stations that would exceed the capacity of the fire district. No impact would occur.</p>	
--------------	--	--

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) could not adequately serve the proposed project, necessitating a new or physically altered station. The project does not include any construction or alteration to the existing environment, or use which would include substantial employment or population growth, which could generate a demand for police stations that would exceed the capacity of the reporting district. No impact would occur.	
c.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would include substantial employment or population growth, which could generate a demand for school facilities that would exceed the capacity of the school district. The project does not include any use which would include substantial employment or population growth, which could generate a demand for school facilities that would exceed the capacity of the school district. No impact would occur.	
d.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would exceed the capacity or capability of the local park system to serve the proposed project. The project does not include any use which would exceed the capacity or capability of the local park system to serve the proposed project. No impact would occur.	
e.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would result in substantial employment or population growth that could generate a demand for other public facilities, including libraries, which exceed the capacity available to serve the project site, necessitating new or physically altered public facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental impacts. The project does not include any use which would generate a demand for other public facilities which exceed the capacity available to serve the project site. No impact would occur.	

XV. RECREATION

a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would exceed the capacity or capability of the local park system to serve the proposed project. The project does not include any construction or alteration to the existing environment,	
----	-----------	---	--

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	or a use which would exceed the capacity or capability of the local park system to serve the proposed project. No impact would occur.	
b. NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would necessitate construction of new recreational facilities, which would adversely impact the environment, or require the expansion or development of parks or other recreational facilities in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, or other performance objectives for parks. The project does not include or require the construction of any recreational facilities. No impact would occur.	

XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC

a. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<p>A significant impact may occur if the project general A significant impact may occur if the project generates and/or causes a diversion or shift of 500 or more daily trips or 43 or more p.m. peak hour vehicle trips on the street system. According to DOT Case No. CTC17-106530 dated May 22, 2018, the project will result in a net increase of 2,186 daily trips, a net increase of 187 a.m. peak hour trips, and a net increase of 147 p.m. peak hour trips. Traffic impact analysis was conducted at 16 intersections surrounding the proposed project site. Some of the study intersections are located in the City of Culver City, Inglewood, Los Angeles County and Caltrans jurisdiction. Based on DOT's traffic impact criteria, the proposed development would create a significant impact at only one of the study locations within the City of Los Angeles and Inglewood boundaries. The potentially impacted intersection is Centinela and La Cienega Boulevard. Incorporation of the mitigation measure would reduce project impacts to less than significant levels.</p>	XVI-80
b. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if the proposed project individually or cumulatively exceeded the service standards of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) Congestion Management Program (CMP). In accordance with the state-mandated Congestion Management Program (CMP), an increase in the	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	<p>freeway volume by 150 vehicles per hour during a.m. or p.m. peak hours in any direction requires further analysis. A substantial change in freeway segments is defined as an increase or decrease of 2% in the demand capacity ratio when at LOS F. For purposes of CMP intersections, an increase of 50 vehicles or more during a.m. or p.m. peak hour requires further analysis. The intersection of Centinela Avenue and La Cienega Boulevard is the nearest CMP intersection to the project, located adjacent to the project. Since the intersection is one of the study locations, no further analysis is required. The maximum number of project-related trips to occur along any of the nearby freeway segments is well below the 150 trips threshold for potential CMP Freeway Segment impact, therefore project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
c. NO IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project changed air traffic patterns. The project does not include any construction or a use which would affect air traffic patterns. No impact would occur.</p>	
d. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project design features/physical configurations affect the visibility of pedestrians and bicyclists to drivers entering and exiting the site, and the visibility of cars to pedestrians and bicyclists or the physical conditions of the site and surrounding area, such as curves, slopes, walls, landscaping or other barriers, which could cause vehicle/pedestrian, vehicle/bicycle or vehicle/vehicle conflicts. The project includes eight (8) bicycle parking spaces and will be located in conformance with the requirements of the Bicycle Parking Ordinance. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
e. NO IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the project impaired implementation of or physically interfered with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. The subject property is located in close proximity to La Tijera Boulevard, the nearest designated Disaster Route. The project would not require the closure of any public or private streets during construction or operation and would not impede</p>	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	emergency vehicle access to the project site or surrounding area. Additionally, emergency access to and from the project site would be provided in accordance with requirements of the Los Angeles Fire Department (LAFD). Therefore, the proposed project would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, and no impact would occur.	
f. NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project would conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs (such as the Walkability Checklist or Mobility Plan 2035) regarding public transit, bicycle or pedestrian facilities or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of facilities supporting alternative transportation. The project, as proposed, would not conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle or pedestrian facilities or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of facilities supporting alternative transportation. Therefore, no impact would occur.	

XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES

a. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Assembly Bill 52 (AB 52) established a formal consultation process for California Native American Tribes to identify potential significant impacts to Tribal Cultural Resources, as defined in Public Resources Code §21074, as part of CEQA. As specified in AB 52, lead agencies must provide notice inviting consultation to California Native American tribes that are traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of a proposed project if the Tribe has submitted a request in writing to be notified of proposed projects. The Tribe must respond in writing within 30 days of the City's AB 52 notice. The Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) provided a list of Native American groups and individuals who might have knowledge of the religious and/or cultural significance of resources that may be in and near the Project site. An informational letter was mailed to a total of 11 Tribes known to have resources in this area, on November 14, 2018, describing the Project and requesting any information regarding resources that may exist on or near the Project site. No response has been received. Therefore, project impacts	
---------------------------------	---	--

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

		would be less than significant.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>Assembly Bill 52 (AB 52) established a formal consultation process for California Native American Tribes to identify potential significant impacts to Tribal Cultural Resources, as defined in Public Resources Code §21074, as part of CEQA. As specified in AB 52, lead agencies must provide notice inviting consultation to California Native American tribes that are traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of a proposed project if the Tribe has submitted a request in writing to be notified of proposed projects. The Tribe must respond in writing within 30 days of the City's AB 52 notice. The Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) provided a list of Native American groups and individuals who might have knowledge of the religious and/or cultural significance of resources that may be in and near the Project site. An informational letter was mailed to a total of 11 Tribes known to have resources in this area, on November 14, 2018, describing the Project and requesting any information regarding resources that may exist on or near the Project site. No response has been received. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	

XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the (Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board). A significant impact would also occur if the proposed project would increase water consumption or wastewater generation to such a degree that the capacity of facilities currently serving the project site would be exceeded. Wastewater from the subject property would enter into and be treated by the Hyperion Treatment Plant (HTP), which is a part of the Hyperion Treatment System, which includes the Tilman Water Reclamation Plant and the Los Angeles–Glendale Water Reclamation Plant. The wastewater generated by the project would be typical of restaurant uses. As the HTP is in compliance with the State's wastewater treatment requirements, the project would not exceed the wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water</p>	
----	------------------------------	--	--

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	<p>Quality Control Board's (RWQCB). Furthermore, as a proportion of total average daily flow experienced by the HTP, the wastewater generation of the proposed project would account for a small percentage of average daily wastewater flow. This increase in wastewater flow would not jeopardize the HTP to operate within its established wastewater treatment requirements. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
b. NO IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would require the construction or expansion of new water or wastewater treatment facilities, such that the construction or expansion of such facilities would cause an environmental impact. The Department of Water and Power conducts water planning based on forecast population growth. The project does not include any construction or a use which would induce population growth. No impact would occur.</p>	
c. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would increase surface water runoff, resulting in the need for expanded off-site storm water drainage facilities. As discussed above, the City's Stormwater and Urban Runoff Pollution Control regulations (Ordinance No. 172,176 and No. 173,494) contain requirements for construction activities and operation of development and redevelopment projects to integrate low impact development practices and standards for stormwater and other related requirements in the City's Development BMPs Handbook. Such regulations and practices are designed in consideration of existing and planned stormwater drainage systems. Conformance would be ensured during the permitting process with the Department of Building & Safety. Therefore, surface water runoff during construction activities and operation of the project would not exceed the capacity of existing or planned drainage systems, and project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board. A significant impact would also occur if the proposed project would increase water consumption or wastewater generation to such a degree that the capacity of facilities currently serving the project site would be exceeded. Wastewater from the subject property would enter into and be treated by the Hyperion Treatment Plant (HTP), which is a part of the Hyperion Treatment System, which includes the Tilman Water Reclamation Plant and the Los Angeles–Glendale Water Reclamation Plant. The wastewater generated by the project would be typical of residential, commercial, and mixed uses. As the HTP is in compliance with the State’s wastewater treatment requirements, the project would not exceed the wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). Furthermore, as a proportion of total average daily flow experienced by the HTP, the wastewater generation of the proposed project would account for a small percentage of average daily wastewater flow. This increase in wastewater flow would not jeopardize the HTP to operate within its established wastewater treatment requirements. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	<p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board. A significant impact would also occur if the proposed project would increase water consumption or wastewater generation to such a degree that the capacity of facilities currently serving the project site would be exceeded. Wastewater from the subject property would enter into and be treated by the Hyperion Treatment Plant (HTP), which is a part of the Hyperion Treatment System, which includes the Tilman Water Reclamation Plant and the Los Angeles–Glendale Water Reclamation Plant. The wastewater generated by the project would be typical of residential, commercial, and mixed uses. As the HTP is in compliance with the State’s</p>	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	<p>wastewater treatment requirements, the project would not exceed the wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). Furthermore, as a proportion of total average daily flow experienced by the HTP, the wastewater generation of the proposed project would account for a small percentage of average daily wastewater flow. This increase in wastewater flow would not jeopardize the HTP to operate within its established wastewater treatment requirements. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
f.	<p>LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT</p> <p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project's solid waste generation exceeded the capacity of permitted landfills. The Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation (BOS) and private waste management companies are responsible for the collection, disposal, and recycling of solid waste within the City, including the project site. Solid waste during the operation of the proposed project is anticipated to be collected by the BOS or private waste haulers. Solid waste collected from the proposed project is anticipated to be hauled to Sunshine Canyon Landfill. In compliance with Assembly Bill (AB) 939, the project applicant would be required to implement a Solid Waste Diversion Program and divert at least 50 percent of the solid waste generated by the project from the Sunshine Canyon Landfill. The proposed project would also comply with all federal, State, and local regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.</p>	
g.	<p>LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT</p> <p>A significant impact would occur if the proposed project's solid waste generation exceeded the capacity of permitted landfills. The Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation (BOS) and private waste management companies are responsible for the collection, disposal, and recycling of solid waste within the City, including the project site. Solid waste during the operation of the proposed project is anticipated to be collected by the BOS or private waste haulers. Solid waste collected from the proposed project is anticipated to be hauled to Sunshine Canyon Landfill. In compliance with Assembly Bill (AB) 939, the project</p>	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

		applicant would be required to implement a Solid Waste Diversion Program and divert at least 50 percent of the solid waste generated by the project from the Sunshine Canyon Landfill. The proposed project would also comply with all federal, State, and local regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, project impacts would be less than significant.	
--	--	---	--

XIX. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Based on the analysis in this Initial Study, the proposed project would not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, or reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal. However, during project construction, the proposed project may encounter unknown cultural resources, including archaeological and paleontological resources. However, project impacts would be less than significant.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if the proposed project, in conjunction with the related projects, would result in impacts that are less than significant when viewed separately but significant when viewed together. Although projects may be constructed in the project vicinity, the cumulative impacts to which the proposed project would contribute would be less than significant. Project impacts would be less than significant.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if the proposed project has the will have environmental effects which cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly. All potential impacts of the proposed project have been identified, and mitigation measures have been prescribed, where applicable, to reduce all potential impacts to less-than-significant levels. Project impacts would be less than significant.	